The Forest Science Data Bank (FSDB), which serves the Department of Forest Science at Oregon State University, has evolved over more than a decade. Faculty and students have collected sizable amounts of data from silvicultural and genetics studies conducted throughout the Northwest. In addition, research at the H.J. Andrews Experimental Forest, formerly supported by the U.S.–International Biome Program and currently a National Science Foundation Long-Term Ecological Research Site, has produced vast quantities of data. Before the data bank was established, however, most data sets had incomplete or non-existent documentation; furthermore, to retrieve data, the user needed prior knowledge of the data set.

Our basic goal has been to document enough information about older studies to ensure their future value and to establish procedures for acquiring new data sets with complete documentation. To do this, we centralized quantitative services and pooled resources from various research projects. We identified specific tasks associated with the various aspects of data management and statistical analysis and recruited personnel with corresponding skills. Our staff now includes a statistical consultant, a data bank manager, and two data analysts—all of whom have research experience—and four data-entry personnel. Figure 1 depicts the conceptual structure of the FSDB and its relationship to research and to information retrieval.

To collect new data, we use project-specific field sheets that facilitate data processing and analysis without compromising the efficiency of field measurements (Wagner 1981). A continually updated master file stores and organizes information about each experimental site, allowing data to be accessed and retrieved by plot. To preserve the value of these stored data, we require documentation on both data structure and study methodology for each data set. Also, access to, and selection of, data sets must be convenient for users unfamiliar with the breadth of data available. Our system includes descriptive, written information on the research origin of each data set and lists key words useful for search and selection.

We store and access written, descriptive study documentation using DataStar™ (Micropro International,
Detailed Study Documentation contains information summarizing a study's purpose, design, and methodology, and describes supporting documents and their locations. The supportive DataStar databases differ from the two main databases in that each record contains information (such as citations and addresses of investigators) potentially applicable to several data sets.

To capture the needed information, we developed a series of standard forms (copies available on request from the senior author). Much of the information required by these forms is generated by a researcher regardless of the data bank—a selling point of the system to our users. A standard set of forms ensures uniform documentation for each data set, ready for entry into the data bank.

Our most challenging problem now is to gain the full cooperation of researchers in providing complete documentation of existing data sets. Only their cooperation will ensure that our information is current and that standards of documentation and software development best serve the needs of all users.
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