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## YIELD OF EVEN-AGED STANDS OF PONDEROSA PINE

By Walter H. Meyer, ${ }^{2}$ formerly silviculturist, Pacific Northuest Forest Experiment Station, Forest Service


Ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa Dougl.) is one of the most important and most interesting tree species in the western Lnited States, because of its wide geographic range, its excellent timber qualities, and its adaptive silvical characteristics. Its range is an area about 1,000 by 1,400 miles, extending from the western border of the Great Plains to the Coast Mountains and from Mexico north into British Columbia (fig. 1). The ponderosa pine type in all its forms covers more than 50 million acres. The species is commercially valuable throughout its range, and is widely sought. The wood of old-growth trees is whitish yellow, soft, and easily worked; that of young trees is much coarser and more resinous, and therefore under present market conditions less desirable. Like other pines, ponderosa pine is adaptable to different methods of management and regeneration. Lnder natural conditions it most commonly grows in uneren-aged stands, but in general it thrives equally well in even-aged stands.

[^0] Station.

Findings of the national-forest survey now being made by the Forest Service show that the extent of the even-aged second-growth stands is much greater than has been estimated in the past. Some extensive stands commonly thought to be uneven-aged are composites of even-aged groups. In the 10 counties of eastern Oregon and eastern Washington for which survey statistics were available at the time of writing, ponderosa pine stands classified as second growth cover more than $1,340,000$ acres. Young, even-aged stands occupy 639,000 acres of this total; on the remaining 701,000 acres, the stands are even-aged and of advanced development or else have been subjected


Figure 1.-Approximate distribution of forests in which ponderosa pine is the dominant species, and location of plots or groups of plots used In this study.
to heavy selection cutting and now have even-aged understories of pine reproduction. In California, and also in parts of Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Montana, the even-aged stand is characteristic of old mining cuttings. In the Black Hills even-aged stands have become established on areas that have not been cut over, originating probably after extensive fires occurring in the infrequent years when seed production and the conditions governing germination and survival were favorable. The area of even-aged ponderosa pine forests is constantly increasing, primarily as a result of human activity.

The value of growth and yield studies has been stressed time and time again in forestry literature and probably is fully appreciated by
most foresters. A yield study of even-aged ponderosa pine forests was necessary as a complement to the yield study of selectively cut stands of this species (14), ${ }^{3}$ partly because that study indicated that the reproduction in selectively cut stands is practically even-aged.

Several studies of the yield capacities of even-aged ponderosa pine forests have previously been made, notably in California (11, 22), Idaho (3,4), and British Columbia (5). The results of these studies were limited as to region of application, and were widely divergent. In this study an effort has been made to coordinate the best of the older data and new supplementary data and to derive a set of yield tables applying throughout the range of the species. Some of the older data used were taken as far back as 1910. The new study got under way in 1928; by 1934 the essential cooperation had been obtained in all the regions involved, and thereafter new data were accumulated rapidly. All the new data were gathered under one general work plan and under the direct initial supervision of the project leader. Sample plots were taken in California, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, Montana, South Dakota, and in a single locality in Wyoming. The pine forests of the Southwest were left unsampled, because the South western Forest and Range Experiment Station after a survey of its field concluded that stands of the condition desired were not available. In the field work emphasis was laid on obtaining data on true secondgrowth stands, as distinct from small groups of second growth. The study was confined to fully stocked stands, which furnish the best basis of comparison for stands of all degrees of density.

The old and new data together comprised the records of 848 plots. The major computations of the study were based on data for 450 plots only, 398 plots being rejected because of nonrepresentative plot conditions or of incompleteness of data. Most of these rejected plots were taken for studies in which plot selection was not based on stand normality. Data from many of the rejected plots were used in studying the effect of stocking upon yield.

In this report some mensurational data other than growth and yield statistics are given that will assist in dealing with problems relating to stand development.

Because of the extensive area covered, the number of cooperators involved, and the variation among the stands investigated, the combination of the data into a single coordinated series of tables was not without difficulties. The accepted methods of normal-yield-table construction $(6,7,8,20)$ had to be modified in a number of instances before acceptable results were obtained.

Detailed descriptions of the data and the methods of analysis are given in the appendix.

## REGION AND TYPE

The ponderosa pine type has been intensively studied for many years, and several noteworthy publications have been issued dealing specifically with the factors affecting its distribution and describing its silvical characteristics ( $1,2,9,16,17,18,19,23,24$ ). The previous findings, which pertain chiefly to the more common form of ponderosa pine stand, the uneven-aged, will not be reviewed
The general characteristics of the even-aged ponderosa pine stand are its high density, its relatively deep litter and humus, and its high
yield per acre at maturity. All these characteristics are distinctly preferable from the silvicultural standpoint to those existing in un-even-aged stands. Even-aged pine culture is not adrocated, however, except for areas where annual rainfall is about 25 inches or more, considerably above the minimum for the type's existence. If moisture is inadequate, stagnation results and no progress is made in volume production without expensive thinning operations.

Ponderosa pine endures a great range of climatic conditions, which accounts in part for the differences in development discussed in this report. Discussion of the climatic conditions under which it grows is hampered somewhat by the relative sparseness of data. In Oregon and Washington, at least, weather stations are too few and in too many instances remote from timber stands to afford data representative for the type. Baker and Korstian (1) recognized five divisions of the general range of the species, as follows: (1) Eastern Rocky Mountain, including central and eastern Montana, parts of North Dakota and South Dakota, most of Wyoming, a part of Nebraska, eastern Colorado, and northeastern New Mexico; (2) south plateau, including Arizona, most of New Mexico, southeastern Utah, and southwestern Colorado ; (8) central plateau, including most of Nevada, most of Utah, southwestern Wyoming, and southeastern Idaho; (4) north plateau, including Washington, most of Oregon, most of Idaho, and western Montana; and (5) south Pacific, including California and southwestern Oregon. According to available meteorological records as charted by these authors, annual precipitation averages for the different subregions are as follows: Central plateau and eastern Rocky Mountain, about 18 inches; north and south plateaus, 22 inches; south Pacific, 44 inches. The variation about each of these averages is of course wide; in the north plateau, for instance, precipitation varies from 15 inches on the borders between desert and forest to more than 50 inches on the west slopes of the Cascade Range in Washington.

More significant than the amount of annual precipitation is its distribution through the seasons of the rear. A summarization of the data tabulated by Baker and Korstian indicates that the portion of total precipitation occurring within the chief growing season, namely, May, June, July, and August, ranges from 48.8 percent in the eastern Rocky Mountain subregion to 7.0 percent in the south Pacific subregion. On the north, central, and south plateaus $22.1,25$, and 31.8 percent, respectively, of the annual precipitation occurs in the 4 months mentioned. The north plateau has a gradual decrease of precipitation from January to April, a sudden increase in May, further decrease through to August, and then a rapid rise to the end of the year. The curve for the central plateau is similar. The precipitation of the south plateau decreases irregularly through June and has a striking increase in July and August and a mild decrease through to November; thus its curve has two pronounced peaks.

Annual mean temperatures for the first four subregions were found to range only between $42^{\circ}$ and $45^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The south Pacific, however, has an annual mean of $51^{\circ}$. The temperature averages for the 4 -month
growing season are about $58^{\circ}$ to $59^{\circ}$ for the first four subregions and $63^{\circ}$ for the last

Conditions for pine growth are far better in the south Pacific subregion than in any of the others, although good sites can be found almost throughout the range of the species. The prevailing excellence of site conditions in California is partly explained by comparatively heavy precipitation, even though most of this occurs in off-season months, and by moderately high temperatures.
Although confined to approximately pure stands, this study gives indications as to the associates in even-aged ponderosa pine stands in the different subregions. In California incense cedar (Libocedrus decurrens Torrey is a common associate, usually as an understory species. Other conifers associated with ponderosa pine in California, in descending order of frequency of occurrence, are Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga taxifolia (Lamb.) Britt.), white fir (Abies concolor Lindley), and sugar pine ( $P$ inus lambertiana Dougl.). In Oregon the species most commonly found in mixture are lodgepole pine ( $P$. contorta Dougl.), white fir. and Douglas fir; western larch (Larix occidentalis Nuttall) and Engelmann spruce (Picea engelmanni (Parry) Engelm.) are found occasionally. In Washington and Idaho Douglas fir and white fir are sometimes found. In Montana Douglas fir is the chief associate, with western larch a poor second.
As a part of the present study the composition of the minor vegetation has been observed by several investigators in different subregions. The grasses are the most common constituents of the ground cover, but identification of grasses as to species or even genera was seldom recorded. The following tabulation, based on observations made on 350 plots, shows the genera (with species, when known) of the herbs, shrubs, and small trees most commonly found, in five different subregions. The plants are listed for each subregion in descending order of number of plots on which observed. The list is by no means complete; the observations cover at least 75 different genera of herbs and 38 genera of shrubs and small trees. A number of distinctions are apparent, especially between California and the other subregions

Herbs
Trifolium sp .
Pentstemon spp.
Pteridium aquilinum pubescens
Apocynum androsaemifolium.
Vicia sp.
Trientalis europaea latifolia.
Fragaria sp.
Galium sp
Sidalcea sp.
Lupinus spp.
Lathyrus sp.
Potentilla sp.

CALIFORNIA
Shrubs and small trees
Chamaebatiaria foliolosa.
Toxicodendron diversilobum.
Arbutus menziesii.
Rhamnus purshiana
Alnus rubra.
Philadelphus leuisii
Arctostaphylos viscida and other spp.
Ceanothus velutinus.
Rubus spp.
Castanopsis sempervirens.
Lonicera involucrata.
Rosa spp.
Ribes spp

Fragaria spp.
Achillea lanulosa
Lupinus spp.
Lupinus spp.
Chamaenerion angustifolium
Hieracium spp
Geranium
Chimaphila umbellata
Lilium parvum.
Lathyrus spp.
Pentstemon spp.
Pyrola spp.
Vicia spp.
Vagnera liliacea.
A pocynum ambigens.
Fragaria spp
Aster spp.
Arnica cordifolia
Balsamorhiza sagittata.
Lupinus spp.
Frasera montana.
Geranium viscosissimum.
Silene menziesii.
Pentstemon spp.
Chimaphila umbellatn
oregon and washington
Ceanothus uclutinus
Rosa gymnocarpa; R. nutkana Purshia tridentata.
Symphoricarpos racemosus. Arctostaphylos uva-ursi. dostemon repens
Prunus melanocarpa; $P$. emarginata
Salix spp.
Vaccia corymbosa.
Vaccinium spp.

SOTTHEK MDABO
Spiraca lucida.
Symphoricarpos orcophilus: S. racemosus
Amelanchier alnifolza
Prunus metanocarp
Rosa spp.
Opulaster malvaceus
Vaccinium sp.
Ceanothus velutinus.
Rubus parviflorus.
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi.
northern idaho and montana
Fragaria glauca; F. vesca. Rosa spp.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Achillea lanulosa. } & \text { Symphoricar pos racem } \\ \text { Balsamorhiza sagitala. } & \text { Odostemon aquifolium }\end{array}$
Lupinus sericeus; L. burkei: L. wyethii. Amelanchier alnifolia.
Geranium viscosissimum.
Arnica cordifolia.
Apocynum androsaemifolium.
Leontodon autumnale.
Potentilla gracilis.
Galium boreale.
Clarkia pulchella
Antennaria a naphaloides: A. rosea
Chamaenerion angustifolium.
Erigeron sp.
Aster spp.

Apocynum androsaemifolium.
black hills

Achillea lanulosa.
Solidago spp.
Galium boreale.
Vicia americana.
Geranium viscosissimum; G. richardsoni
Fragaria vesca americana.
Monarda mollis.
Antennaria dioica.
Thalictrum sp.
Rosa spp
Rosa spp.
Symphoricarpos pauciflorus.
Prunus virginiana melanocarpa
Spiraea lucida.
Odostemon aquifolium
Juniperus communis.
Lepargyrea canadensis.
Amelanchier alnifolia.
Rubus spp
Ribes spp.
An effort to relate growth capacity of ponderosa pine stands to soils failed to reveal much of significance. The soils recognized in the field included silt loams, sandy loams, clay loams, gravel loams, loamy sands, clays, sandy clays, pumice soils, gravels, and others. All the loams, silt loams, clay loams, and clays were associated with site indexes ranging from 30 to 140 or more. For gravel, loamy sand,
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and pumice areas the site indexes ranged approximately from 50 to 90. For sandy areas they ranged from 30 to 70 . Within any single group of soils, the darker soils seemed to be associated with higher site indexes. Most of the relations observed, however, were general only. An intensive study of the correlation between site quality and character of soil would include much more than the soil's quality texture, color, and depth. Pearson (17) pointed out that in the Southwest ponderosa pine made its best growth on the more sandy or gravelly soils and reproduced more successfully on clay soils where there was a mixture of rock in the soil to facilitate root penetration. In the present study, also, it was noted repeatedly that plots where a substantial mixture of gravel was present in the soil were of higher site quality

Plate 1 shows even-aged ponderosa pine stands on areas of average site quality in youth and maturity. Plate 2 shows stands of the 70year age class on areas of very poor and very good site indexes.

## DEFINITIONS

"Acre.-In this study, as in other normal-vield studies, 43,560 square feet measured on a horizontal projection of the ground surface.

Age of stand.-Average age, in years, of sample dominant and codominant the trees are bored at breast height, to obtain total age in years it is necessary to add to the ring count a number varying from 6 for lands of the best site quality to 16 for lands of the poorest site quality
Average diameter.-Average diameter at breast height, in inches, of an ent trees by their number and converting the quotient to diameter.

Basal area.- Cross-sectional area, in square feet, at breast height.
Breast height. - A point of measurement on a tree bole located 4.5 feet above average ground level.
Dominance classes.-In this study, trees are classified on the basis of position in stand and of vigor into five dominance classes. Dominance class can usually be determined from diameter class and diameter growth alone. The classes are as follows:
dense and comp - The largest, tallest, and most vigorous trees in the stand. Crowns dense and comparatively wide and long. Growth rates the fastest in the stand.
Codominant.-Well-developed trees that reach into the main canopy but are subject to some side pressure from neighboring trees. Crowns less wide and dense than those of dominants. Growth rates good, but somewhat less than those of dominants
Intermediate.-Trees of inferior development barely reaching into the main canopy, receiving little top light. Crowns usually narrow and of poor vigor. Growth rates low in comparison with those of dominants and codominants.
Suppressed.-Trees of inferior development, slow growth, and poor vigor below the main canopy, of the same age as those in the main canopy, receiving little direct light either from the top or from the side. Crowns narrow and short, with scant foliage. Growth practically at a standstill.
Understory.-Trees below the main canopy, younger or of different species s to development growth or vigor; often they are in excellent condition for their species. Height curv
elected ages and site indexes.
Mean annual increment.-Average annual volume growth of the stand from ear of origin to age under consideration.
Normal stand, or fully stocked stand.-A stand that, so far as any practical consideration is involved, utilizes its site completely. For ponderosa pine the canopy of a normal stand is less dense than it is for species of more humid climates and is not necessarily complete or continuous, especially if the stand is advanced n age. Maximum stocking is not implied; it practically never exists over a continuous area of more than a few acres

Normal-yield tables.-Tables showing numbers and sizes of trees, total hasal areas, and volumes for normal stands at different ages and on sites of different qualities.
Normality percentage.-Percentage ratio between a basal-area, volume, or other value for a given stand and the value shown by the yield tables for normal stands of corresponding age and site-quality class. This ratio is used to express stocking. Number of trees. - Total number of living trees per acre that are above a specified diameter
Partial stand.-Portion of total stand that is above a specified diameter. In this study two partial stands are dealt with, those of which the minimum breastrepresented by values for the trees 6.6 inches and more in diameter is much more intensive than that followed in the ponderosa pine forests at the present time, but is comparable to that represented in many other yield studies made in the United States. Values for the trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter represent a practical standard approximating that now followed in most parts of the ponderosa pine region.
Periodic annual increment.-Average annual volume growth within a given age interval-in this study, 10 years.
Quadrat.-Portion of acre used to estimate stocking. For even-aged ponderosa pine forests, the size recommended is 9.33 feet on a side, or 0.002 acre.
Rotation age.-In this study, age at which mean annual increment culminates; that is, age at which the periodic and the mean annual increment become equal.
the age of 100 years, used as an indicator of site quality. "Site index 80 " for instance, means that the dominant and codominant trees on the area referred to, average, have averaged, or will a verage 80 feet in height at 100 years.
Site quality. - The site quality of a forest area is its relative productive capacity, determined by climatic, soil, topographic, and other factors; the higher the site quality, the faster is tree growth and the greater is the timber volume produced per acre. Seven site-quality classes, each covering a series of 14 site indexes, are recommended for approximate rating. These classes are indicated by roman numerals.
Stand table.-Table showing distribution of number of trees throughout the range of diameter classes. The distribution is expressed either in percentage for stated average diameters of stand or in number for stated site-quality and age classes.
Stock table.-Table showing distribution of hasal area or volume of trees throughout the range of diameter classes.
Stocking.-Degree to which an area's productivity is utilized by the existent orest stand.
Stand-density index.-Number of trees per acre contained in a stand when its that the stander is 10 inches. "Stand-density index 400 ," for instance, means when averaging 10 inches in diameter.
Volume table.-Table showing the estimated volumes of trees of various diameter and height classes, expressed in total cubic feet or in board feet, log scale, by the International rule or the Scribner rule.

YIELD
Practically all site conditions existing in the ponderosa pine region are represented by the site indexes 30 to 160 . The indexes above 140 are represented practically nowhere in the region except in the vicinity of Nevada City, Calif. Table 1 and figure 2 show the heights for ages less and greater than 100 years that correspond to site indexes ranging from 40 to 160 at intervals of 10 in the table and 20 in the figure. By use of this table or this figure, the site index of any even-aged ponderosa pine stand can be estimated on the basis of the age of the stand and the height of its average-diameter dominant and codominant and trees.


Figicre 2.-Heipht of dominant and codominant trees of average breast-high diameter.
Table 1.-Height of dominant and codominant trees of average breast-height diameter

| Age (years) | Height, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Feet | Feet | Feet | Fect | Feet | Feet | Feet | Fet | Feet | Feet | Feet | Feet | Feet |
| 30 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 26 | 32 | 38 | ${ }_{44}$ | 51 | 57 | 64 | 70 | 77 | ${ }_{84}^{60}$ |
| 40. | 16 | 22 | 28 | 35 | 42 | 49 | 55 | 63 | 70 | 77 | 85 | 93 | 100 |
| 50. | 21 | 28 | 35 | 43 | 51 | 58 | 65 | 73 | 80 | 89 | 97 | 105 | 113 |
| 60. | 26 | 34 |  |  | 58 | 66 | 73 | 81 | 90 | 99 | 107 | 115 | 124 |
| 70 | 30 | 39 | 47 | 56 | 64 | 73 | 80 | 89 |  | 108 | 116 | 125 | 134 |
| 80 | 34 | 43 | 52 | 61 | 70 | 79 | 88 | 97 | 106 | 116 | 124 | 133 | 143 |
| 90 | 37 | ${ }^{47}$ | 57 | ${ }_{6}^{66}$ | 75 | 85 | 94 | 104 | 113 | 123 | 132 | ${ }_{1}^{142}$ | ${ }_{1}^{152}$ |
| 100. | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
| 110. | 42 | 53 | 63 |  | 84 | 95 | 106 | 116 | 127 | 137 | 147 | 158 | 168 |
| 120 | 44 | 55 | 66 | 77 | 88 | 100 | 111 | 122 | 133 | 144 | 154 | 165 | 175 |
| 130. | 45 | 57 | 69 | 80 | 92 | 104 | 116 | 128 | 139 | 151 | 161 | 172 | 182 |
| 140. | 46 | 59 | 71 | 83 | 96 | 108 | 121 | 133 | 145 | 157 | 167 | 179 | 189 |
| 150. | 47 | 60 | 73 | 86 | 99 | 112 | 125 | 138 | 151 | 163 | 173 | 185 | 195 |
| 160 | 48 | 61 | 75 | 89 | 102 | 116 | 129 | 143 | 156 | 169 | 179 | 191 | 201 |
| 170. | 48 | 62 | 77 | 91 | 105 | 119 | 133 | 147 | 161 | 174 | 184 | 196 | 206 |
| 180. | 49 | 63 | 78 | 93 | 108 | 122 | 136 | 151 | 165 | 179 | 189 | 201 | ${ }^{211}$ |
| 190. | 49 | 63 | 79 | 95 | 110 | 125 | 139 | 154 | 169 | 183 | 194 | 205 | ${ }^{216}$ |
| 200. | 50 | 64 | 80 | 97 | 112 | 128 | 143 | 157 | 172 | 187 | 188 | 209 | 220 |

Seven broad site-quality classes representing the site indexes up to 140 have been in general use in many parts of the ponderosa pine region for some years and were used in this study with only slight change (table 2). These classes can easily be distinguished in the field by the forester well versed in ponderosa pine silviculture.
A problem often encountered in evaluating site quality by tree height and age is the staguated condition in over-dense stands of ponderosa pine on poor sites. To meet this problem in the Inland Empire region Lynch developed adjusted site curves for various levels of stocking. Curves for average-stocked stands which proved to be

Table 2.-Site-quality classification for ponderosa pine, ${ }^{1}$ with corresponding heights at maturity in terms of logs

| Site quality class | Site index |  | Logs in dominant trees at maturity ${ }^{\text {' }}$ (number) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Central value | Range |  |
| I. | 120 | ${ }^{+113}$ |  |
| ${ }_{\text {III }}$ | 106 92 | $90-112$ $85-98$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \text { to } 9 . \\ & 7 . \end{aligned}$ |
| IV. | 78 | 71-84 | 5 to 6. |
| V | 64 | 57-70 | 3 to 4. |
| VI, | 50 | 43-56 |  |
| viI | 36 | 43- | $2-$ |

${ }^{1}$ The values given for ponderosa pine in a previous publication (14) have bere been changed slightly to make the intervals equal.
i Estimated in terms of 16 -foot logs to 8 -inch top. Maturity is assumed to begin at the age of 250 years.
better suited to the Inland Empire conditions than the present interregional curves were also constructed. These Inland Empire site curves* adjusted for stocking may prove useful in other parts of the ponderosa pine region upon careful checking.

## STAND 0.6 INCH AND MORE IN DIAMETER

Tables 3 to 6 and figures 3 to 6 give the yield values for all trees in the stand that are 0.6 inch and more in breast-height diameter. Values are given for number of trees, basal area, average diameter, and cubic-foot volume. These tables and figures are valuable as indicating a site's productive capacity, and the yield trends in stands not yet of merchantable size. They are the standard tables from which all other yield tables of this bulletin were derived and from which still other tables, representing other standards of utilization, may be drawn.

Table 3.-Number of trees per acre ${ }^{1} 0.6$ inch and more in diameter

| $\wedge \mathrm{Age}$ (years) | Trees per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | No. | ${ }^{\text {No. }}$ | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. | No. |
| ${ }_{30}^{20}$ |  | \% $\begin{aligned} & 7,600 \\ & 8,710\end{aligned}$ | 4, 4.678 | 3, ${ }_{\text {328 }}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2}, 750$ | 1, 1.718 | 1,050 | ${ }_{800}^{970}$ | ${ }_{64}^{779}$ | ${ }_{\text {cte }}^{650}$ | ${ }^{561}$ | ${ }_{400}^{470}$ |  |
| ${ }_{80}^{40}$ | (6,960 | ${ }_{2}^{4.020}$ | 2700 |  | 1, 270 | ${ }_{725}^{994}$ | $\begin{array}{r}785 \\ 574 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{4}^{648}$ | 539 425 | ${ }_{373}^{682}$ | $\stackrel{405}{432}$ | ${ }_{238}^{338}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{70}^{60}$ | ${ }_{2}^{2} 880$ | 1.780 | 1. ${ }_{831} 14$ | 850 | ${ }_{502}^{602}$ | 540 415 4 | ${ }_{3}^{45}$ | ${ }_{310}^{389}$ | ${ }_{272}^{34}$ | 301 | ${ }_{20}^{290}$ | ${ }_{204}^{24}$ | 228 189 |
| 80 | 1,300 | 1, 275 | ${ }_{634}^{83}$ | ${ }_{490}^{630}$ | 393 | ${ }_{329} 15$ | ${ }_{236} 32$ | 252 | 225 | 204 | 185 | 174 | ${ }_{162}^{188}$ |
|  | ${ }^{955}$ |  | ${ }_{498}^{495}$ | 390 318 | ${ }_{3}^{316}$ | ${ }_{278}^{272}$ | 236 <br> 198 <br> 1 | ${ }_{179}^{210}$ | ${ }_{1}^{189}$ | 173 | 1159 | ${ }_{1}^{139}$ | $1 \begin{gathered}140 \\ 123\end{gathered}$ |
| 100 | 744 | 532 | 400 | 318 | 266 | 228 | 199 | 179 | 162 | 150 | 139 | 130 | 123 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{130}^{120}$ | 512 435 | 3388 314 3 | 231 248 | 230 203 | ${ }_{173}^{196}$ | 171 <br> 151 <br> 151 <br> 1 | 1132 |  |  | 115 |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{375}$ | 230 280 | ${ }_{219}^{219}$ | ${ }_{182}^{218}$ | 153 | ${ }_{134}$ | 120 | 108 | ${ }_{90}$ | 92 |  |  |  |
|  | 334 | 248 | 198 | 165 | ${ }^{138}$ | 120 | 108 | ${ }^{98}$ | 89 | 83 |  |  |  |
| 180 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{69}^{75}$ |  |  |  |
| 180 | ${ }_{254}^{274}$ | ${ }_{191}^{208}$ | 1152 | ${ }_{125}^{137}$ | $\xrightarrow{115}$ | ${ }_{92}^{100}$ | ${ }_{82}^{89}$ | ${ }_{74}^{81}$ | ${ }_{68}^{74}$ | ${ }_{63}^{68}$ |  |  |  |
|  | ${ }_{2}^{24}$ | ${ }_{1}^{176}$ |  | 115 | ${ }_{9}^{29}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \\ & 89 \\ & 89 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{76}^{76}$ | ${ }^{60}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \\ & 68 \\ & 68 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{8}^{68}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 218 | 167 | 130 | 108 | $\ddot{92}$ | $79$ | 70 | ${ }_{64}^{\circ \circ}$ | 58 | $\mathbf{E H}_{4}$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Tc nsarest whole number.




FIGURE 3.-Number of trees per acre 0.6 inch and more in breast-height diameter.


Figure 4.-Basal area per acre of trees 0.6 inch and more in breast-height diameter.



Figure 6.-Cubic-foot volume per acre of trees 0.6 inch and more in breast-height diameter.
Table 4.-Basal area per acre ${ }^{1}$ of trees 0.6 inch and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Basal area per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 130 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Sq.ft. | Sq.ft. | Sq.ft. | Sq.ft | Sq. ft. | Sq.ft. | Sq.ft. | Ss ft | Sq. fit | Sq. ft . | St jit | Sq.fit | Sq. ft. |
| 20. |  |  |  |  | 58 | 82 | 165 | 17 | 115 | 120 | 12 | 225 | ${ }_{237}^{159}$ |
| 30 40 | 123 | 137 | 151 | 165 | 180 | 195 | ${ }_{210}^{10}$ | 224 | 238 | 252 | 264 | 22.6 | 287 |
| 50 | 138 | 153 | 167 | 182 | 196 | 211 | 226 | 240 | 255 | 269 | 283 | 296 | 308 |
| 60. | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 | 288 | 303 | 317 |
| 70. | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 | 288 | 303 | 318 |
| 80 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 | 238 | 303 | 318 |
| 90 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 154 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 | 288 | ${ }^{303}$ | 318 |
| 100 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 | 288 | 303 | 318 |
| 110. | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
|  | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 160. | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 200. | 141 | 155 | 169 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  | - |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ To nearest whole number

The tables do not list values for ages 110 and more for site indexes 140,150 , and 160 , because no data were available for these ranges. Curve extensions or extrapolations would. be unreliable in these extremes.

Table 5.-Average diameter ${ }^{1}$ of trees 0.6 inch and more in diameter

| Age (years) | A verage breast-height diameter. by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 70 | $8)$ | 90 | 190 | 110 | 130 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Inches | Inches | Jnches | nchas | Inctes | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches |
| 30 |  | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.9 | ${ }^{2 .} 4$ | 3.0 | ${ }^{3.6}$ | 4.4 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.6 | 8.6 |
| 41 | 1.8 | 25 | 32 | 4.2 | 5.1 | 6.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 10.0 | -9.1 | 11.9 | 12.1 |
| 50 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 4.2 | 5.3 | 6.3 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 9.4 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 14.6 |
| 60 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 6.3 | 7.4 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 10.7 | 11.8 | 12.9 | 14.0 | 15.1 | 16.1 |
| 70 | 3.7 | 4.8 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 9.7 | 10.9 | 120 | 13.2 | 14.3 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 17.6 |
| 80 | 4. 5 | 5.7 | 7.0 | 8. 3 | 9.6 | 10.9 | 12. 1 | 13.3 | 14.5 | 15.7 | 16.9 | 17.9 | 19.0 |
| 90 | 5.2 | 6.5 | -. 9 | 9.3 | 10.7 | !2. 0 | 13.3 | 14.6 | 15.8 | 17.0 | 18.2 | 193 | 20.4 |
| 100 | 5.9 | 7.3 | 8.5 | 10.3 | 11.7 | 13.1 | 14.5 | 15.8 | 17.1 | 18.3 | 19.5 | 20.7 | 21.8 |
| 110 | 6.5 | 8.1 | 9.7 | 11.2 | 12.7 | 14.1 | 1.6 | 17.0 | 18.3 | 19.6 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 7.1 | 8.8 | 10.5 | 12.1 | 13.6 | 15. 1 | 1.6 | 18.1 | 19.5 | 20.9 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 11.2 | 12.9 | 14.5 | 16.1 |  | 19.2 | 20.7 | 22.2 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 8.3 | 10.1 | 11.9 | 13.6 | 15.4 | 17.1 | 18.7 | 20.3 | 21.9 | 23.4 |  |  |  |
| 15). | 8.8 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 14.3 | 16.2 | 18.0 | 19.7 | 21.4 | 23.1 | 24.6 |  |  |  |
| 16,0 | 9.3 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 15.0 | 17.0 | 18.9 | 20.7 | 22.5 | 24.2 | 25.8 |  |  |  |
| $1: 0$ | 9.7 | 11.7 | 13.7 | 15.7 | 17.8 | 19.8 | 21.7 | 23.5 | 25.3 | 27.0 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 10.1 | 12.2 | 14.3 | 16.4 | 18.5 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 24. 5 | 26.4 | 28.2 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 105 | 12.7 | 149 | 17.1 | 19.2 | 21.4 | 23.5 | 25.5 | 27.5 | 29.4 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 10.9 | 13.1 | 15.4 | 17.7 | 13.9 | 22.2 | 24.4 | 26.5 | 28.6 | 30.6 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest 0.1 inch.
Table 6.-Cubic-foot volume per acre, ${ }^{1}$ including stump and tip but not bark, of trees 0.6 inch and more in diameter

| Age (vears) | Volume per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft | Cu.ft |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  | 700 | 1,000 | 1,350 | 1,700 | 2. 100 | 2,400 | 2,750 | 3,350 | 3,750 | 4.350 |
| 30 | 500 | 800 | 1.100 | 1.450 | 1,950 | 2.450 | 3.000 | 3. 600 | 4.200 | 4.850 | 5, 500 | 6,150 | 6,850 |
| 40 | 1.050 | 1,350 | 1,750 | 2. 150 | 2. 750 | 3. 400 | 4, 100 | 4.900 | 5.650 | 6,650 | 7,500 | 8. 400 | 9. 350 |
| 50 | 1,450 | 1,850 | 2,300 | 2,750 | 3,400 | 4,200 | 5, 050 | 6,050 | 7.000 | 8,200 | 9,300 | 10, 500 | 11,700 |
| 60 | 1,800 | 2,250 | 2,750 | 3,250 | 3,950 | 4,850 | 5,850 | 7,000 | 8,150 | 9, 500 | 10.900 | 12.300 | 13,700 |
| 70 | 2, 100 | 2,600 | 3,100 | 3,700 | 4,450 | 5,400 | 6, 500 | 7,800 | 9. 100 | 10,650 | 12300 | 13, 850 | 15,450 |
| 80 | 2,400 | 2,900 | 3,400 | 4,100 | 4,900 | 5,900 | 7, 100 | 8, 500 | 9,950 | 11,650 | 13,500 | 15,150 | 16,950 |
| 90. | 2,650 | 3,150 | 3,650 | 4.450 | 5, 300 | 6,350 | 7,650 | 9,100 | 10, 700 | 12,550 | 14,550 | 16,250 | 18,250 |
| 100 | 2,900 | 3,400 | 3,900 | 4,750 | 5, 650 | 6,750 | 8, 100 | 9,650 | 11, 350 | 13, 350 | 15, 450 | 17,200 | 19,350 |
| 110 | 3,100 | 3,600 | 4,150 | 5,000 | 5,950 | 7, 100 | 8, 500 | 10,100 | 11,900 | 14,050 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 3,300 | 3,800 | 4, 400 | 5, 250 | 6,200 | 7,400 | 8,850 | 10, 500 | 12,400 | 14,650 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 3,450 | 4,000 | 4,600 | 5,500 | 6,450 | 7,700 | 9,150 | 10, 850 | 12, 850 | 15,150 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 3, 600 | 4,150 | 4,800 | 5,700 | 6, 650 | 7,950 | 9,450 | 11, 200 | 13, 250 | 15, 50 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 3,700 | 4,300 | 4,950 | 5,900 | 6,850 | 8,200 | 9,750 | 11, 500 | 13, 600 | 15,900 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 3,800 | 4,450 | 5,100 | 6,050 | 7,050 | 8,450 | 10,000 | 11, 810 | 13,950 | 16,250 |  |  |  |
| 1170 | 3,900 | 4,550 | 5,250 | 6,200 | 7, 250 | 8.650 | 10,250 | 12. 100 | 14.250 | 16.600 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 4,000 | 4,650 | 5, 400 | 6,350 | 7,450 | 8,850 | 10, 500 | 12, 350 | 14.50 | 16,950 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 4,100 | 4, 750 | 5, 500 | 6, 500 | 7,650 | 9, 050 | 10,750 | 12, 600 | 14.850 | 17, 300 |  |  |  |
| 200. | 4,200 | 4,850 | 5,600 | 6,650 | 7,800 | 9, 250 | 10, 950 | 12,800 | 15, 100 | 17, 650 |  |  |  |

The maximum number of trees 0.6 inch and more in diameter per acre in young ponderosa pine stands has not been determined. It must be well over 10,000, and is probably close to 20,000 . At a certain
age it is greater for each successively lower site-quality class. For all site qualities the number of trees decreases with advance in age, rapidly at first and then more slowly. The number per acre at maturity is never more than a few hundred, sometimes less than 100 . On land of site index 80 , for instance, a fully stocked stand has 1,750

trees per acre at 30 years, but only 266 at 100 years and only 92 at 200 years.

The form of the basal-area curves in figure 4 is unusual in that the maximum value is reached at an early age and then maintained. This trend corresponds directly, however, with that shown by Behre's study of ponderosa pine in Idaho and eastern Washington $(3,4)$.

## STAND 6.6 INCHES AND MORE IN DIAMETEF

Tables 7 to 11 give the yield values for all trees 6.6 inches and more in diameter. They show number of trees, basal area, average diameter, cubic-foot volume, and board-foot volume estimated by Inter-


Figure 8.-Board-foot volume (International rule, $\begin{aligned} & 1 / \text {-inch kerf) per acre of trees } 6.6 \text { inchas and more in } \\ & \text { breast-height diameter. }\end{aligned}$
national rule for $1 / 8$-inch kerf to a top diameter of 6 inches inside bark Charts showing number of trees and board-foot volume for this partial stand appear as figures 7 and 8 . Other charts can be plotted from the tabulated data as needed.

The number of trees per acre in this partial stand reaches its maximum at a relatively early age for each site-quality class, but at a later
age for each successively lower class. The maxima vary from 247 to 362 , roughly corresponding to average spacings of 13 to 11 feet

The board-foot values by International rule are much larger than the log scale obtained under present utilization practice, but are believed to approximate the mill scale that will be realized when the logging is conducted according to the highest standard of woods utilization and the lumber is cut with band saws. It is reasonable to assume that this standard of utilization will be attained as soon in the ponderosa pine forests as in mostother forests of the far West, particularly of the Pacific Northwest. At present this table will be useful in making comparisons with yields of other timber species of the United States, since in most of the yield studies thus far made in the West these standards of estimate have been followed.

Table 7.-Number of trees per acre ${ }^{1} 6.5$ inches and more in diameter

| Ave (years) | Trees per acre, hy site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 0 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Num- | Num. | Num- | Num. | Num. | Vinm. | 八um- | Num- | Num- | Num- | Num. | Num- | Num- |
| 20 |  |  |  | ber | ${ }_{8}^{\text {Ser }}$ | ${ }_{20}^{\text {ber }}$ | ${ }_{61}$ | ber 104 | ber 156 | ${ }_{202}$ | ber 226 | - ber | eer |
| 30 |  |  | $1 i$ | 17 | 100 | 166 | 242 | 290 | 313 | 322 | 320 | ${ }_{308}^{24}$ | ${ }^{248}$ |
| 40 |  | 21 | $66^{6}$ | 1.51 | 238 | 309 | 319 | 362 | 360 | 346 | 329 | 310 | 284 |
| 50 | 11 | 72 | 154 | 254 | 318 | 349 | 354 | 3.50 | 334 | 315 | 295 | 274 | 253 |
| 60 | 28 | 126 | 218 | 296 | 326 | 333 | 324 | 310 | 291 | 274 | 252 | 232 | 218 |
| 70 | 55 | 190 | 250 | 303 | 310 | 301 | 2at | 270 | 249 | 232 | 213 | 199 | 186 |
| 80 | 96 | 236 | 250 | 292 | $22_{2}$ | 2266 | 249 | 232 | 215 | 197 | 182 | 171 | 160 |
| 90 | 175 | 252 | 274 | ${ }_{2} 27$ | 253 | 236 | 216 | 200 | 184 | 168 | 157 | 148 | 139 |
| 100 | 226 | 256 | 259 | 246 | 227 | 205 | 190 | 174 | 159 | 147 | 138 | 129 | 122 |
| 110 | 244 | 250 | 240 | 224 | 203 | 185 | 166 | 151 | 139 | 129 |  |  |  |
| 120 | ${ }^{24}$ | 237 | 222 | 202 | 182 | 164 | 146 | 135 | 123 | 114 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 241 | 224 | 20.5 | 185 | 1625 | 146 | 131 | 120 | 109 | 101 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 232 | 212 | 188 | 170 | 148 | 131 | 115 | 107 | 95 | 91 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 222 | 200 | 175 | 157 | 134 | 118 | $10{ }^{-7}$ | 97 | $\times 9$ | 83 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 213 | 188 | 163 | 144 | 122 | 108 | 97 | 88 | 81 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 204 | 178 | 154 | 132 | 113 | 99 | 89 | 81 | ${ }^{2} 4$ | 69 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 196 | 168 | 144 | 122 | 105 | 91 | 82 | 74 | 68 | 63 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 188 | 159 | 134 | 113 | 98 | 85 | ${ }^{76}$ | 69 | 63 | 58 |  |  |  |
| 200. | 150 | 152 | 126 | 106 | 91 | 79 | 70 | 64 | 58 | 54 |  |  |  |

To nearest whole number.
Table 8.-Basal area per acre ${ }^{1}$ of trees 6.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Basal area per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | s0 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
| 20 | Sq.ft. | Sq ft | St.ft. | Sq.ft. | Sq. ff. | Sq. ft. | S7. ft | So. ft | Sq. ft | Sa. ft . | Sq. ft . | Sq.ft. | S7.ft |
| 30 |  |  | 3 | 15 | 32 | 58 | 94 | 124 | 148 | 172 | 192 | ${ }_{210}^{19}$ | ${ }_{227}^{138}$ |
| 40 |  | 6 | 20 | 51 | 88 | 126 | 161 | 188 | 212 | 235 | 252 | 268 | $2 \times 2$ |
| 50 | 3 | 22 | 52 | 96 | 134 | 165 | 195 | 219 | 241 | 260 | 277 | 292 | 306 |
| 60. | 8 | 42 | 81 | 125 | 157 | 15.5 | 210 | 231 | 252 | 268 | 285 | 301 | 315 |
| 70 | 16 | 69 | 106 | 144 | 172 | 195 | 217 | 237 | 255 | 271 | 286 | 302 | 317 |
| 80 | 30 | 93 | 128 | 157 | 181 | 202 | 222 | 240 | 256 | 272 | 287 | 302 | 318 |
| 90 | 58 | 109 | 140 | 167 | 187 | 207 | 224 | 242 | 257 | 272 | 287 | 303 | 318 |
| 100 | 80 | 121 | 149 | 173 | 192 | 210 | 226 | 242 | 257 | 272 | 288 | 303 | 318 |
| 110 | 93 | 131 | 156 | 177 | 194 | 211 | 227 | 242 | 257 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 101 | 137 | 160 | 179 | 196 | 212 | 227 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 106 | 141 | 163 | 181 | 197 | 212 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 110 | 145 | 164 | 182 | 197 | 212 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 113 | 148 | 165 | 183 | 197 | 212 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 160. | 115 | 149 | 166 | 183 | 197 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 118 | 150 | 167 | 183 | 197 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 121 | 151 | 168 | 183 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 124 | 152 | 168 | 183 | 198 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
|  | 126 | 153 | 168 | 184 | 198 | 213 | 238 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest whole number

Table 9.- Average diameter ${ }^{1}$ of trees 6.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | A verage breast-height diameter, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $4)$ | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | In | $1 n$ | In. | In. | ${ }_{7.2}{ }_{7}$ | ${ }_{7.4}^{1 n .4}$ | ${ }_{7.6}{ }^{\text {n }}$. | ${ }_{8.0}^{1 n .}$ | $I n$ | In. | ${ }_{9}^{12}$. | ${ }_{9.5}^{1 n .}$ | ${ }_{10.1}^{\text {In. }}$ |
| 30 |  |  | 22 | 7.5 | 7.7 | 8.0 | 8.4 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 12.0 |
| 40 |  | -3 |  | 7.9 | 8.2 | 8.6 | 9. 2 | 9.7 | 10.4 | 11.2 | 11.8 | 12.6 | 13.5 |
| 50 | 72 | $\therefore 5$ | - 8 | 8.3 | 8.8 | 9.3 | 10.0 | 10.7 | 11.5 | 12.3 | 13.1 | 14.0 | 14.8 |
| 60 | - 3 | \%. 8 | 4.2 | 8.8 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 12.6 | 13.4 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 16.3 |
| ${ }^{70}$ | 2.4 | 5. 2 | ¢. 6 | 9.4 | 10.1 | 10.9 | 11.8 | 12.7 | 13.7 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 16.7 | 17.7 |
| 80 |  | S. 5 | 9.1 | 10.0 | 10.8 | 11.8 | 12.8 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 15.9 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 19.1 |
| 90 | $\div$ | -9 | 97 | 10.6 | 11.6 | 12.7 | 13.8 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 17.2 | 18.3 | 19.4 | 20.5 |
| 100 | 40 | 9.3 | 10.3 | 11.3 | 12.4 | 13.6 | 14.8 | 16.0 | 17.2 | 18.4 | 19.6 | 20.8 | 21.9 |
| 110 | - 3 | 93 | 10.9 | 12.0 | 13.2 | 14. 5 | 15.8 | 17.1 | 18.4 | 19.7 |  |  |  |
| 120 | $\mathrm{S}_{6} 6$ | 10.3 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 14.0 | 15.4 | 16.8 | 18.2 | 19.6 | 21.0 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 9.0 | 10. s | 12.1 | 13.4 | 14.8 | 16.3 | 17.8 | 19.3 | 20.8 | 22.2 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 9.3 | 11.2 | 12. | 14.0 | 15.6 | 17.2 | 18.8 | 20.4 | 22.0 | 23.4 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 9.6 | 11.6 | 13.2 | 14.6 | 16.4 | 18.1 | 19.8 | 21.5 | 23.1 | 24.6 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 13.7 | 15.3 | 17.2 | 19.0 | 20.8 | 22.5 | 24.2 | 25.8 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 103 | 12.4 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 17.9 | 19.8 | 21.7 | 23.5 | 25.3 | 27.0 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 10.6 | 12.8 | 14.7 | 16.6 | 18.6 | 20.6 | 22.6 | 24.5 | ${ }^{26.4}$ | 28.2 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 11.0 | 13.2 | 15.2 | 17.2 | 19.3 | 21.4 | 23.5 | 25.5 | 27. 5 | 29.4 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 11.4 | 13.6 | 15.7 | 17.8 | 20.0 | 22.2 | 24.4 | 26.5 | 28. 6 | 30.6 |  |  | .-. |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest 0.1 inch
Table 10.-Cubic-foot volume per acre, ${ }^{1}$ including stump and tip but not bark, of trees 6.6 inches and more in diameter

Volume per acre, by site index-


To nearest 10 cubic feet.

Table 11.-Board-foot volume per acre, ${ }^{1}$ International rule ( 1 s-inch kerf), of trees 6.6 incies and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Volume per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | so | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 1.50) | 160 |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd.ft | Bd. ft. | Bd |  |
| 30 |  |  |  | 400 | 1,100 | 2,800 | 5, 400 | 8.200 | 12,500 | 17, 400 | 23, 200 |  | 16, ${ }^{1600}$ |
| 40 |  |  | 500 | 1,900 | 3,700 | 7,100 | 11,900 | 17,000 | 23,900 | 31,400 | 39, 000 | 46, 400 | 55, 200 |
| 50 |  | 500 | 1,800 | 4, 500 | 7.700 | 12,700 | 19,300 | 26, 400 | 35,200 | 44,400 | 53,400 | 62,500 | 72,500 |
| 60 | 100 | 1,500 | 3,700 | 7,600 | 12,600 | 19,000 | 27,000 | 35,300 | 45, 400 | 56,000 | 66,400 | 77,000 | 85.300 |
| 70 | 400 | 3,000 | 6, 100 | 11,200 | ${ }^{17} 990$ | 25, 400 | 34,000 | 43,200 | 54, 300 | 66. 300 | 78,100 | ${ }^{90} 200$ | 102.900 |
| 80 | 1,000 | 4,900 | 8, 800 | 15,000 | 23, 100 | 31, 100 | 40, 200 | 50, 100 | 62, 200 | 75. 400 | 88,700 | 102, 300 | 116. 400 |
| 90 | 1,900 | 7,000 | 11,700 | 18,600 | 27, 500 | 36, 100 | 45, 600 | 56,300: | -69,300 | 83,500 | 98,300 | 113,300 | 128.800 |
| 100 | 3,200 | 9, 200 | 14,600 | 22,000 | 31, 200 | 40,300 | 50, 300 | 61,800 | -5,600 | 90, 800 | 107, 000 | 123, 300 | 200 |
| 110 | 4,600 | 11,300 | 17, 400 | 25,000 | 34, 400 | 43.900 | 54, 500 | 66, 600 | 81,300 | 97, 500 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 6. 200 | 13,300 | 20, 000 | 27, 700 | 37, 300 | 47, 200 | 58, 200 | 11,000 | 86,400 | 103. 600 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 7,800 | 15,200 | 22,300 | 30, 200 | 40, 000 | 50. 200 | 61.600 | 75, 000 | 191.000 | 108.900 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 9,300 | 17,000 | 24, 400 | 32,500 | 42,500 | 53,000 |  | 88,700 | 95, 200 | 113. 200 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 10,700 | 18, 700 | 26, 400 | 34,600 | 44, 800 | 55, 600 | 67, 800 | 82, 200 | 99, 100 | 118, 200 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 12,000 | 20, 300 | 28, 300 | 36,600 | 46,900 | 58, 100 | 70,600 | 85, 500 | 102, 800 | 122, 200 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 13,200 | 21, 800 | 30,000 | 38, 500 | 48,900 | 60, 500 | 73,300 | 88,500 | 106, 300 | 126, 000 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 14, 400 | 23, 200 | 31, 600 | 40,300 | 50,900 | 62, 800 | 75, 900 | 91, 300 | 109, 600 | 129,600 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 15, 600 | 24,600 | 33, 100 | 42,000 | 52, 800 | 65, 000 | 78, 400 | 94, 000 | 112, 700 | 133,000 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 16,700 | 26,000 | 34, 600 | 43,700 | 54, 700 | 67, 100 | $80,800$ | $96,700$ | $115,600$ | 136, 300 |  |  |  |

To 6 -inch top inside bark, exclusive of 2 -foot stump, measured to nearest 100 board feet.


Tables 12 to 16 and figures 9 and 10 give values for all trees in the stand that are 11.6 inches or more in breast-height diameter. The tables show number of trees, basal area, average diameter, cubic-foot volume, and board-foot volume estimated by Scribner rule to an 8 -inch top diameter inside bark. The figures show number of trees


Figure 10.-Board-foot volume (Scribner rule) per acre of trees 11.6 inches and more in breast-height
and board-foot volume. The other values can be plotted and curved as noeded.
The maximum number of trees per acre for this portion of the stand varies from 78 to 181 according to site quality. These values represent an average spacing of roughly 24 to 16 feet. The better the site quality, the larger the maximum number of trees. The spacing increases rapidly with advancing age of the stand, because of the reduction in total number of trees of this size range.

The yield values in board feet, Scribner rule, for this part of the stand approximate closely those already realized under current logging practice in well-stocked stands in many parts of the ponderosa pine region. With careful practice substantial overruns will be obtained in the mill.

Table 12.-Number of trees per acre ${ }^{1} 11.6$ inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Trees per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Num. | Num- | Num- | Num. | Num- | Num- | Num- | Num | Num. | Num | Num- | Num- | Num- |
| 20. |  |  |  |  |  | ber | ber | ${ }^{\text {ber }}$ | ${ }_{4}{ }_{4}$ | ber | ber |  | ber |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  | 20 | 37 | 66 | 92 | 116 | ${ }_{13}^{60}$ |
| 40 |  |  |  | 3 | 8 | 17 | 36 | 65 | 100 | 127 | 146 | 162 | ${ }_{172}^{133}$ |
| 50 |  |  | 3 | 9 | 21 | 41. | 84 | 110 | 136 | 155 | 168 | 178 | 181 |
| 60. |  | 2 | 6 | 18 | 42 | 79 | 109 | 132 | 151 |  | 171 |  |  |
| 70 |  | ${ }_{6}$ | 14 | 36 | 73 | 103 | 126 | 143 | 155 | 161 | 163 | 162 | 160 |
| so | 3 | 11 | 27 | 63 | 94 | 117 | 134 | 146 | 152 | 154 | 151 | 149 | 145 |
| 90. | 1 | 18 | 47 | 85 | 107 | 125 | 137 | 143 | 145 | 143 | 138 | 135 | 131 |
| 100. | 11 | 31 | 68 | 96 | 115 | 128 | 135 | 136 | 134 | 131 | 127 | 122 | 118 |
| 110. | 16 | 47 | 81 | 104 | 118 | 126 | 129 | 127 | 123 | 120 |  |  |  |
| 120. | 25 | 62 | 90 | 109 | 118 | 123 | 122 | 118 | 113 | 109 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 35 | 73 | 95 | 110 | 117 | 118 | 114 | 109 | 104 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 48 | 79 | 99 | 110 | 113 | 111 | 107 | 101 | 96 | 91 |  |  |  |
| 150. | 57 | 84 | 101 | 109 | 108 | 104 | 100 | 93 | 88 | 83 |  |  |  |
| 160 |  |  | 102 | 107 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170 | 68 | 90 | 101 | 104 | 98 | 92 | 86 | ${ }^{80}$ | 74 | 69 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 72 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 87 | 80 | 74 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190. | 76 | ${ }^{93}$ | 98 | 96 | 89 | 82 | 75 | 69 | 63 |  |  |  |  |
| 200. | 78 | 93 | 96 | 92 | 85 | 78 | 70 | 64 | 58 | 54 |  |  |  |

1 To nearest whole number
Table 13.-Basal area per acre ${ }^{1}$ of trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Basal area per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft. | Sq. ft | Sq. $f t$. | Sq. ft. | Ss. ft. | Sq. ft. |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 16 | 33 | 60 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 8 | 18 | 35 | 64 | 95 | 126 | 154 |
| 40. |  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 15 | 34 | 63 | 102 | 138 | 168 | 199 | 228 |
| 50 |  |  | 3 | 8 | 19 | 39 | 83 | 115 | 153 | 186 | 217 | 246 | 272 |
| 60 |  | 2 | 5 | 16 | 40 | 78 | 117 | 150 | 185 | 217 | 248 | 273 | 295 |
| 70 | 1 | 5 | 13 | 34 | 73 | 110 | 145 | 178 | 209 | 236 | 263 | 284 | 305 |
| 80 | 3 | 10 | 25 | 62 | 100 | 135 | 169 | 199 | 226 | 251 | 273 | 292 | 310 |
| 90 | 6 | 17 | 45 | 88 | 122 | 155 | 188 | 215 | 239 | 259 | 278 | 297 | 314 |
| 100 | 10 | 29 | 69 | 106 | 140 | 172 | 200 | 225 | 245 | 264 | 282 | 300 | 317 |
| 110. | 15 | 46 | 87 | 122 | 154 | 183 | 209 | 231 | 249 | 268 |  |  |  |
| 120. | 23 | 62 | 101 | 136 | 165 | 192 | 215 | 235 | $2 \leqslant 2$ | 220 |  |  |  |
| 130. | 34 | 77 | 112 | 146 | 174 | 198 | 219 | 238 | 255 | 272 |  |  |  |
| 140. | 47 | 87 | 122 | 154 | 180 | 202 | 222 | 240 | 257 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 150. | 57 | 96 | 130 | 160 | 185 | 205 | 224 | 242 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 160. | 66 | 103 | 137 | 165 | 188 | 207 | 226 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 170. | 73 | 110 | 142 | 169 | 190 | 209 | 227 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 180. | 79 | 116 | 146 | 172 | 192 | 211 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
| 190. | 85 | 121 | 150 | 175 | 194 | 212 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |
|  | 90 | 125 | 154 | 177 | 195 | 213 | 228 | 243 | 258 | 273 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest whole number.

Table 14.-Average diameter ${ }^{1}$ of trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | A verage breast-height diameter, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches | Inches |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 13.4 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 14.6 |
| 40 |  |  |  | 12.7 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 13.7 | 14.1 | 14.5 | 15.0 | 15.6 |
| 50 |  |  | 12.6 | 12.8 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 13.8 | 14.3 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 15.9 | 16.6 |
| 60. |  | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 13.5 | 14.0 | 14.4 | 15.0 | 15.6 | 16.3 | 16.9 | 17.6 |
| 70 | 12.6 | 12.7 | 12.9 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 14. 0 | 14.6 | 15.1 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 17.9 | 18.7 |
| 80 | 12.7 | 12.8 | 13.1 | 13.4 | 13.9 | 14.5 | 15.2 | 15.8 | 16.5 | 17.3 | 18.2 | 18.9 | 19.8 |
| 90 | 12.8 | 13.0 | 13.3 | 13.8 | 14.4 | 15. 1 | 15.8 | ${ }^{16.6}$ | 17.4 | 18.2 | 19.2 | 20.0 | ${ }^{21.0}$ |
| 100 | 12.9 | 13.2 | 13.6 | 14.2 | 14.9 | 15.7 | 16.5 | 17.4 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 20.2 | 21.2 | 22.2 |
| 110 | 13.0 | 13.4 | 14.0 | 14.6 | 15.5 | 16.3 | 17.2 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 20.2 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 13.1 | 13.6 | 14.4 | 15.1 | 16.0 | 16.9 | 18.0 | 19.1 | ${ }^{20.2}$ | ${ }^{21.3}$ |  |  |  |
| 130 | 13.2 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 15.6 | 16.5 | 17.6 | 18.8 | 20.0 | ${ }^{21.2}$ | 22.4 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 13.4 | 14.2 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 17.1 | 18.3 | 19.5 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 23.5 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 13.6 | 14.4 | 15.4 | 16.4 | 17.7 | 19.0 | 20.3 | 21.8 | 23.2 | 24.6 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 13.8 | 14. 6 | 15.7 | 16.8 | 18.3 | 19.7 | 21.1 | 22.7 | 24.2 | 25.8 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 14.0 | 14.9 | 16.0 | 17.3 | 18.9 | 20.4 | 22.0 | 23.6 | 25.3 | 27.0 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 14.2 | 15. 2 | 16.4 | 17.8 | 19.5 | ${ }^{21.1}$ | 22.8 | 24.5 | 26.4 | 28.2 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 14.4 | 15. 7 | 16.8 | 18.3 | 20.0 | ${ }_{22}^{21.7}$ | ${ }^{23.6}$ | 25. 5 | ${ }^{27.5}$ | ${ }^{29.4}$ |  |  |  |
| 200 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 17.1 | 18.8 | 20.5 | 22.4 | 24.4 | 26.5 | 28.6 | 30.6 |  |  |  |

To nearest 0.1 inch
Table 15.-Cubic-foot volume per acre, ${ }^{1}$ including stump and tip but not bark, of trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Volume per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu | Cu.ft. |
| $30$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 470 | ${ }_{970}^{120}$ | 1280 |  |  |  |
| 40 |  |  |  | 30 | 120 | 340 | 820 | 1,740 | 2. 770 | 3,990 | 5,230 | 6. 580 | 7.950 |
|  |  |  | 30 | 150 | 410 | 970 | 2,060 | 3, 230 | 4,600 | 6,170 | 7 7,670 | 9,260 | 10,780 |
| 60 |  | 30 | 120 | 400 | 990 | 2,040 | 3,280 | 4,710 | 6,320 | 8,080 | 9,830 | 11,520 | 13, |
| 70 |  | 90 | 310 | 870 | 1,870 | 3,090 | 4,440 | 6,110 | 7,910 | 9,730 | 11, 590 | 13, 380 | 15, 080 |
| 8 | 50 | 220 | 710 | 1,650 | 2,720 | 4, 110 | 5,540 | 7,310 | ${ }^{9} 210$ | 11, 100 | 13,030 | 14.880 | 16.640 |
|  | 110 | 470 | 1,310 | 2, 400 | 3, 520 | 5,010 | 6. 520 | 8,310 | 10, 280 | 12,230 | 14, 200 | 16.110 | 17,940 |
| 100 | 240 | 870 | 1,960 | 3,100 | 4, 270 | 5,790 | 7,310 | 9, 130 | 11, 140 | 13, 140 | 15, 160 | 17, 430 | 19.020 |
| ${ }^{110}$ | 460 | 1,370 | 2,560 | 3,710 | 4,890 | 6.420 | 7, 950 | 9,780 | 11,800 | 13,900 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 780 | 1,820 | 3, 060 | 4,220 | 5,410 | 6,950 | 8,490 | 10, 330 | 12.360 | 14.540 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 1,140 | 2, 210 | 3,460 | 4,640 | 5, 850 | 7,400 | 8,950 | 10, 800 | 12,840 | 15,070 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 1,450 | 2,550 | 3,810 | 5,000 | 6,230 | 7,780 | 9,340 | 11, 200 | 13, 250 | 15, 500 |  |  |  |
| 150. | 1,720 | 2,840 | 4,110 | 5,320 | 6, 560 | 8,120 | 9,680 | 11, 550 | 13, 600 | 15, 850 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 1,950 | 3, 080 |  | 5,600 | 6, 840 | 8,420 | 9,990 | 11,850 | 13,950 | 16,200 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 2.160 | 3,300 | 4,590 | 5,840 | 7,090 | 8,680 | 10, 270 | 12,150 | 14,250 | 16, 550 |  |  |  |
| 180 190 | 21360 | 3,510 3 3 | 4,810 | 6,060 |  |  |  |  | 14,550 | 16.900 |  |  |  |
| 190 | ${ }_{2}^{2} 5350$ | 3, 3 300 | 5, 220 | 6, 6170 |  |  |  | 12,650 12,850 | 14, 150 | 17, ${ }^{17,600}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2730 |  | 5,220 | 6, 470 | 7,730 | 9,340 | 10, 950 | 12,850 | 15, 100 | 17,600 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest 10 cubic feet.

Table 16.-Board-foot volume ${ }^{1}$ per acre, Scribner rule, of trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Volume per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 50 | 60 | ${ }^{1} 0$ | so | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 200 | 1,000 | 2. 500 | 5,100 | 8,400 | 1,900 | 3,800 16,000 |  |
| 40 |  |  |  | 100 |  | 1,900 | 4, 300 | 7. 500 | 12. 100 | 17.600 | 23, 100 | 29, 200 | 36, 500 |
| 50 |  |  | 100 | 700 | 2,300 | 5,000 | 9,200 | 14,000 | 20, 300 | 27,400 | 34,600 | 42,500 | 51,300 |
| 60 |  |  | ${ }_{600}$ | 2.200 | 5,100 | 9, 100 | 14, 800 | 21,000 | 28,400 | 37,000 | 45,800 | 55, 300 | 65, 400 |
| ${ }_{80}^{70}$ |  | 300 | 1.800 3.500 | 4.300 | 8, 500 | 13,800 | 20, 500 | 27, 800 | 36,400 | 46.200 | 56. 500 | 67. 300 | 78, 800 |
| 80 | 200 | 2.000 | S. 500 | 10.000 |  | 13, 18.000 | 31. 200 | 34. 200 40 200 | 50, 53000 | 54, 800 |  |  | 91,300 103,000 |
| 100 | 400 | 3. 400 | 7. 800 | 13, 100 | 19, 700 | 27,200 | 36, 100 | 45, 800 | 57, 100 | 70, 000 | 8i, 400 | 99, 100 | 113,900 |
| 110 | 800 | 5.000 | 10, 200 | 16.200 | 23. 100 | 31, 100 | 40,600 | 50.800 | 62,900 | 76,700 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 1,500 | -.000 | 12,500 | 19,000 | 25, 200 | 34, 700 | 44.600 | 35, 400 | \|68, 200 | 82. 800 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 2. 500 | 8. 900 | 14.700 | ${ }^{21 .} 500$ | 29, 000 | 38,000 | 48, 300 | 59.600 | 73.000 | 88,300 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 3,800 | 10. 700 | 16, 700 | 23. 700 | 31, 500 | 40,900 | 51, 700 | 63, 400 | 77,400 | 93. 200 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 5,200 | 12. 400 | 18,500 | 25,700 | 33, 800 | 43, 600 | 54, 800 | 66,900 | 81,400 | 97, 600 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 6. 600 | 13. 900 | 20. 100 | 27.500 | 35.900 | 46, 100 | 57, 600 | 70, 100 | 84,900 | 101, 500 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 7.900 | 15.300 | 21. 600 | 29. 200 | 37.800 | 48, 400 | 60. 100 | 73, 000 \|' | 88,000 | 105.000 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 9.000 | 16. 600 | 23, 100 | 30.900 | 39.600 | 50. 500 | 62.400 | 75. 600 | 90.900 | 108, 200 |  |  |  |
| 190. | 10,000 | 1-, 800 | 24, 500 | 32,500 | 41,300 | 52, 400 |  |  |  | 111. 200 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 11,000; | 19.000 | $\mathrm{I}^{25,800}$ | 34, 000 | 43,000 | 54, 200 | 66,700 | 80, 200 | 96, 100 | 114, 100 |  |  |  |

$\because$ In 16 -foot $\log$ to 8 -inch top, exclusive of 2 -foot stump, measured to nearest 100 board feet.
comparison with previous findings
As has been mentioned, studies have previously been made of the yield of even-aged stands of ponderosa pine in several different portions of the range of the species. Reports on studies of this kind have been written by Gallaher, ${ }^{4}$ Show. (22), Alexander (5), Behre (3, 4), Dunning and Reineke (11), and Reineke. ${ }^{5}$

Of the six studies listed, Gallaher's showed the highest volumes for given site indexes and ages, Alexander's the lowest. The small part of California to which Gallaher's data refer is unsurpassed for pine production throughout the ponderosa pine region. The even-aged stands near Nevada City and Grass Valley greatly excel any otherstands, even on comparable sites, in volume production. However, the reasons for the high values obtained by Gallaher are not fully evident. His measurements were taken more than 25 years ago, and it is possible that some of the best stands represented by them have since been destroyed. Repeated surface fires have been set since that time to improve range conditions, and have reduced the stocking of the stands. Alexander's yield values, for British Columbia, are extremely low owing to the fact that stocking has been greatly reduced by repeated surface fires throughout the pine region of British Columbia and that the comparatively high ratio of stocking normality exhibited by the plots measured in the United States was nowhere duplicated in that Province.
Cubic-foot yield tables were included in all the reports but Gallaher's and Alexander's. Yields indicated by these tables for site indexes 80 and 120 are shown in table 17. Snme of the values shown in the table


can be read directly from the original tables; the others have been interpolated as exactly as possible.

Table 17.-Ponderosa pine yiclds per acre indicated by findings of different investigators ${ }^{1}$ in the United States

| Age (ycars) | Site index 80 |  |  |  | Site index 120 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meyer | Behre | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dunning } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Reincke }, \end{aligned}$ | Show | Meyer | Bebre | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dunning } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Reineke } \end{aligned}$ |
| 30 | cut.ft. |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { cu. ft. } \\ 1,650 \end{gathered}$ | Cu.ft. |  | Cu. ft. 3,080 cose | Cu. ft. ${ }_{2,650}$ |
| (4) | $3,9.50$ 5.300 | 3.590 <br> 4.640 | 5.300 78.850 | 5, 820 | 8,150 10 10 | 6,720 8670 8 |  |
| 130 | 6. 290 | 5. 610 | 9,900 | 9.970 | 12.400 | 10, 4.50 | 15.900 |
| 15). | 6. 8.50 | 6, 560 | 11. 600 | 12,020 | 13,600 | 12,240 | 18.400 |

Sources of values shown: Meyer, present publication; Behre (s); Dunning and Reineke ( 11 ); Show ( 28 ) ${ }^{2}$ Values are for stands in which heights of dominants and codominants a veraged 69.5 feet at 50 years.

The values given in the table vary widely, even though the upper and lower extremes of the range of yield values shown by individual studies are not included. Meyer's and Behre's values are fairly comparable. For ages 90 years and more Reineke's values and, with one exception, Show's values are far higher. Reconciliation is.well-nigh impossible. The values presented by Reineke, which were based on data gathered by him and by Show and other investigators, are not supported by the newer California data. Show's values represent chiefly yields of even-aged groups in a generally uneven-aged forest, and hence may connote a long initial period of highly competitive growth conditions or of suppression.

In view of the fact that in application of yield tables the values are adjusted to existent stand conditions, by means of normality percentages, differences between two sets of yield values are not disturbing so long as they are consistent, like the differences between Reineke's values and those of the present study. After adjustment the two may be identical. The chief difficulty with high values is psychological; many practicing foresters, familiar with average stand conditions, cannot put faith in yield tables showing values greatly exceeding average actual yields.

## NORMAL MORTALITY

The enormous reduction in number of trees in a stand between early youth and maturity involves elimination of much volume that is seldom utilized under the present crude forestry practice but that will probably be utilized more commonly in the future in favorable situations and times. The trees that are normally lost through mortality should be removed in thinnings before they die.

Normal mortality as computed in this study is shown in table 18 The values tabulated were not obtained through long-term studies of mortality in single stands but were computed from the statistics obtained in the study of live stands, by a method explained in the appendix. They are uncurved, and are presented as approximate only. On land of site index 80 , for example, 980 trees 0.6 inch and more in diameter die out between the ages of 20 and 40 years, 608 during the next 20 -year period, 269 during the third, and so forth;
table $\mathbf{1 8} 8$ shows that the volume of the first lot of trees is only 101 cubic feet, because of their small size; that of the second is 552 cubic feet; and that of the third is 605 cubic feet. The cumulative totals for the ages 20 to 100 years, for site indexes $40,80,120$, and 160 , are 1,212 , $1,723,2,695$, and 4,360 cubic feet, respectively. These totals are 42 , 30,24 , and 23 percent, respectively, of the live volume for these site indexes at 100 years. It is seldom appreciated that the volume lost by a forest stand through normal mortality is such a large portion of the total production.
Table 18.-Normal mortality, by 20-year periods, for all trees 0.6 inch and more in breast-height diameter
PERIODIC VOLUME LOSS PER ACRE

| Age period (years) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 80 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 100 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 120 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 140 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Site } \\ \text { index } \\ 160 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-40$ | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu. ft. | Cu.ft. |
| $40-60$ | 391 | 556 | 552 | 613 | 601 | 741 | 868 |
| $60-80$ | 499 | 507 | 605 | 601 | 893 | 1,267 | 1.719 |
| 80-100. | 322 | 427 | 465 | 722 | 1.095 | 1.344 | 1. 700 |
| 100-120. | 221 | 307 | 409 | 727 | 865 |  |  |
| 120-140 | 179 | 248 | 427 | 710 | 1.088 |  |  |
| ${ }^{140-160}$ | 144 | 189 | 425 | 767 | 1,224 |  |  |
| $160-180$ | 88 | ${ }_{2}^{225}$ | 490 | ${ }_{7}^{699}$ | 1,073 |  |  |
| 180-200 | 107 | 217 | 347 | 765 | 961 |  |  |

Cumulative volume loss per acre

| $20-40$ |  | 194 | 101 | 130 | 106 | 99 | 73 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20-60$ | 391 | 750 | 653 | 743 | 707 | 810 | 941 |
| $20-80$ | 890 | 1,257 | 1,258 | 1,344 | 1.600 | 2. 107 | 2. 660 |
| $20-100$ | 1,212 | 1,684 | 1,723 | 2,066 | 2.695 | 3, 451 | 4. 360 |
| $20-120$ | 1,433 | 1,991 | 2.132 | 2,793 | 3. 360 |  |  |
| $20-140$ | 1,612 | 2,239 | 2,559 | 3,503 | 4,648 |  |  |
| $20-160$ | 1,756 | 2.428 | 2,984 | 4,270 | 5.872 |  |  |
| ${ }^{20-180}$ | 1,844 | ${ }^{2} .653$ | 3.474 | 4.969 | 6.945 |  |  |
| 20-200 | 1,951 | 2,870 | 3.821 | 5,734 | 7,906 |  |  |

In understocked stands volume loss by mortality is less than in normal stands, absolutely and perhaps relatively.

## application of yield tables

In applying normal-yield tables constant emphasis must be placed on the necessity of determining as accurately as time and cost will allow the true conditions of age, site, area, and stocking. For small tracts precise determination of each of these factors is feasible; for large areas, approximate or average values must often suffice.
It is especially fruitless to predict yield for nonforested land on the assumption that a satisfactory reproduction stand will be obtained without silvicultural measures. Only when a stand has been established and age, stocking, and site conditions are known should predictions of growth rate and yield be attempted.

## age determination

To estimate the average age of dominant and codominant trees, inexperienced fieldmen should make increment borings in at least 15 to 20 trees or should count the rings on that many stumps. With
experience and practice it becomes easy to dispense with some of the borings or stump counts. To convert age determined by boring at breast height to total age, it is necessary to make an addition varying with site quality as follows: I, 6 years; II, 8 years; III, 10 years; IV, 12 years; V, 14 years; VI and poorer, 16 years. These allowances are for free-growing dominant seedlings, not for seedlings subjected to severe competition; the time required by seedlings of the latter description to grow to breast height is much greater. For a large area, often it is impracticable to classify age of stand more closely than to within 20 years.

## SITE-QUALITY DETERMINATION

An area's site index, as was previously explained, is obtained by determining the age and height of representative dominant and codominant trees. Caution must be observed to get not the maximum height for these dominance classes but the average. The most accurate way is to construct a height curve for the stand (which incidentally may be used for other purposes, such as volume computation), compute the average diameter of the dominant and codominant trees from a stand tally, and read from the curve the height corresponding to this diameter. The site index can then be read from figure 2 . The usual tendency in estimating site quality without following this procedure is to overestimate.

With experience and practice it is found possible to rely more and more upon direct ocular estimates of site quality-especially if use is made of the system of seven general site-quality classes defined in table 2.

## STOCEING DETERMINATION

In order to adjust normal-yield-table values to conditions actually existing in an even-aged stand, it is necessary to determine the stand's stocking. The stocking classification recommended for large areas is as follows: 70 percent of normal or more, well-stocked; 40 to 69 percent, medium-stocked; 10 to 39 percent, poorly stocked; and less than 10 percent, nonstocked. Actual stocking percentages should be computed by means of the field examinations.
Many different methods of stocking determination have been developed. None of them is perfect or is in general use. Even if satisfactory for expressing present stocking, they fail to show what changes in stocking may take place in the future. Among the different methods recommended for use on some occasions and in connection with some problems are: (1) Use of a "normality percentage," the ratio between a certain value determined for an actual stand and the value shown in the normal-yield table for the appropriate age and site classes; (2) use of stand-density index; and (3) the stockedquadrat method.

Use of normality percentages, especially that of basal area, has been recommended time and again (19, 15). In this study it has been proved that these percentages are useful as means of predicting total cubic-foot volume, total board-foot volume by International rule, and other values for the complete or nearly complete stand. Some of the correlations between normality ratios of stand factors are listed in table 19, and the more valuable ones are shown in graphic
form in figure 11. The low coefficient of correlation between the normality ratio of basal area and that of board-foot volume by Scribner rule indicates that basal-area ratio is of little use in predicting Scribner volumes. The multiple correlation of the normality ratios of basal area, average diameter, and volume by Scribner rule is higher, but not sufficiently high to be useful. As in other studies, the normality percentage for board-foot volume was found to be correlated fairly closely with that for number of trees above a specified diameter


Figure 11.-Relations of volume normality to basal-area and number-of-trees normality: a, Cubic-foot volume; b, board-volume relations represented are those of stands containing at least 5,000 board feet per acre. For a,b, and $c$, the independent variable is basal-area normality; for $d$. it is normality of number of trees 11.6
inches and more in diameter. inches and more in diameter.
The stand-density-index method was devised rather recently by Reineke (21). Ordinarily it requires no knowledge of age or site. The regression line drawn by Reineke for determining stand-density index, which fitted data for a number of species very well, did not fit the data of this study; accordingly a new line was drawn for use with ponderosa pine. A system of parallel curves based on this line appears as figure 12. The relations of normality percentages for various yield values to stand-density index as determined from figure 12 are shown in table 19 and in figure 13.

Table 19.-Correlation of measures of stocking with various yield values

| Relation |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |

1 Including only plots having a volume per acre of 5,000 board feet or more.
I Including only plots on which the average diameter of all trees was 8.6 inc
To determine stand-density index by use of figure 12 it is necessary only to know total number of trees per acre and average diameter. If, for instance, a stand has 770 trees per acre averaging 7.5 inches d. b. h., the first step in determining its stocking-normality percentage is to find in figure 12 the intersection representing this density and this diameter. The value of 450 can then be read from the guide lines by interpolation. According to figure 13 this index is associated with a cubic-volume normality ratio of 109 percent. Thus the stand is slightly above normal in volume.
Tests of the yield tables show that there is a slight relation between stand-density index and site index. The higher average stand-density indexes are associated with the lowest site indexes and the highest site indexes. For greatest accuracy, a correlation for site index should probably be introduced when stand-density index is used as a measure of stocking; but the effect is so small that it is justifiable to read indexes directly from figure 12 if they are to be used in connection with figure 13 , about whose regression lines the variation is fairly wide.
The stocked-quadrat method, described by Haig (12) and Cowlin (10), is particularly useful in estimating the stocking of reproduction stands. A quadrat is classified as stocked if it contains one or more seedlings, and if it contains more than one this does not alter the classification of a neighboring nonstocked quadrat. This method gives directly an estimate of the percentage of the total area on which seedlings are present in adequate numbers. Good distribution of seedlings is the silviculturist's aim rather than large number of seedlings per acre, which does not necessarily imply satisfactory stocking.
In some instances the size of the quadrat has been made to correspond with the number of trees per acre desired at the rotation age. For instance, according to table 3 a 120 -year-old atand on an area of site index 80 normally contains 196 trees per acre. If these trees are


FIGURE 12.-Stand-density index, based on a regression line drawn for even-aged ponderosa pine.
evenly spaced, each has 222 square feet, or a 14.9 -foot square, of growing space. Obviously, this spacing is much too wide for best form development of smali seedlings; it will cause excessive limb development and retard shedding of limbs. In other instances quadrat size has been made to represent the growing space of each tree in a fully stocked, evenly spaced reproduction stand soon after the stand becomes established. This has led to use of a quadrat 6.6 feet on the side, corresponding to a stocking of 1,000 evenly spaced seedlings to the acre. In the greater part of the ponderosa pine range the 6.6 -foot spacing is undoubtedly much too close for continued normal development. For the time being the author has compromised by dividing the acre into 500 quadrats. This corresponds to an even spacing of 9.33 feet in each direction. If ponderosa pine seedlings become estab-


FIGURE 13- Relations of volume normality percentages to stand-density index: $a$, Cubic-foot rolume $b$, board-foot volume, International rule; $c$, board-foot volume, Scribner rule
lished in this density they should grow well, without risk either of stagnation or of excessive limb development. This should by no means be taken to imply that an average density of 500 trees to the acre is adequate for planting, or that natural seedling reproduction averaging 500 trees per acre can be expected to develop into a satisfactorily dense stand of timber. On a ponderosa pine area where each one five-hundredth acre contains at least one established seedling, the total number of such seedlings per acre is likely to be 2,000 .

Of the many ways of applying the stocked-quadrat theory, one of the more practical is to record the stocking of groups of four quadrats each at some definite interval, such as 1 chain, along survey lines evenly spaced through the area. Stopping at the end of each chain or other chosen interval, the estimator considers himself in the center of a block of four 9.33 -foot quadrats. He looks in the first quadrat until he finds an established seedling or assures himself that none is
present, then in the second, and so on. The number of stocked quadrats divided by the total number of quadrats examined gives directly the percentage of stocking. It is often desirable to break the total runs into definite units, such as 20 chains, in order to localize variations in stocking.

Number of seedlings per stocked quadrat increases with computed stocking. In reproduction surveys in pine stands of south-central Washington, for instance, in which groups of 4 quadrats were examined at 1 -chain intervals along 20 -chain strips, average total number of seedlings per stocked quadrat varied with stocking percentage as follows: 1 to 10 percent, $1 ; 11$ to 28 percent, $2 ; 29$ to 42 percent, 3 ; 43 percent and more, 4 .
For second-growth ponderosa pine stands basal-area ratio or standdensity index is the most useful method for determining stocking in terms of cubic-foot volume and board-foot volume by International rule; number-of-trees normality ratio is the only valid method for determining stocking in terms of board-foot volume by Scribner rule; and the stocked-quadrat method should be used for determining stocking of reproduction.
yield-SURVEY PROCEDURE
A yield survey involves getting stand tallies as in a valuation survey and gotting the necessary data on age of stand, site quality, and stocking for each portion of the area. The exact methods of a yield survey will not be defined in detail; they have been described in a number of previous publications, particularly the report on the study of yield of Douglas fir in the Pacific Northwest (13).
For greatest efficiency the field work of the survey should be done by a party of three men-one to run the line and make the map, one to estimate, and one to make increment borings, measure heights, and keep account of variations in age and site class. A forester working on a yield study of ponderosa pine soon learns to estimate heights ocularly to the nearest 10 feet with occasional checks by instrumental measurement, and eventually learns to estimate age to the nearest 20 years. For the purpose of site-index determination it is better to estimate numerous heights within 10 feet than to measure a few accurately with instruments. For extensive work it is almost imperative that the forester train himself to recognize age of stand and quality of site without much effort. Lack of such training causes undue delay in the conduct of a yield survey.
The survey maps and statistics should show divisions of area by age of stand, site quality, and stocking class. In the office the stand tallies are worked up, the map is perfected, and the areas are planimetered and tabulated. The terms in which the estimates are made, and the rotation age, vary with needs. Sometimes estimates of current grow th are needed, sometimes estimates of total volume at future dates-with or without reference to rotation age; at still other times, it is necessary to calculate the best time to cut for products of specific sizes. Each of these needs and many others are met by use of the yield tables.
An instance of extensive use of yield tables is the growth calculations for the entire Douglas fir region of Oregon and Washington. ${ }^{\circ}$ Similar

[^1]calculations will be made for the ponderosa pine region of these States by means of the yield tables presented in this bulletin.

## INCREMENT AND ROTATION

Mean annual and periodic annual increments computed from the yield tables are given in tables 20 to 25 . Rotation ages for the three volume measures are summarized in table 26. For cubic-foot volume production, they range from 40 to 70 years; for board-foot volume production estimated by the International rule, from 60 to 160 years or more; for board-foot volume production estimated by the Scribner rule, from 90 to much more than 196 years. The poorer the site quality and the less complete the utilization, the greater is the rotation age.
Lands of the poorest site qualities, those for which the indexes are 40 to 60 , apparently are totally unfit for lumber production because of the long rotations involved. For production of fuel wood and other small-sized material, they undoubtedly have their use.
In many respects the rotation ages stated in table 26 are unsatisfactory, since they were calculated without regard to amount invested, carrying costs, prospective returns, or other financial considerations. Calculations in which these values are taken into account are necessarily of local and temporary application only. Methods of making such calculations are described in most forest-management textbooks. Calculations of this character made in the course of this study have indicated rotation ages much lower than those shown in the table, especially when high rates of compound interest were assumed. Discounting of final net financial rield to the present time to find the present value of an immature stand further reduces rotation age.

Table 20.-Periodic annual cubic-foot increment per acre of trees 0.6 inch and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Increment per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | ${ }_{80}$ | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft | Cu.ft | Cu.ft | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. |
| 25. |  |  |  |  |  | 110 | 130 | 150 | 150 | 210 | 215 |  | 250 |
| 35 | 55 | 55 | 65 | 70 | so | 95 | 110 | 130 | 145 | 180 | 200 | ${ }_{2} 22$ | ${ }_{2}^{250}$ |
| 45 | 40 | 50 | 55 | 60 | 65 | 80 | 95 | 115 | 135 | 155 | 180 | 210 | 235 |
| 55 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 |  | 65 | 80 | 95 | 115 | 130 | 160 | 180 | 200 |
|  | 30 | 35 | 35 | 45 | 50 | 55 | 65 | 80 | 95 | 115 | 140 | 155 | 175 |
| 75. | 30 | 30 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 85 | 100 | 120 | 130 | 150 |
| 85 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 55 | ${ }_{5}^{60}$ | 75 | 80 | ${ }_{9}^{10.5}$ | ${ }_{95}^{110}$ | 110 |
| 95 | 25 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 55 | 65 | 80 | 90 | 95 | 110 |
| 105 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 55 | 70 |  |  |  |
| 115 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | ${ }^{25}$ | 30 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 |  |  |  |
| 125 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 35 | 45 | 50 |  |  |  |
| 135 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | ${ }_{4}^{40}$ | 40 |  |  |  |
| 145. | 10 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 35 | 35 |  |  |  |
| 155 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 35 |  |  |  |
| 165. | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 30 | 35 |  |  |  |
| 175. | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 35 |  |  |  |
| 185 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 25 | 30 | 35 |  |  |  |
| 195 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 25 | 35 | -- |  |  |

Table 21.-Mean annual cubic-foot increment per acre ${ }^{1}$ of trecs 0.6 inch and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Increment per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
| 20 | Cu.ft. | Cu.jp. | Cu.ft. | Cufit | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft | Cu.ft. | Cu.ft | Cu.ft. |
| 30 | 17 | 27 | 37 | 35 48 | ${ }_{65}^{50}$ | 688 | r 85 | 105 120 | 140 | 1338 | ${ }_{183}^{168}$ | 188 | 218 |
| 40 | 26 | 34 | 44 | 54 | 69 | 85 | 102 | 122 | 141 | 166 | 188 | ${ }_{210}^{205}$ | 223 |
| 50 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 55 | 68 | 84 | 101 | 121 | 140 | 164 | 186 | 210 | 234 |
| 60. | 30 | 38 | 46 | 54 | 66 | 81 | 98 | 117 | 136 | 158 | 182 | 205 | 228 |
| 70 | 30 | ${ }^{37}$ | 44 | $5_{51}^{53}$ | 64 | 77 | 93 | 111 | 130 | 152 | 176 | 198 | 221 |
| 80 | 30 | ${ }^{36}$ | 42 | 51 | 61 | 74 | 89 | 106 | 124 | 146 | 169 | 189 | 212 |
| 190 | ${ }_{29}^{29}$ | 35 | ${ }_{39}^{41}$ | 49 | 59 56 | ${ }_{68} 71$ | 85 | 101 | 119 | 139 | 162 | 181 | 203 |
| 100 | 29 | 34 | 39 | 48 | 56 | 68 | 81 | 96 | 114 | 134 | 154 | 172 | 194 |
| 110 | 28 | 33 | 38 | 45 |  | 65 | 77 | 92 | 108 | 128 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 28 | 32 | 37 | 44 | 52 | 62 | 74 |  | 103 | 122 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 27 | 31 | 35 | 42 | 50 | 59 | 70 | 83 |  | 117 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 26 | 30 | 34 | 41 | 43 | 57 | 68 | 80 | 95 | 111 |  |  |  |
| 150. | 25 | 29 | 33 | 39 | 46 | 55 | 65 | 77 | 91 | 106 |  |  |  |
| 160. | 24 | 28 | 32 | 38 |  | 53 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170 | 23 | 27 | 31 | 36 | 43 | 51 | 60 | 71 | 84 | 98 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 22 | ${ }^{26}$ | 30 | 35 | 41 | 49 | 58 | 69 | 81 | 94 |  |  |  |
| 190 | ${ }_{21}^{22}$ | 25 | ${ }_{29}^{29}$ | ${ }^{34}$ | 40 | 48 | 57 | 66 |  | 91 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 21 | 24 | 28 | 33 | 39 | 46 | 55 | 64 | 76 | 88 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest cubic foct
Table 22.-Periodic annual board-foot increment, International rule ( $1 / 8$-inch kerf), per acre of trees 6.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Increment per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 60 |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft |
| 35 |  |  |  | 150 | 260 | ${ }_{430}^{260}$ | 480 | 680 880 | 970 1.140 1 | 1,290 | 1,590 | 1,800 | 1.970 1900 1 |
| 45 |  |  | 130 | 260 | 400 | 560 | 740 | 940 | 1,130 | 1,300 | 1,440 | 1,610 | 1,730 |
| 55. |  | 100 | 190 | 310 | 490 | 630 | 770 | 890 | 1,020 | 1,160 | 1.300 | 1,450 | 1. 580 |
| ${ }_{75}^{65}$ | 30 | 150 | 240 | 360 | 530 | 640 | 700 | 790 | 890 | 1,030 | 1,170 | 1,320 | 1.460 |
| 75 | ${ }^{60}$ | 190 | 270 | 380 | 520 | 570 | 620 | 690 | 790 | 910 | 1.060 | 1,210 | 1. 350 |
| 85 | 90 | 210 | 230 | 360 | 440 | 500 | 540 | 620 | 710 | 810 | 960 | 1.100 | 1. 240 |
| 95 | 130 | 220 | 290 | 340 | 370 | 420 | 470 | 550 | 630 | 730 | 870 | 1,000 | 1,140 |
| 105 | 140 | 210 | 280 | 300 | 320 | 360 | 420 | 480 | 570 | 670 |  |  |  |
| 115 | 160 | 200 | 260 | 270 | 290 | 330 | 370 | 440 | 510 | 610 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{125}$ | 160 | 190 | 230 | 250 | 270 | 300 | 340 | 400 | 460 | 540 |  |  |  |
| 135 | 150 | 180 | 210 | 230 | 250 | 250 | 320 | 370 | 420 | 490 |  |  |  |
| 145 | 140 | 170 | 200 | 210 | 230 | 260 | 300 | 350 | 390 | 440 |  |  |  |
| 155 | 130 | 160 | 190 | 200 | 210 | 250 | 280 | 330 | 370 | 400 |  |  |  |
| 165 | 120 | 150 | 170 | 190 | 200 | 240 | 270 | 300 | 350 | 380 |  |  |  |
| 175 | 120 | 140 | 160 | 180 | 200 | 230 | 260 | 280 | 330 | 360 |  |  |  |
| 185 | 120 | 140 | 150 | 170 | 190 | 220 | 250 | 270 | 310 | 340 |  |  |  |
| 195 | 110 | 140 | 150 | 170 | 190 | 210 | 240 | 270 | 290 | 330 |  |  |  |

Table 23.-Mean annual board-foot increment, ${ }^{1}$ International rule ( $1 / 8-$ inch kerf), per acre of trees 6.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Increment per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | \%0 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft. |
| ${ }_{30}$ |  |  |  | 13 | 37 | ${ }_{93}^{10}$ | 180 | 273 | ${ }_{417} 14$ | 580 | $\stackrel{363}{\square} 7$ | ${ }_{960}$ | 1,207 |
| 40 |  |  | 12 | 48 | 92 | 178 | 298 | 425 | 598 | 785 | 975 | 1,160 | 1.380 |
| 50. |  | 10 | 36 | 90 | 154 | 254 | 386 | 528 | 704 | 888 | 1,068 | 1,250 | 1,450 |
| 6n. | 2 | 25 | 62 | 127 | 210 | 317 | 450 | 588 | 757 | 933 | 1.107 | 1,283 | 1,472 |
| 70 | 6 | 43 | 87 | 160 | 256 | 363 | 486 | 617 | 776 | 947 | 1,116 | 1. 289 | 1,470 |
| 80 | 12 | 61 | 110 | 188 | 289 | 389 | 502 | 626 | 778 | 942 | 1.109 | 1,279 | 1,455 |
| 90 | 21 | 78 | 130 | 207 | 306 | 401 | 507 | 625 | 770 | 928 | 1.092 | 1,259 | 1,431 |
| 100 | 32 | 92 | 146 | 220 | 312 | 403 | 503 | 618 | 756 | 908 | 1.070 | 1,233 | 1,402 |
| 110 | 42 | 103 | 158 | 227 | 313 | 399 | 495 | 605 | 739 | 886 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 52 | 111 | 167 | 231 | 311 | 393 | 485 | 592 | 720 | 863 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 60 | 117 | 172 | 232 | 308 | 386 | 474 | 577 | 700 | 838 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 66 | 121 | 174 | 232 | 304 | 379 | 463 | 562 | 680 | 813 |  |  |  |
| 150 | 71 | 125 | 176 | 231 | 299 | 371 | 452 | 548 | 661 | 788 |  |  |  |
| 160 | 75 | 127 | 177 | 229 | 293 | 363 | 441 | 534 | 642 | 764 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 78 | 128 | 176 | 226 | 228 | 356 | 431 | 521 | 625 | 741 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 80 | 129 | 176 | 224 | 223 | 349 | 422 | 507 | 609 | 720 |  |  |  |
| 190 | 82 | 129 | 174 | 221 | 278 | 342 | 413 | 495 | 593 | 700 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 84 | 130 | 173 | 218 | 274 | 336 | 404 | 484 | 578 | 682 |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest board foot.
Table 24.-Periodic annual board-foot increment, Scribner rule, per acre of trees, 11.6 inches and more in diameter

| Age (years) | Increment per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft | Bd ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft |
| ${ }_{35}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 170 | 330 | 240 | 470 | ${ }_{920}^{750}$ | 1.130 |  | 1.410 1.510 1 |
| 45. |  |  |  | 60 | 170 | 310 | 490 | 650 | 820 | 980 | 1.150 | 1,330 | 1,480 |
| 55 |  |  | 50 | 150 | 280 | 410 | 560 | 700 | 810 | 960 | 1.120 | 1. 280 | 1.410 |
| 65 |  |  | 120 | 210 | 340 | 470 | 570 | 680 | 800 | 920 | 1.070 | 1,200 | 1,340 |
| 75. |  | 60 | 170 | 270 | 370 | 470 | 550 | 640 | 750 | 860 | 1,000 | 1,130 | 1,250 |
| 85 | 10 | 110 | 200 | 300 | 350 | 450 | 520 | 600 | 690 | 790 | 930 | 1,060 | 1,170 |
| 95. | 20 | 140 | 230 | 310 | 370 | 420 | 490 | 560 | 630 | 730 | 860 | 990 | 1.090 |
| 105. | 40 | 160 | 240 | 310 | 340 | 390 | 450 | 500 | 580 | 670 |  |  |  |
| 115. | 70 | 200 | ${ }_{230}^{230}$ | 280 | 310 | 360 | 400 | 460 | 530 | ${ }_{6}^{610}$ |  |  |  |
| 125. | 100 | 190 | 220 | 250 | 280 | 330 | 370 | 420 | 480 | 550 |  |  |  |
| 135 | 130 | 180 | 200 | 220 | 250 | 290 | 340 | 380 | 440 | 490 |  |  |  |
| 145 | 140 | 170 | 180 | 200 | 230 | 270 | 310 | 350 | 400 | 440 |  |  | -- |
| 155. | 140 | 150 | 160 | 180 | 210 | 250 | 280 | 320 | 350 | 390 |  |  |  |
| 165 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 170 | 190 | 230 | 250 | 290 | 310 | 350 |  |  |  |
| 175. | 110 | 130 | 150 | 170 | 180 | 210 | ${ }_{2}^{230}$ | 260 | 290 | 320 |  |  |  |
| 185 | 100 | 120 | 140 | 150 | 170 | 190 | ${ }_{210}^{220}$ | 240 | ${ }_{250}^{270}$ | 300 200 |  |  |  |
| 195. | 100 | 120 | 130 | 150 | 170 | 180 | 210 | 220 | 250 | 290 |  |  |  |

Table 25.-Mean annual board-foot increment, ${ }^{1}$ Scribner rule, per acre of trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter

| Are (years) | Increment per acre, by site index- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 | 160 |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd ft. | Bd.ft | Bd.ft | Bd ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd. ft | Bd. ft | Bd.ft | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 33 | 83 | 170 | 280 | ${ }_{393}^{95}$ | ${ }_{533}^{190}$ | 365 713 |
| 40. |  |  |  | 2 | 15 | 48 | 108 | 188 | 302 | 440 | 578 | 730 | 912 |
| 50 |  |  | 2 | 14 | 46 | 100 | 184 | 250 | 406 | 548 | 692 | 850 | 1,026 |
| 60. |  |  | 10 | 37 | 85 | 152 | 247 | 350 | 473 | 617 | 763 | 922 | 1.090 |
| 70 |  | 4 | 26 | 61 | 121 | 197 | 293 | 397 | 520 | 660 | 807 | 961 | 1.126 |
| 80 |  | 11 | 44 | 88 | 152 | 231 | 325 | 428 | 549 | 685 | 831 | 982 | 1.141 |
| 90 | 2 | 22 | 61 | 111 | 178 | 256 | 347 | 447 | 564 | 697 | 842 | 991 | 1, 144 |
| 100 | 4 | 34 | 78 | 131 | 197 | 272 | 361 | 458 | 571 | 700 | 844 | 991 | 1,138 |
| 110. | 7 | 45 | 93 | 147 | 210 | 283 | 369 | 462 | 572 | 697 |  |  |  |
| 120 | 12 | 58 | 104 | 158 | 218 | 289 | 372 | 462 | 568 | 690 |  |  |  |
| 130 | 19 | 68 | 113 | 165 | 223 | 292 | 372 | 458 | 562 | 679 |  |  |  |
| 140 | 27 | 76 | 119 | 169 | 225 | 292 | 369 | 453 | 553 | 666 |  |  |  |
| 150. | 35 | 83 | 123 | 171 | 225 | 291 | 365 | 446 | 543 | 651 | ... |  |  |
| 160. | 41 | 87 | 126 | 172 | 224 | 288 | 360 | 438 | 531 | 634 |  |  |  |
| 170 | 46 | 90 | 127 | 172 | 222 | 285 | 354 | 429 | 518 | 618 |  |  |  |
| 180 | 50 | 92 | 128 | 172 | 220 | 281 | 347 | 420 | 505 | 601 |  |  |  |
| 190. | 53 | 94 | 129 | 171 | 217 | ${ }_{271}^{276}$ | 340 | 411 | 493 | 585 |  |  |  |
| 200 | 55 | 95 | 129 | 170 | 215 | 271 | 334 | 401 | 480 | 570 |  |  | $\cdots$ |

${ }^{1}$ To nearest board foot

Table 26.-Rotation ages for even-aged stands of ponderosa pine, by cubic-foot and board-foot measure

| Site index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cubic- } \\ \text { foot } \\ \text { measure } \end{gathered}$ | Board-foot measure |  | Site index | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cubic- } \\ \text { foot } \\ \text { measure } \end{gathered}$ | Board-foot measure |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inter- national rule | Scribner |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inter- } \\ \text { national } \\ \text { rule } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scribner } \\ \text { rule } \end{gathered}$ |
| 40. | Years | Years | Years | 120. | Years ${ }^{39}$ | Yeats ${ }^{76}$ | Years ${ }_{107}$ |
| ${ }_{80}^{60}$ | 54 | 161 |  | 1400 | 41 | 70 | 97 |
| 100 | 40 | ${ }_{90}$ | 124 | 16. |  |  |  |

## STAND and STOCK TABLES

Table 27 is a stand table for average ponderosa pine conditions throughout the portion of the range of the species covered by this study. Table 28 shows the results of applying the percentages shown in table 27, or interpolated values, to the number-of-trees yield table for total stand (table 4). If desired, comparable values can be computed for other ages and site conditions. Since there is a decided variation from one region to another, distributions for four representative sets of local conditions are given in table 29, namely, those of the west slopes of the Sierra Nevada, of Oregon and Washington, of Idaho and Montana, and of the Black Hills.

Table 27.-Percentage distribution of total number of trees per acre by diameter class in stands of different average breast-height diameters, for range as a whole

| Diameter class (inches) | Treas per acre, by average diameter of stand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 듬 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \#. } \\ & \stackrel{0}{a} \\ & \stackrel{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \text { de } \\ & \text { : } \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { y } \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{E} \\ & \stackrel{0}{\infty} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | 苋 |
| 1. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pct. } \\ & 80.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P+0 \\ 480 \end{gathered}$ | Pet. 19.0 | Pcte 120 | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{c} c t . \\ 880 \end{array} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} P c t . \\ 4.6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} P c t . \\ 3.3 \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{Pct}_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} P c t . \\ 1.5 \end{gathered}$ | Pct. 0.7 | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. |
| 2-3 | 19.1 | 46.5 | 57.0 | 44.0 | 32.5 | 25.4 | 17.7 | 13.1 | 9.5 | 66 | 4.1 | 2.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 |
| $4-5$ | . 9 | 5.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 31.5 | 28.0 | 25. 5 | 20.0 | 16.5 | 132 | 10.1 | 6.7 | 4.5 | 3.1 |
| 6-7 |  |  | 3.5 | 10.7 | 177 | 22.0 | 23.0 | 22.5 | 20.5 | 17.5 | 15.8 | 12.8 | 9.8 | 7.5 |
| $8-9$ |  |  | . 5 | 2.7 | 7.4 | 12.0 | 15.5 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 18.5 | 16.5 | 14.2 | 11.9 |
| 10-11 |  |  |  | . 6 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 8.8 | 11.7 | 15.2 | 17.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 17.0 | 15.0 |
| 12-13 |  |  |  |  | . 7 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 6.4 | 9.0 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 15.5 | 16.5 | 16.5 |
| $14-15$ |  |  |  |  |  | . 7 | 1.6 | 3. 1 | 5. 0 | 7.3 | 10.0 | 12.1 | 14.0 | 15.0 |
| 16-17 |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 7 | 1.3 | 2.4 | 3.9 | 5.8 | 7.6 | 9.8 | 12.0 |
| 18-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 5 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 3. 0 | 4.6 | 6.5 | 8.5 |
| 20-21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 3.6 | 5.1 |
| ${ }_{24-25}^{22-23}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 8 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.7 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 24-25 \\ & 26-27 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.0 | $\begin{array}{r}1.1 \\ \hline .6\end{array}$ |
| 28-29. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-31. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32-33- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{36-37}^{34-35}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38-39. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Diameterclass(inches) | Trees per acre, by average diameter of stand |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { む } \\ & \stackrel{5}{0} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pet. | Pct. |
|  | 0.6 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.0 | 1.5 | 1. ${ }^{1} 5$ | 2.2 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 8-9 | ${ }^{9.3}$ | 7.4 | 5.6 | 4. 5 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 1.7. | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| ${ }_{12-11}^{10-13}$ | 13.0 15.0 | 10.3 |  | 7.5 10.2 | ${ }_{8.6}^{6.0}$ | 4.8 7.5 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |
| ${ }_{14-15}^{12-13}$ | 15.0 | 14.0 15.5 | 11.5 | 10.2 13.0 | 8.6 11.5 | 7.5 10.0 | 6.0 8.5 | 4. 4 | 4.1 | 3.3 5.4 | 4.5 | 2.4 | 1.9 <br> 3.2 <br> 1 | 1.7 2.7 |
| 16-17 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 11.0 | 9.5 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 6.4 | 5. 5 | 4.7 | 3. 9 |
| 18.19 | 10.8 | 12.5 | 13.0 | 13.5 | 14.5 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 12.0 | 10.5 | 9.5 | 8.6 | 7.2 | 6.0 | 5. 5 |
| 20-21. | 7.2 | 9.3 | 11.2 | 12.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 10.0 | 9.5 | 8.5 |  |
| 22-23 | 3.9 | 5.7. | 7.9 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 12.0 | 12. 5 | 12.5 | 13.0 | ${ }^{13.0}$ | 12.0 | 11.0 | 10.0 | 9.5 11.5 |
| 24-25- | 2.0 | 3. 0 | 4.5 | 6.0 | 8.1 <br> 4.8 <br>  <br>  | 10.0 6 | 10.7 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{28-29}^{26}$ | 1.1 | $\begin{array}{r}1.4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{1.1}^{2.3}$ | 3.3. | 4.8 | 6.7 3.7 | 8.3 5.2 | 7.6 | 10.6 8.6 | 11.5 9 9 | 11.5 9.9 5 | 12.0 | 12.0 12.0 | 12.0 11.5 |
| $30-31$ |  |  |  | . 6 | 1.2 | 1.6 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 8.6 | 9.7 | 9.7 | ${ }^{10.0}$ |
| ${ }_{34}^{32-33}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | $\begin{array}{r}1.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.6 .9 | 4.1.7 | 5. ${ }_{2.4}$ | 7.3 4.0 | 8.8 5.5 5. | 9.7 7.2 |
| ${ }_{36-37}^{34}$ - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 9 | 1.7 | ${ }^{2.8} 8$ | 1.5 | 2. 4 | 3. 9 |
| $38-39$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 6 | 1.2 |

The numbers of trees shown in these stand tables for given breast-height-diameter ranges do not invariably check with the values shown in yield tables 7 and 12; vastly differing techniques were used in deriving the two kinds of tables, and little attempt was made to adjust the results.

Table 28.-Distribution of total number of trees per acre by diameter class in stands of different ages and site indexes, for range as a whole

SITE INDEX 40


Table 28.-Distribution of total number of trees per acre by diameter class in stands of different ages and site indexes, for range as a whole-Continued.

$$
\text { SITE INDEX } 100
$$

| 1) iameter class inches) | Trees per acre, by age class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yen } \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 200 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 2-3. | 634 | 137 | 35 | i | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5. | 320 | 196 | ${ }_{85}^{63}$ | 19 |  | ${ }_{5}^{2}$ | 1 | $\cdots$ |  |  |
| $8-9$ | $\stackrel{20}{90}$ | 121 | 85 | 34 49 | ${ }_{22}^{12}$ | ${ }_{9}$ | 4 |  |  |  |
| 10-11 |  | 68 | 11 | 50 | 27 | 15 | 8 | 4 | 2 |  |
| 12-13. |  | 35 | 47 | 44 | 31 | 20 | 11 | 7 | 4 |  |
| 14-15. |  | 13 | 32 | 36 | 32 | ${ }_{2}^{23}$ | 14 | 1 | ${ }_{6}^{6}$ | 3 |
| 16-17. |  | 5 | 13 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 17 | 11 | 8 | 5 |
| 18-19. |  |  | 6 | 13 | 19 | 20 | 17 | 13 | ${ }^{9}$ |  |
| 20-21 |  |  | 4 | 7 | 12 | 16 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 8 |
| 22-23-25 |  |  |  | 3 | 7 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 9 |
| 26-25 |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{2}^{3}$ | ${ }_{3}$ | 9 | 8 | $\begin{array}{r}10 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 9 |
| 22-29 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 |  |
| 30-31 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 | 4 |  |
| 34-35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 2 1 | ${ }_{1}$ |
| 36-37....... |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 1,280 | 78.5 | 445 | 286 | 199 | 152 | 120 | 98 | 82 | 70 |



Table 28.-Distribution of total number of trees per acre by diameter class in stands of different ages and site indexes, for range as a whole-Continued

SITE INDEX 140

| Diameter class (inches) | Trees per acre, by age class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{\text { years }}{20}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 120 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 140 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 160 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 180 \\ \text { years } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 200 \\ & \text { years } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| 1-3 | ${ }_{111}^{24}$ | 17 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5. | 146 | 44 | 8 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 | 129 | 65 | 19 | 6 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 | 81 | 75 | 32 | ${ }^{13}$ | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-11 | 42 | ${ }_{6}^{67}$ | 39 | ${ }_{2}^{16}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19 |  | 45 | 22 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 2 | 23 | 32 | 27 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-19 |  | 11 | 24 | 26 | 19 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-21. |  | 5 | 14 | 18 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22-23 |  |  | 7 | $\begin{array}{r}14 \\ 8 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{28-27}^{24}$ |  |  | 2 | 4 | 8 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 28-29 |  |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32-33 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 561 | 405 | 269 | 185 | 139 |  |  |  |  |  |
| SITE INDEX 160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2-3$ | 42 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4-5 | 75 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6-7 | 83 | 32 | ${ }^{9}$ | ${ }^{3}$ | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-11$ | 53 | 54 | 23 | 10 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12-13. | 32 | 53 | 31 | 14 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14-15 | 15 | 43 | 35 | 19 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 7 3 | 30 | ${ }_{28}^{32}$ |  | 12 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20-21. | 1 | 11 | 21 | 21 | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 -23. |  | 5 | 13 | 17 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24-25 |  | 2 | 7 | ${ }^{13}$ | 13 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26-27 |  |  | 3 2 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28-29 |  |  | 2 | 1 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32-33- |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total. | 394 | 316 | 224 | 162 | 123 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 394 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





Table 30 is the stock table for cubic-foot volume and table 31 for board-foot volume by Scribner rule. These tables represent average conditions and also conditions in individual subregions. No attempt is made here to present stock tables for selected ages and site indexes.
Stand and stock tables have many different uses, chief among which is prediction of the sizes of trees producible in future times. For the purposes of many calculations it is essential to know exactly how many trees of certain diameter classes will be obtained or how many years will pass before certain numbers of trees attain specified diameters. These tables are especially valuable in calculation of logging costs and profits. Often it is necessary to introduce tree size into computations of net costs and to deduce from these what silvicultural treatment is preferable.
The methods by which the stand and stock tables were constructed are described in the appendix.
diameter class, in stands of different average breast-height diameters, for range as a
whole and subregions
ENTIRE RANGE




Table 31．－Percentage distribution of Scribner board－foot volume by diameter class in stands of different average breast－height diameters，${ }^{1}$ for range as a whole and subregions
entire range

| Diameter class（inches） | Volume in diameter class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ö } \\ & \stackrel{0}{\ddot{E}} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 』 } \\ & \stackrel{\Delta}{E} \\ & \text { E } \\ & \leftrightharpoons \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 第 | 吅 |  | \％ | g \＃ 兑 a | 碳 | 華 |
| 12－13 | Pd. | Pct. | $P d$ | Pct. | Pct. | Pct. | Pat. | Pct． | Pad | Pct． | $\mathrm{Pat}_{0 .}$ | Pct． | Pct． | Pct． | Pct． |
| 14－15 | 4.0 | 30.4 | 33.0 | 25.0 | 17.5 | 12.5 | 8.6 | 6.1 | 4.1 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 0.8 |  |
| 16－17． |  | 9.0 | 23.3 | 25.0 | ${ }^{22.0}$ | 18.0 | 14．2 | 11.0 | 8． 0 | 6.0 | 4.2 | 3.3 | 2.5 | 2.1 | 1.8 |
| 18－19． |  | 1.5 | 10.2 | 18.5 | 21.0 | 20.0 | 18.0 | 14.5 | 12.0 | 9.7 | 7.8 | 5.7 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 2.7 |
| 20－21 |  | 1 | 2.8 | 9.7 | 15．4 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 18.0 | 16．0 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 10.0 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 5． 0 |
| 22－23， |  |  | ． 7 | 3.6 | 8.2 | 12.8 | 15.0 | 16.5 |  | 16.5 | 15.0 | 13.0 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 8.0 |
| 24－25 |  |  |  | 1.0 | 3． 8 | 7.4 | 11.2 | 14.3 |  | 16.5 | 16.5 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 13.0 | 11.5 |
| 26－27 |  |  |  | ． 2 | 1． 3 | 3.4 | ${ }_{2}^{6.1}$ | 9.4 4 4 | 12.5 | 14.0 | 15.5 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 14．0 | 13.0 |
| $30-31$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}1.0 \\ . \\ \hline\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r}2.5 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 2.6 | 7.0 3.9 |  | 12.0 8.5 |  |  | 13.0 | 16.0 |
| 32－33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 3 | ． 7 | 1.3 | 2.7 | 4.2 | 6.3 | 8.9 | 11.0 | 12.5 |
| 34－35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 3 | 8 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 7.3 | 9.0 |
| 36－37． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 2 | 8 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 4.5 |
| 38－39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 2 | ． 7 | 1.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 2 |

California

| 12－13． | 98.0 | 52.0 | 29.0 | 17．0 | 10.8 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 3.9 |  |  | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14－15 | 2.0 | 35．0 | 30.0 | 21.0 | 15．2 | 11.0 | 7.5 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 |  |
| 16－17 |  | 10.7 | ${ }_{12}^{24.0}$ | 24．0 | 19.0 | ${ }^{14.5}$ | 11.4 | 8.5 | 6． 8 | ${ }^{5.6}$ | 4．1． | 3． 5 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  |
| 18－19 |  | $\begin{array}{r}2.1 \\ . \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 12．0 | 18．5 | 16．0 | 15．0 | 13.0 17.0 | $1{ }^{10.5}$ | 9．2 | 8． 81 | ${ }_{8}^{6.5}$ | 7.5 | 4． 6.1 | 3． 2 |  |
| 22－23 |  |  | 1．${ }^{3}$ | 5.2 | 10．5 | 14.5 | 16.0 | 16.0 | 13.0 | 11． 0 | 8． 10 | 9.5 | 7.8 | 6． 5 |  |
| 24－25 |  |  | ． 2 | 2.2 | 5.3 | 11.3 | 14.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 15.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 11.0 | 9.5 |  |
| 26－27． |  |  |  | 5 | 2.8 | 5.3 | 9.8 | 13.5 | 17．0 | 18．0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 14．0 | 12.0 |  |
| 28－29 |  |  |  | ． 1 | 8 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 7.8 | 11.5 | 15.5 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 19.5 | 17.0 |  |
| 30－31． |  |  |  |  | 1 | ． 6 | 1.5 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 8.5 | 12.5 | 16.0 | 18.5 | 21.0 |  |
| 32－33 |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 1 | ． 7 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 4.6 | 7.2 | 10．8 | 16.0 |  |
| － $36-37$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 3 | 9 | 1.7 | 3.0 | 5.5 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 12－13 | 100.0 | 50.0 | 28.0 | 16.9 | 10.0 | 6.1 |  | 2.7 | 1.4 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14－15． |  | 36．0 | 31.5 | 22.1 | 17.0 | 12.9 | 8.4 | 5.6 | 4.5 | 3.0 | 2.4 |  |  | 1.0 | 0.7 |
| 16－17． |  | 13.1 | 25.8 | 27.0 | 22.0 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 11.5 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 4.4 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 2． 3 | 1． 8 |
| 18－19 |  |  | 11.5 | 20.0 | 21.5 | 20.5 | 17.5 | 15.2 | 12.8 | 10.6 | 8.6 | 6.5 | 4.7 | 3.7 | 3. |
| 20－21 |  |  | 3.2 | 9.4 | 16.2 | 17.0 | 19.0 | 18.5 | 16.5 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 10.0 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 5.4 |
| 22－23 |  |  |  | 3.5 | 8.6 | 14.0 | 16.5 | 16.5 | 17.5 | 17.0 | 15.0 | 13.5 | 11.8 | 9.7 | 8. |
| 24－25． |  |  |  | 1.1 | 3.6 | 7.2 | 11.0 | 13.5 | 15.0 | 16.0 | 16.5 | 15.5 | 14.5 | 13.0 | 10.8 |
| 26－27． |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 9.2 | 11.0 | 12.5 | 145 | 14.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 14.5 |
| 28－29 |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 2.6 | 5． 0 | 8.0 | 9.5 | 11.5 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 13.5 | 14.0 |
| 30－31． |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 7 | 2.3 | 3.8 | 6.8 |  |  | 11.0 | 12.0 | 12. |
| 32－33． |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． |  | 1.2 | 3.2 |  |  |  | 10.5 | 11.5 |
| 34－35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.2 | 3. | 1.6 | 3.8 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 10.3 |
| ${ }_{3}^{36-37}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 | 4.0 | 6.1 |
| 38－39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.6 |

idaho and montana

| 12－13 | 99.8 | 62.0 | 31.0 | 16.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 2.6 | 1.7 |  |  |  | 0.2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14－15 | 2 | 32.5 | 38.0 | 29.0 | 18.0 | 13.0 | 8.5 | 5.8 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.8 |  |
| 16－17 |  | 5.1 | 22.5 | 29.0 | 26.0 | 20.0 | 15.5 | 11.5 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 4.6 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 1.7 |  |
| 18－19． |  | 4 | 6.8 | 17.0 | 23.0 | 22. | 19.0 | 15．0 | 13.0 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 6． 3 | 4.7 | 3.5 |  |
| ${ }^{20-21}$ |  |  | 1.6 | 6.8 | 14．0 | 18.0 | 18.0 | 19.0 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 11.5 | 9.7 | 8.0 | 6.5 |  |
| 22－23． |  |  |  | 2.0 | 6.4 | 12.0 | 16.0 | 17.0 | 18.0 | 16.0 | 14.0 | 11.5 | 10.5 | 9． 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  | ． 2 | 2.2 |  | 11.0 | 14.0 |  |  |  | 15.0 | ${ }^{13.0}$ | ${ }^{12.0}$ |  |
| 20－29 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 2.4 | 1.8 | 9． 4.5 | $\begin{array}{r}12.0 \\ 7 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |  | 18.0 | 16．0 | 16.0 | 15．5 |  |
| 33031 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1.8 2 | 1.1 | 7.5 | 10．7 | 13．0 | 11.8 | 14.5 | 15．5 |  |
| $32-33$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 1 | ． 5 | 1.7 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 11.5 |  |
| 34－35． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ． 5 | 1.6 | 3.9 | 6.4 |  |
| 30－37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 1.6 |  |

${ }^{1}$ Trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter．

## HEIGHT

Figure 14 presents seven sets of height curves illustrating for representative ages and site indexes the average heights of trees of various




Figure 14a.-Total heights of trees of various diameter classes, for seven sets of representative ages and
site indexes 40 to 100.
breast-height diameters. These curves are based on 10,101 height measurements. They are useful in calculating the volume, growth, and yield of second-growth ponderosa pine forests.

Curves of this sort are a recent addition to yield studies, in spite of the fact that many thousands of heights have been taken in stands of




Figure 148.-Total heights of trees of various diameter classes, for seven sets of representative ages and
many age and site classes in every such study. The reason for this probably lies in the previous lack of a suitable method of analysis.

The method used in this study, which is described in the appendix, is simple and gives fairly accurate results, although it has the fault of subjectiveness. It is to be hoped that further study will lead to development of an objective technique for this purpose.

To apply these height charts, all the information required is age of stand and approximate site index. Heights for age classes not shown in the charts can be interpolated ocularly. Availability of these charts makes it unnecessary for timber cruisers to measure many tree heights. Such measurement has commonly been neglected, because of the time and inconvenience involved.

## volume

A volume study is prerequisite to a yield study. In this project, special effort was made to obtain all available stem and taper analyses for second-growth ponderosa pine and these data were supplemented with new material. Table 32 gives cubic-foot volume of the entire tree, including stump and tip but not bark or branches. Table 33 gives board-foot volume by International rule for $1 / 8$-inch kerf, and table 34 gives board-foot volume by Scribner rule.

Table 32.-Cubic-foot volume table for second-growth ponderosa pine, by total height of trees ${ }^{1}$


Table 33.-Board-foot tolume table (International rule, $1 / 8$-inch kerf) for secondgrowth ponderosa pine, by total height of trees ${ }^{1}$

| Diameter at breast height (inches) | Volume (board feet in tens) by total-beight class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }_{\text {feet }}^{40}$ | $\begin{gathered} 50 \\ \text { feet } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { feet } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { feet } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \\ \text { feet } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { feet }}{90}$ | ${ }_{\text {feet }}^{100}$ | ${ }_{\text {feet }}^{110}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 120 \\ & \text { foet } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130 \\ & \text { foet } \end{aligned}$ | 140 feet | ${ }_{\text {feet }}^{150}$ |
|  | 13d fit | Bd. ft . | Bd. ft. | Bd. ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft | Bd. ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd fit |
| 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 4 | 6 |  | 10 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 19 |  | 24 |  |  |
| 14 | 6 |  | 12 | 16 | 19 | 22 | 25 | 28 | 32 | 35 | 38 |  |
| 16 | 9 | 13 | 17 | 22 | 26 | 30 | 34 | 38 | 43 | 47 | 52 | 56 |
| 18 | 12 | 17 | ${ }^{22}$ | ${ }^{28}$ | 34 | 39 | ${ }^{44}$ | 50 | 55 | ${ }^{61}$ | 66 | 72 |
| 20 | 15 | 22 | 25 | 35 | 42 | 48 |  | 62 | 70 | 76 | 84 | 91 |
| 22 |  | 27 | 35 | 43 | 52 | 60 | 68 | 76 | 85 | 94 | 103 | 111 |
| 24 |  | 33 | 42 | 52 | 62 | 72 | 82 | 93 | 102 | 112 | 122 | 131 |
| 26 |  |  | 50 | 62 | 74 | 86 | 98 | 110 | 121 | 132 | 142 | 152 |
| 28 |  |  | 59 | 73 | 87 | 101 | 114 | 127 | 139 | 151 | 162 | 173 |
| 30 |  |  | 69 | 85 | 101 | 117 | 131 | 144 | 158 | 170 | 182 | 194 |
| 32 |  |  | 79 | 98 | 116 | 133 | 148 | 161 | 176 | 190 | 202 | 216 |
| 34 |  |  | 90 | 112 | 130 | 149 | 164 | 179 | 194 | 210 | 224 | 238 |
| 36 |  |  |  | 125 | 144 | 164 | 180 | 197 | 214 | 230 | 245 | 260 |
| 38 |  |  |  | 138 | 158 | 179 | 197 | 215 | 234 | 250 | 266 | 283 |
| 40 |  |  |  | 151 | 172 | 195 | 214 | 234 | 254 | 272 | 289 | 307 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  | 187 | 211 | 232 | 253 | 274 | 293 | 312 |  |
| 44 |  |  |  |  | 202 | 226 | 250 | 272 | 294 | 315 | 335 | 355 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 243 | 268 | 291 | 314 | 337 | 359 | 380 |
| 48 |  |  |  |  |  | 260 | 286 | 311 | 335 | 359 | 383 | 406 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 278 | 306 | 331 | 357 | 382 | 407 | 432 |

1 Data collected in Oregon, California, Montana, Arizona, Colorado, and New Mexico. Basis, 2.865 trees,
Stump height, 1 to 2 feet. Trees scaled in 16 -foot log lengths with 0.3 foot trimming allowance to 6 -inch top Stump height, ${ }^{1}$ to 2 feet. Trees scaled in 16 -foot log lengths with 0.3 foot trimming allowance to 6 -inch top
diameter inside bark. Table prepared by alinement-chart method, 1935 . Aggregate deviation from basic data, -0.10 percent. Standard deviation, $\pm 18.4$ percent.

Table 34.-Board-foot volume table (Scribner rule) for second-growth ponderosa pine by total height of trees

| Diameter at breast height (inches) | Volume (board feet in tens) by total-beight class |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 40 | 50 | 60 | :0 | 80 | 90 | 100 | 110 | 120 | 130 | 140 | 150 |
|  | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. | Bd.ft. |
| 10 | ${ }_{1}^{1}$ |  | 2 5 | ${ }_{6}^{2}$ | 3 <br> 8 | $1{ }^{3}$ | 11 | ${ }_{12}^{4}$ | 14 | 15 |  |  |
| 12 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 26 |  |
| 16 | 6 | 10 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 34 |  | 40 |
| 18. | 9 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 24 | 29 | 34 | 37 | 41 | 46 | 50 | 54 |
| 20. | 12 | 17 | ${ }_{2}^{22}$ | 27 | 32 | 37 | 44 | 48 | 53 | 58 | 64 | ${ }^{69}$ |
| 22 |  | 21 | 27 | 34 | 41 | 47 | 54 | 60 | 67 | 74 | 81 | 89 |
| 24 |  | 26 | 33 | 42 | 50 | 58 | ${ }_{6}^{66}$ | 74 | 83 | 91 | 100 | 109 |
| 26 |  |  | 41 | 51 | 60 | 69 | 79 | 90 | 100 | 109 | 119 | 129 |
| 28 |  |  | 48 | 59 | 70 | 82 | 94 | 106 | 117 | 127 | 138 | 148 |
| 30 |  |  | 56 | 69 | 81 | 96 | 109 | 122 | 134 | 145 | 157 | 167 |
| 32 |  |  | ${ }_{64} 4$ | 80 | 95 | 110 | 124 | ${ }^{138}$ | 151 | 163 | 175 | 186 |
| 34 |  |  | 74 | 91 | 109 | 124 | 140 | 154 | 168 | 181 | 194 | ${ }_{2}^{206}$ |
| 36. |  |  |  | 104 | 123 | 138 | 156 | 171 | 185 | 199 | ${ }_{22}^{213}$ | ${ }_{247}^{226}$ |
| 38 |  |  |  | 117 | 137 | 152 | 172 | 188 | 203 | ${ }_{237}^{218}$ | ${ }_{252}^{232}$ | 247 |
| 40 |  |  |  | 130 | 150 | 167 | 188 | 204 | ${ }_{28}^{221}$ | 237 | ${ }_{273}^{252}$ | 268 |
| 42 |  |  |  |  | 163 | 182 | 203 | 221 | 2238 | 275 | ${ }_{293}^{273}$ | 289 309 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  | 176 | 187 |  | 238 | 275 | 275 | 293 313 | 309 329 |
| 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 211 | 234 | 225 | 275 | ${ }_{313}^{294}$ | 313 333 33 | 329 350 350 |
| 48. |  |  |  |  |  | 225 | 249 | 272 | ${ }_{311}^{293}$ | 313 | 333 <br> 333 | 350 371 |
| 50 |  |  |  |  |  | 240 | 264 | 289 | 311 | 332 | 3.3 | 371 |

[^2] 8 -inch top diameter inside bark. Table prepared by alinement-chart method, 1935. Aggregate deviatio 8 -inch top diameter inside bark. Table prepared by alinement-cha
from basic data, -0.25 percent. Standard deviation, $\pm 17.8$ percent.

The volumes corresponding to given diameters and heights of ponderosa pine trees are commonly considered to vary with site quality. The results of this investigation tend to support this theory; but because the data are in some respects incomplete and unsatisfactory, definite statements as to variation with site quality cannot be made. Instead, the data have been analyzed as a group for variation of volume with form. The expression for form tentatively accepted is the ratio between diameter inside bark at a height of 18 feet up the bole and breast-height diameter outside bark. Use of this quotient takes into account the two most important factors, namely the greater butt swell and the greater bark thickness usually observed on land of the better site classes.
In applying the volume tables to a specific stand these two measurements should be made on 30 or more trees, either after felling or by


Figure 15.-Second growth volume adjustment based on ratio of diameter inside bark at 18 feet to diameter outside bark at breast height: $a$, cubic-foot volume; $b$, board-foot volume, international rule; $c$, board oot volume, Scribner rule.
climbing, the average ratio should be determined, and the corresponding adjustment factor should be sought in figure 15. The correction factors are most accurate for trees 10 inches and more d. b. h. For trees of smaller diameter, the ratio used here is not a good expression of form and use of the correction factors shown in figure 15 is not recommended
If tables 32-34 are to be used frequently for interpolated diameter and height classes, it is advisable to convert them to graphical form, most preferably on double logarithmic paper of the largest cycle obtainable. Logarithmic paper with a cycle approximately 18 by 18 inches, for instance, has been prepared by the Pacific Northwest Forest Experiment Station for its own use and has proved entirely azceptable.
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## APPENDIX

## basic data

The data used in constructing the normal-yield tables in this bulletin are measurements taken on 450 temporary sample plots by seven or more investigators and their assistants in five national-forest regions. On more than 300 of these plots the measurements were made under one working plan, with general supervision by the author. Tables 35 and 36 show the distribution of the 450 plots by State, age class, and site-index class. The standard plot sizes in the normal-yield study were 1 acre for old stands and one-quarter acre for young conditions. The distribution of the 450 plots by size was as follows:

> Size (acre):
> $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of plots }\end{aligned}$
> Less than 0.10 8
> 0.10 to 0.24
> 0.25 to 0.49
> 0.50 to 0.74
> $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \text { to } 0.99 \text { - } \\ & 1.00 \text { or more }\end{aligned}$
> $\begin{aligned} & 184 \\ & 170\end{aligned}$

450
Efforts were made to sample true even-aged-forest conditions; measurement of plots in small patches of timber was not favored.

Table 35.-Distribution of plots accepted in normal-yield study, and their average site indexes, by State

| State | Plots | A verage site index | State | Plots | Average site inder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  |  | Number |  |
| Washington... | ${ }_{56}^{10}$ | 73.6 78.5 | Montana |  | 65.2 51.0 |
| California. | 109 | 109.2 |  |  |  |
| Idaho.... | 125 | 83.5 | Total. | 450 |  |

Table 36.-Distribution of plots accepted in normal-yield study by age class and

| Age class (years) | 30-49 | 50-69 | 70-89 | 90-108 | 110-129 | 130-149 | 150-169 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20-20 | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number | Number |
| $30-49$. | 30 | 30 | 31 | 22 | 11 | 12 | 1 | 137 |
| $50-89$. | 11 | 44 | 38 | 18 17 | 17 5 | 7 | 2 | 137 |
| 70-89. |  | 10 | 19 15 15 | 15 |  |  |  | 56 33 |
| 110-129 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 17 | 2 |  |  | 40 |
| $130-149$ |  | 2 | 8 | 4 |  |  |  | 15 |
| $150-189$ $170-189$ |  | 8 1 | 8 <br> 2 | 1 |  |  |  | 17 |
| 190-209 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total | 48 | 113 | 138 | 84 | 37 | 27 | 3 | 450 |

Records were available for 398 plots in addition to the 450 accepted in the normal-yield study; some of these records were used to determine the effect of stocking upon yield. No data were a vailable for the Southwest. ${ }^{7}$

Some of the new data were rejected, because they represented stands for which commonly used in yield studies, such as deviation by more than twice the standard error from the average value, were not applied in this study; therefore variation about the yield-table values as expressed by standard errors tends to be greater than usual. Wide variation had to be accepted because true normality had not been clearly defined and opinion in regard to it varied among the investigators themselves.

It may eventually be found necessary to supplement the data for a few subregions, particularly the Black Hills. Existing data for the Black Hills checked closely with those for the other subregions as to cubic volume, but differed from them considerably as to board-foot volume. It is probable that separate yield tables will be required for the Black Hills.

## METHODS OF TABLE CONSTRUCTION

## yield tables

In the ponderosa pine yield study it was necessary to depart somewhat from the standard methods of yield-table construction developed by Bruce (6), Bruce and Reineke (7), Reineke (20), and Bruce and Schumacher (8).
The success of yield-table construction depends primarily upon correct initial determination of the site quality of the plots. The investigator cannot proceed with the study until he has constructed dependable site curves. In the ponderosa pine study the site curves drawn up by the standard method (6) were obviously wrong. They were too flat in the lower range and too steep in the higher, and did not Attempts curve formed by data taken under site conditions known to be uniform. of variation ( 8 ) about the graduating curve led to errors of the opposite nature. A variation (8) about the graduating curve led A new method was therefore used
The plots of each of 11 major subregions were treated as a separate group. The in the subrght of average-diameter dominant and codominant trees for each plot data, and an estimated site index read for the group. The next step was to set up a chart with site index as abscissa and height of average dominant and codominant trees as ordinate, to plot readings of the heights for selected age classes of each of the groups of data, and to curve these readings out by age class. The fit was made easily, the major part of each curve being rectilinear. A little forcing of the curves at the lower extremities was required to make them pass through the $0: 0$ coordinate. The final step was to construct a chart showing height over age for site indexes at intervals of 10 . The results were not subjected to any rigid test, but were found to correspond to height-on-age curves, each representing a single site index, localies faccess of this method conditions.
The succage site quality varied widely upon the availability of groups of data for for the poorest group to 120 for the best. Had the territory covered by the investigation been limited as in earlier studies, probably this method would not have been feasible.

After the site-index curves were constructed, site index was determined for each plot and all the data were sorted on the basis of 20 -foot site-index groups and 10 year age classes. At this stage the standard procedure is to construct graduating curves, with age as the abscissa and the stand value as the ordinate, and read the estimated plot values from the curve. The site-index curves are then drawn on both sides of the graduating curve, at intervals determined by ratio of sums of estimated plot values to sums of actual plot values for each site-index group. ( 8 ). These techniques, also, had to be modified. In the first place, for the data taken on land of eod a those taken on average sites it was 190 years. Also, growth stagnation on a large
T It is possible, however, that the yield tables presented here can be applied to the even-aged groups com-
mon in the pine stands of the Kaibab Plateau, through some modification of yield -survey technifue and mon in the pine stands of the Kaibab Plateau, through some modification of yield-survey technique and
study of the relations between values such as number of trees or volume for the Kaibab stands and corre-
sponding values of the normal-yield tables.
number of plots in young stands and the poor site quality of many others tended to warp various sections of the graduating curves. For these reasons each graduating curve was confined to average site-index classes, namely those in the range from 60 to 100 . The curve was anamorphosed, with age as abscissa, and upon the anamorphosed chart the data were plotted by site-index class. The points for each site-index class ordinarily fell in a straight line, which did not pass through the origin. Selected intercepts were then plotted over site index and curved out. Finally, the curved values of the intercepts were used directly to get the spacings of the site-index curves about the graduating curve. The customary cross checks were made among basal area, number of trees, and average diameter.
The yield tables for partial stands were constructed by the usual method (6) with slight modifications. The standard method calls for a single average curve
of a stand value, such as percentage of total basal area included in the partial stand, over average diameter of total stand. The values for site index 40, the stand, over average diameter of totally stand. The values for site index 40 , the and were therefore curved out and dealt with separately. The values for site index 50 were interpolated between the values for site index 40 and those for site index 60 .
After the vield tables were completed checks were made on the fit of the data from different subregions to the yield tables. These resulted in certain minor changes in the tables. On the whole, however, the results were accepted as they stood. Aggregate deviations of subregional groups of data from the final yield tables, and the standard deviations of the entire group, are shown in table 37 . The deviations for certain subregions may at first glance seem inordinately large; but they should not be construed as indicating a weakness in the tables, since rejection of plots was not severe.

Table 37.-Aggregate deviations of plot data from normal-yield values, by subregion

| Subregion | Plots | Aggregate error (percent of estimated value) for- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of trees | $\begin{gathered} \text { Basal } \\ \text { area } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cubic- } \\ \text { foot } \\ \text { volume } \end{gathered}$ | Board-foot volume |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\underset{\text { Internal }}{\text { Ind }}$ rule | $\begin{gathered} \text { Scribner } \\ \text { rule } \end{gathered}$ |
| California | Number 109 | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent | Percent |
| Oregon and Washington. | ${ }_{66}$ | -24.7 | ${ }_{-3.9}$ | ${ }_{-6.2}$ | + +.5 | +5.3 |
| Idaho ${ }^{1}$-............. | 65 | -31.1 | -5.4 | -3.4 | $+3.7$ | +7.7 |
| Southern Idabo. | 42 | -6.4 | -10.0 | -9.0 | -9.3 | -8.7 |
| Northern Idaho and Montana | 137 | +7.5 | -2.2 | $-3.6$ | -8.0 | $-11.5$ |
| Black Hills.......... | 31 | +15.8 | +14.6 | -2.7 | -30.3 | $-61.0$ |
| Total. | 450 | +. 64 | +. 03 | -1.25 | +. 13 | -. 21 |

${ }^{1}$ Data taken by Behre $(5,4)$.
For several western timber species including Sitka spruce and western hemlock (15), the plotting of yield values over average diameter without reference to site quality or age has resulted in compact curves in which no effect of site or age can which is the Curves of this character have pronounced advantages, chief of diameter alone. In the case of ponderosa pine the curve of yield over average diameter shows a strong residual effect of site and age, which makes its utility negligible.

## STAND AND STOCK TABLES

The stand and stock tables of this study were constructed by the graphical method used in a recent study of the yield of Sitka spruce and western hemlock (15). This method is in part a reversion to one used in early stand-table studies. It is simpler than the alinement-chart method (7) or any of the mathematical methods now in use. Its accuracy depends in part on availability of a large quantity of data. In the author's opinion, for use in constructing a series of tables of different kinds it is more accurate than the alinement-chart method or
the mathematical methods; it may be less desirable than one or another of those methods in some instances, but it is believed to be the only method now known that can be applied to normal, skewed, and truncated curves for number of trees, basal area, or volume with equal ease and accuracy. The saving in time is enormous and was a prime factor
First the plots were sorted on the basis of 1 -inch gradations in average diameter. Number of trees (or cubic-foot or board-foot volume) was listed, by diameter class, for each plot. Cumulative sums and percentages from smallest to largest diameter were then obtained for each diameter group and plotted on arithmetic requency paper for successive limiting diameters. For instance, the percentage of total number of trees in the 1 - and 2 -inch classes was plotted on the 2.5 -inch gradation, and that of the $1-, 2-, 3-$, and 4 -inch classes on the 4.5 -inch gradation. eter plimits could be mad and $5,20,50,80,95$, and 98 . These readings were plotted on ordinary rectangular coordinate paper with average diameter as the abscissa and diameter limit as the coordinate paper with average diameter as the abscissa and diameter limit as the
ordinate, and the plottings were curved out by the percentage intervals. Except for the high percentages, these fittings were made easily. This gave the basis for constructing on arithmetic frequency paper a complete set of fitted and coordinated curves, which ordinarily needed little further adjustment. To obtain table 28 , the percentages applying to the successive diameter limits of a stand tally were applied to the yield table for number of trees in total stand (table 3) through the medium of the table for average diameter of total stand (table 5).
In the case of board-foot volume by Scribner rule, the basis of the initial orting was average diameter not of total stand but of trees 11.6 inches and more in diameter. This switch resulted in much stronger curves in the subsequent steps.

## height tables

Figure 14, height curves for stands of representative age and site-quality class, as constructed by a method described in reports on yield studies for Sitka spruce and western hemlock (15) and for Douglas fir. ${ }^{8}$ This method is largely graphical and therefore somewhat subjective, but for the present seems to be the only easible technique available for constructing such curves. Multiple curvilinear correlation methods in their present form failed to give a satisfactory solution.
First the plots were sorted on the basis of 1-inch gradations in average diameter and the heights measured on all the plots of each group were listed by diameter. The average heights for individual diameter classes were computed and were plotted over diameter on rectangular coordinate paper. A smooth curve was dro the group of plo at 1 -inch intervals were then expressed as percentages of this height. These percentages were next plotted over average stand diameter and curved out by 1 -inch diameter classes.
Preparation of the site-age height charts began with reading the diameters for elected ages and site-index classes from the normal-yield table for average breast-height diameter of total stand (table 5). The heights of the averagediameter dominant and codominant trees for the same classes were read from table 4 and were converted to height of average tree of all dominance classes by means of a chart not given here. Percentage height values were read for average diameters of selected ages and site indexes, shown in table 5 , and multiplied by average height in feet to get the heights for the full range of diameters.
The accuracy of this method depends upon the availability of a large quantity of data.

## mortality tables

The values for the mortality tables were computed through the medium of the number-of-trees table (table 3), the stand table (table 28), the height curves (fig. 14), and the cubic-foot volume table (table 32). The method of computation has been explained at length in a previous yield-study report (15) and will not be described here in full. In brief, it consists in deducing by means of the stand to another. For instance, according to table 4 a stand of site index 80 has at 60

ears 662 trees per acre, and at 80 years only 393 trees, or 269 trees less, per acre rom the stand tables for the two ages (table 28) the differences in number of trees for individual diameter classes, starting from the smallest, are cumulate until the total loss in number is found. In the case cited the loss per acre eighteen 1 -inch trees, sixty-five 2 - and 3 -inch trees, one hundred and one 4- and 5 -inch trees, seventy-four 6-and 7 -inch trees, and eleven 8 - and 9 -inch trees The height for each diameter class, for the lower age class, is read from figure 14 and the volumes are computed. This method gives actually a minimum estimate trees from the berinning of the deade to the time when they fie grow the any large trees.

## volume tables

The three volume tables were constructed by the base-alinement-chart method separate base charts were used for each table, and the cubic-foot and board-foo tables were cross-checked by means of board-foot-cubic-foot ratios
The deviations computed after the tables were completed indicated that volume may vary consiotently with site quality, but the dala were too unsatisfactory and unrepresentative for definite conclusions on this point. Many, perhaps most of the present volume data are for young trees in uneven-aged stands; thus it is possible that the data do not fairly represent conditions in even-aged stands. In future investigations in second-growth ponderosa pine emphasis should be given to stuy or stated here When reliable volume data taken in even-aged stands of a good range of age classes on sites of all qualities are finally obtained and analyzed, if these data show variation with site quality the values of the yield tables for volume (tables $6,11,16$ ) can easily be adjusted.
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