21

## Stream ecosystem research in a watershed perspective

#### ROBERT J. NAIMAN and JAMES R. SEDELL

#### With 2 figures and 2 tables in the text

## Introduction

In has now become increasing clear that characteristics of stream ecosystems are intimately tied to the nature of their watershed (HYNES 1975). Until recently, however, research directed at stream ecosystems was largely confined to individual segments or small watersheds, seldom being extrapolated to a wider perspective. Due primarily to the pioneering work of VANNOTE et al. (1980), research on lotic ecosystems has recently shifted from studies of stream segments to studies of biological and physical longitudinal profiles as streams gradually coalesce to form large rivers (see for example, SEDELL et al. 1978; NAI-MAN & SEDELL 1979 a, b, 1980). This river continuum concept may be referred to as a "second dimension" in our understanding of the characteristics of streams as they flow from headwaters to the sea. Research on lotic ecosystems is now on the verge of progressing to a "third dimension", by giving breadth to the previous studies of longitudinal changes, through careful watershed analyses of stream size, length, numbers, discharge, and gradient within the entire drainage network.

Gross physical analyses of large watersheds are relatively easy to accomplish, and when combined with studies of stream segments of various orders, can be a powerful tool for understanding the basic structural and functional processes of large and seemingly complex ecosystems. When physical and biological studies are combined, the relative importance, abundance, activity, and distribution of biological components can be readily examined at the watershed level. By not considering the entire watershed, overemphasis is often placed on certain aspects relative to their proportion within the watershed, both in terms of quantity and quality. As a consequence, longitudinal and latitudinal perspective, which covers the total drainage network, is lost.

For this short paper we have chosen three examples from our studies in Quebec, Canada, and Washington, U. S. A., to demonstrate how physical analyses can be combined with biological studies to produce a clearer understanding of large watershed ecosystems. These examples include export of organic carbon, distribution of periphyton production, and the relative abundance and distribution of quality habitat for salmonid fishes.

## Site descriptions

Studies in Quebec are centered on the Moisie River (9th order;  $area = 19,811 \text{ km}^2$ ) and the Matamek River watersheds (6th order;  $673 \text{ km}^2$ ), about 25 km east of Sept Iles. Both rivers discharge into the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The area is Precambrian Shield with virgin stands of black spruce (*Picea mariana*; 47 °/o) and balsam fir (*Abies balsamea*; 44 °/o). The trees are of mixed ages but most are > 60 years old. Disturbances are < 1 °/oof each watershed, and nearly all are > 50 yrs old. Winters are long and severe with a mean annual temperature of 1 °C. There is a strong spring freshet in April—May when nearly 75 °/o of the annual discharge occurs. Mean annual discharge of the Moisie River is 466 m<sup>3</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup>; for the Matamek River it is 22.1 m<sup>3</sup> · s<sup>-1</sup>.

In Washington the South Fork of the glacially fed Hoh River meanders over a broad, gravel-floored, flood channel on the Olympic Peninsula. This temperate rain forest is old-

#### 0368-0770/81/0021-0804 \$ 2.00 © 1981 E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung, D-7000 Stuttgart 1

growth spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) and western hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*)  $\leq 260$  yrs old. The study area was divided into sections consisting of the main river channel; subsidiary channels to the main river located within the active exposed floodplain; tributaries resulting from spring networks on the flat valley floor and from tributaries draining the valley slopes which continue across geological terraces to the main river; side slope streams originating from run-off on the sleep valley walls; and upper side slope streams with steep gradients and high velocities (SEDELL et al. 1980).

#### Materials and methods

With minor modifications, annual periphyton production was estimated by methods described by NAIMAN & SEDELL (1980). This is a chamber technique utilizing a diel oxygen curve to measure metabolic parameters. Particulate seston concentrations  $> 0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$  were measured by filtering 4 l of water onto a preweighed and ashed Gelman A/E glass filter, ashing the filter and sample for 2–4 hr at 525 °C, and then reweighing the sample. Samples were assumed to be 50 % carbon. Dissolved organic carbon (DOC;  $\leq 0.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ ) was measured by persulfate oxidation (MENZEL & VACCARO 1964).

Watersheds were characterized from Canadian Department of Energy, Mines, and Resource, and U. S. Geological Survey topographic maps (scale = 1:50,000) for stream order (STRAHLER 1957), number and length of each stream order, and for spatial distribution of streams. Results were randomly checked in the field for accuracy. Stream widths were determined either from aerial photos taken by the Quebec Ministry of Lands and Forests (scale: 1:15,000) or by measurement of a large number of transects. Physical stream survey techniques were used to rate channel and bank stability and pool quality (DUFF & COOPER 1976; PFANKUCH 1975). The stability method is based on a visual rating of 15 physical factors which yields a qualitative, objective ranking. Pool classification is largely based on surface area, maximum depth, and extent of vegetative cover. Debris obstructions were counted directly and rated for their role in bank stability, providing fish habitat, and in flow deflection.

Fish were captured with a beach seine in the larger rivers and with a 600 v backpack electric shocker in off-channel and tributary sites. Fish were anesthetized with MS 222 (tricaine methanesulfonate), identified to species, fork length measured, and released. Biomass was estimated from length-weight relationships (SEDELL et al. 1980).

#### Results and discussion

Three diverse examples serve to demonstrate the value of physical watershed analyses to stream research. For this purpose we discuss organic carbon export from watersheds, distribution of periphyton production, and the relative quality of various habitats for salmonid fishes.

### Export of organic carbon

The amount of organic carbon exported from watersheds is thought to vary widely and be greatly influenced by vegetation, basin area, hydrographic events, season, and efficiency of terrestrial retention. However, when annual export is scaled to a unit area, it has been found to be surprisingly conservative and can be conveniently used for comparison between biomes, as well as for comparisons among watersheds in the same biome. This has been done for five watersheds in Quebec ranging in area and annual discharge over five orders of magnitude (Table 1). Despite these wide physical ranges the concentrations of particulate organic carbon (POC) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC) rarely vary by more than

| Watershed        | Area<br>(km²) | POC <sup>a</sup><br>(mg<br>C · m <sup>-3</sup> ) | $DOC^{a}$<br>(g C · m <sup>-3</sup> ) | Total<br>carbon<br>(g<br>C · m <sup>-3</sup> ) | Annual<br>discharge<br>(m <sup>3</sup> · yr <sup>-1</sup> ) | Runoff<br>(m ·<br>yr <sup>-1</sup> ) | Annual<br>export<br>$(g C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1})$ |
|------------------|---------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| First Choice Cre | eek 0.25      | 488± 70  | $2.06 \pm 0.22$                       | 2.55   | 4.10 × 10 <sup>5</sup>                                      | 1.64                                 | 4.18   |
| Beaver Creek     | 1.83          | $875 \pm 144$                                    | $11.52 \pm 0.50$                      | 12.40  | $1.04 \times 10^{6}$  | 0.57                                 | 7.05   |
| Muskrat River    | 207           | $1201 \pm 164$                                   | $10.43 \pm 0.55$                      | 11.63  | $2.65 \times 10^{8}$  | 1.28                                 | 14.89  |
| Matamek River    | 673           | 512 ± 29   | $7.00 \pm 0.19$                       | 7.51   | $6.97 \times 10^{8}$  | 1.04                                 | 7.78   |
| Moisie River     | 19,811        | 528± 76  | 4.75±0.17                             | 5.28   | $1.47 \times 10^{10}$                                       | 0.74                                 | 3.92   |

Table 1. Preliminary estimate of annual export of organic carbon from various sized watersheds in Quebec.

 $a \overline{x} \pm SE$ 

a factor of 3—5 between diverse streams within the same biome. Preliminary estimates of annual export are between 3.92-14.89 g C  $\cdot$  m<sup>-2</sup>, depending upon the site, with 81-93 % in dissolved form.

On the average, these results are slightly lower than the 14.18 g  $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1}$  estimated for the productive Amazon River watershed (RICHEY et al. 1980) and the 14.95 g  $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr$  estimated for the Nanaimo River, British Columbia (NAI-MAN & SIBERT 1978), and are higher than the 0.29–2.30 g  $C \cdot m^{-2} \cdot yr^{-1}$  estimated for several watersheds in the temperate Douglas fir forests of Oregon (NAIMAN & SEDELL 1979 a).

The Amazonian tropical forest, the temperate rain forests of the northwestern United States and western Canada, and the boreal forests of northern Canada range in annual productivity by approximately a factor of four (LEITH 1975), which is remarkably similar to the annual range of riverine export of organic carbon from the watersheds. The value of this observation lies in its usefulness for estimating, with a fair degree of confidence, movement of organic carbon from terrestrial ecosystems to oceans at a time when this contribution to the global carbon cycle can only be crudely estimated (RICHEY et al. 1980). If the range of values reported here for these diverse watersheds are reasonably correct, it should now be a relatively easy task to reliably estimate export from major terrestrial biomes to the oceanic ecosystem using physical measurements of biome size.

## Primary production

The distribution of primary production, benchic detritus, and other biological components of streams within a large watershed is not uniform. As an example, it has been shown by NAIMAN & SEDELL (1980) that periphyton production increases in a downstream direction, at least up to the size of a moderately large river. Since  $> 95 \, 0/_0$  of all streams are  $\leq 6$  th order it is thought that most periphyton production occurs in these smaller streams and not in larger rivers which are usually deep and turbid, restricting light penetration.

We determined for the Quebec sites that annual gross production and net daily metabolism can be estimated from stream order with a fair degree of confidence  $(r^2 = 0.71 \text{ and } 0.83, \text{ respectively})$  (Fig. 1). These relationships, when combined with data on stream widths, number of streams of various order, and mean lengths of



Fig. 1. Annual gross production  $(\bigcirc)$  and net daily metabolism  $(\blacktriangle)$  by periphyton are shown as a function of stream order for the Moisie and Matamek River watersheds in Quebec, Canada. Symbols: First Choice Creek (FCC), Beaver Creek (BVC), Muskrat River (MRR), Matamek River (MTK), and Moisie River (MOR).

each order, give the distribution of periphyton primary production within the watershed (Table 2).

The data have two interesting aspects: despite the overwhelming number and lengths of 1st-3rd order streams (98.8 %) of the total number and 87.3 %) of the total stream km within the watershed, respectively) they contain only about 18.8 % of the stream surface area for the entire drainage network and yield only 12 % of the annual gross primary production and 7 % of the annual net daily metabolism (Table 2). In contrast, 64 % of annual gross periphyton production and 71 % of annual net daily metabolism for the watershed occurs in streams of 7th-9th order. These latter reaches comprise only 0.02 % of the total stream numbers and only 2.2 % of the total stream length within the watershed, but supply 54 % of the total stream surface area.

These results indicate that significant periphyton production occurs farther downstream than is currently thought and, when coupled with a large surface area devoid of forest canopy, provides a situation where considerable production can occur. To date most studies of periphyton dynamics have been in streams  $\leq$  6th order which have different physical characteristics than the larger rivers. This may suggest that additional research should be conducted downstream where the periphyton must clearly have a significant function in the ecosystems trophic base.

#### Fish habitat

There exists within a watershed a wide variety of environments available to aquatic organisms; not all of the same quality for a single species. Even though fish faunal gradients along the river continuum have been known for some time (HORWITZ 1978), the spatial heterogeneity of fishes within the various geomorphic features of the drainage network has not been generally documented. To investigate

| Stream<br>order | No. of<br>streams    | %<br>Total  | Total<br>length<br>of streams<br>(km)           | %<br>Total                                | Width<br>(m) | Estimate<br>surface<br>area<br>$(m^2 \times 10^{10})$ | d %<br>Total<br><sup>6</sup> )                                   | Annual<br>gross<br>production<br>$(g O_2 \cdot m^{-2})$<br>yr <sup>-1</sup> |
|-----------------|----------------------|---|---|---|--------------|---|--|---|
| 1               | 38,770               | 78.2  | 16,142  | 49.9                                      | 0.3          | 4.8   | 1.8  | 42.6  |
| 2               | 8,400                | 16.9  | 8,249   | 25.5                                      | 1.7          | 14.0  | 5.3  | 52.0  |
| 3               | 1,855                | 3.7   | 3,842   | 11.9                                      | 8            | 30.7  | 11.7   | 61.4  |
| 4               | 415                  | 0.84  | 1,879   | 5.8                                       | 15           | 28.2  | 10.8   | 70.9  |
| 5               | 95                   | 0.19  | 1,072   | 3.3                                       | 20           | 21.4  | 8.2  | 80.3  |
| 6               | 19                   | 0.04  | 471   | 1.5                                       | 46           | 21.7  | 8.3  | 89.8  |
| 7               | 7                    | 0.014   | 340   | 1.1                                       | 150          | 51.0  | 19.4   | 99.2  |
| 8               | 2                    | 0.004   | 292   | 0.9                                       | 225          | 65.7  | 25.0   | 108.6   |
| 9               | 1                    | 0.002   | 54  | 0.2                                       | 460          | 24.8  | 9.5  | 118.1   |
| Total           | 49,564               |   | 32,341  |   |              | 2.6×10  | 8  |   |
| Stream<br>order | Annu<br>meta<br>(g O | ual net daily<br>bolism<br>2 · m <sup>-2</sup> · yr <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total gro<br>producti<br>(g O <sub>2</sub> · yr | on<br>r <sup>-1</sup> × 10 <sup>8</sup> ) | %<br>Total   | To<br>me<br>(g  | tal net dail<br>etabolism<br>O <sub>2</sub> · yr <sup>-1</sup> × | y %<br>Total<br>10 <sup>8</sup> )   |
| 1               | 3.4                  |   | 2.0   |   | 0.8          | 0   | .2   | 0.2   |
| 2               | 9.2                  |   | 7.3   |   | 3.1          | 1   | .3   | 1.5   |
| 3               | 15.1                 |   | 18.9  |   | 8.0          | 4   | .6   | 5.4   |
| 4               | 20.9                 |   | 20.0  |   | 8.5          | 5   | .9   | 6.9   |
| 5               | 26.7                 |   | 17.2  |   | 7.3          | 5   | .7   | 6.7   |
| 6               | 32.5                 |   | 19.5  |   | 8.3          | 7   | .1   | 8.3   |
| 7               | 38.3                 |   | 50.6  |   | 21.4         | 19  | .5   | 22.8  |
| 8               | 44.2                 |   | 71.4  |   | 30.2         | 29  | .0   | 33.8  |
| 9               | 50.0                 |   | 29.3  |   | 12.4         | 12  | .4   | 14.5  |
| Total           | 236.2                |   |   |   |              | 85.   | .7   |   |

Table 2. Physical characteristics and primary production in the Moisie River Watershed, Quebec (19,811 km<sup>2</sup>).

habitat quality for salmonids we chose the Hoh River system which provides a full range of pristine river conditions.

Valley wall tributaries make up the bulk ( $\sim 60^{\circ}/_{0}$ ) of the total length of streams while terrace tributaries ( $\sim 15^{\circ}/_{0}$ ), off-channel backwater areas ( $\sim 15^{\circ}/_{0}$ ), and the main river channel ( $\sim 10^{\circ}/_{0}$ ) are relatively minor components of the drainage network within the upper 220 km<sup>2</sup> of the Hoh River basin encompassed by this study. However, when total surface area available is considered, it is the main river channel which provides most of the surface area ( $\sim 50-60^{\circ}/_{0}$ ); valley wall tributaries ( $\sim 20-30^{\circ}/_{0}$ ), terrace tributaries ( $\sim 10^{\circ}/_{0}$ ), and off-channel areas ( $\sim 10^{\circ}/_{0}$ ) are less.

The highest density and biomass of salmonids occurs in the relatively sparse offchannel habitat (Fig. 2). About  $60 \,^{0}/_{0}$  of these channels are high quality pools formed by woody debris. In many cases the flood regime had produced an accumulation of woody debris along the edge of the main channel which deflected the flow, thereby producing large backwater pools or alcoves. In these habitats steelhead fry (*Salmo gairdneri*) represented 79  $^{0}/_{0}$  of the total density and 39  $^{0}/_{0}$  of the biomass. Coho salmon fry (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) made up 19  $^{0}/_{0}$  of the total density



Fig. 2. Density and biomass of salmonids in several habitats of the Hoh River Watershed, Washington, U. S. A.

and 56% of the biomass (Fig. 2). The second highest density of salmonids are found in the terrace tributaries, yet the fish have less than half the density of off-channel areas. Coho biomass was equal to that of off-channel habitat. Wall tributaries have low density and biomass of coho and steelhead. Upper wall tributaries contained numerous cutthroat trout (*Salmo clarki*). The main river channel, desite the large surface area, has the lowest salmonid density and biomass of all habitats. In this region total salmonid density is estimated to be < 0.001 fish  $\cdot$  m<sup>-2</sup> and the biomass < 0.01 g  $\cdot$  m<sup>-2</sup>.

Woody debris was found to be a major contributor to habitat quality for spawning and rearing (SEDELL et al. 1980). Its role in forming and maintaining anadromous fish habitat is clearly important, regardless of stream size. This study has significant management implications in that the salmonid productivity of this system is largely dependent upon stable stream networks created and maintained by woody debris, and the salmonids use of the sparse and often abused shallow off-channel habitat. Usually, management protection is more readily provided to streams and main river channels than to less scenic off-channel backwaters and, in addition, the important role of woody debris in creating and maintaining this quality habitat for stream fishes is generally not appreciated.

#### VI. Running Waters

## Conclusion

Studies of stream segments will, of their own accord, produce interesting and often significant results. However, placement of data in larger perspective yields new insights into basic ecosystems processes. Had the physical analyses not been combined with the biological studies, it would have been possible to conclude that (1) annual export of seston varied widely among watersheds, (2) that in a relative sense periphyton production is trophically important only in middle order (3rd-6th) streams because of their abundance and, (3) that high quality off-channel areas created by woody debris were not an especially rare feature of the drainage network. Examples presented here demonstrate that extension of site specific data to watershed dimensions adds perspective to our understanding of these basic ecosystem components. This same approach can be applied to other ecosystem components (e.g., woody debris, detritus, macrophytes, invertebrates, etc.) to produce a three-dimensional picture of their distribution and importance in the watershed. Without this added perspective, it is clear that the overview needed for understanding the dynamics of total watershed ecosystems would be difficult to accomplish.

## Acknowledgements

We thank F. G. WHORISKEY, P. A. BISSON, and J. A. JUNE for their excellent assistance with the sampling program, J. RICHEY and J. SLOANE for their comments on the manuscript, and D. STEELE and E. ELLIS for typing the manuscript. This is contribution No. 4705 from Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution and No. 44 from the Matamek Research Station.

## References

DUFF, D. A. & COOPER, J. L., 1976: Techniques for conducting stream habitat survey on Natural Resource Land. — U. S. Dept. Interior, BLM Technical Note 283, 72 pp.

HORWITZ, R. J., 1978: Temporial variability patterns and the distributional pattern of stream fishes. — Ecol. Monogr. 48: 307-321.

HYNES, H. B. N., 1975: The stream and its valley.— Verh. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 19: 1-15.

LEITH, H., 1975: Primary production of the major vegetation units of the world. — In: H. LEITH & R. H. WHITTAKER (eds.), Primary Productivity of the Biosphere: 203—215. Springer-Verlag, New York.

MENZEL, D. W. & VACCARO, R. F., 1964: The measurement of dissolved organic and particulate carbon in seawater. — Limnol. Oceanogr. 9: 138—142.

- NAIMAN, R. J. & SEDELL, J. R., 1979 a: Characteristics of particulate organic matter transported by some Cascade Mountain streams. — J. Fish. Res. Bd. Canada 36: 17—31.
  - — 1979 b: Benthic organic matter as a function of stream order in Oregon. *Arch. Hydrobiol.* 87: 404—422.

— 1980: Relationships between metabolic parameters and stream order in Oregon.
— Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37: 834—847.

NAIMAN, R. J. & SIBERT, J. R., 1978: Transport of nutrients and carbon from the Nanaimo River to its estuary. — *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 23: 1183—1193.

PFANKUCH, D. J., 1975: Stream reach inventory and channel stability evaluation. – U. S. D. A. Forest Service, Region 1, Missoula, Montana, 26 pp.

RICHEY, J. E., BROCK, J. T., NAIMAN, R. J., WISSMAR, R. C. & STALLARD, R. F., 1980: Organic carbon: oxidation and transport in the Amazon River. — Science 207: 1348—1351.

- SEDELL, J. R., BISSON, P. A. & JUNE, J. A., 1980: Ecology and fish habitat requirements of fish populations in South Fork Hoh River, Olympic National Park. — Proc. 2nd Conf., Research in the National Parks. U. S. Government Priting Office, Washington, D. C.
- SEDELL, J. R., NAIMAN, R. J., CUMMINS, K. W., MINSHALL, G. W. & VANNOTE, R. L., 1978: Transport of particulate organic material in streams as a function of physical processes. — Verb. Internat. Verein. Limnol. 20: 1366—1375.
- STRAHLER, A. N., 1957: Quantitative analysis of watershed geomorphology. Trans. Amer. Geophys. Union. 38: 913-920.
- VANNOTE, R. L., MINSHALL, G. W., CUMMINS, K. W., SEDELL, J. R. & CUSHING, C. E., 1980: The river continuum concept. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 37: 130—137.

Authors' addresses:

Dr. R. J. NAIMAN, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, Massachusetts 02543, U. S. A.

Dr. J. R. SEDELL, U. S. D. A. Forest Service, Forest Sciences Laboratory, 3200 Jefferson Way, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, U. S. A.

## This is a reprint of

## Verhandlungen - Proceedings - Travaux

of the International Association for Theoretical and Applied Limnology, Congress in Japan.1980

If you are a member of this association you receive these "Proceedings" against your yearly membership fee of Swiss Francs 45.– and also the

## Mitteilungen - Communications

of the International Association for Theoretical and Applied Limnology that are published irregularly. The latest number was no. 22 by

C. H. MORTIMER, "The oxygen content of air-saturated fresh waters over ranges of temperature and atmospheric pressure of limnological interest".

Please notice also the

# Archiv für Hydrobiologie

an official organ of the International Association for Theoretical and Applied Limnology, and its Supplements.

As a member of this association you are entitled to receive this important journal, edited by Professor Dr. H.-J. ELSTER, Konstanz, and Professor Dr. W. OHLE, Plön, at a special membership price.

True to its tradition this periodical serves freshwater research in the widest sense, including treatment of problems of brackish and seawater as far as they bear a relationship to limnology. It is the editors' aim to devote increased attention to ecology in association with experimental, above all physiological works corresponding to the more recent developments in limnology. Finally, it is intended that the "Archiv" should continue to form a bridge between theoretical and applied water research.

For details please write to the Publishers E. Schweizerbart'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung (Nägele u. Obermiller), Johannesstraße 3 A, D-7000 Stuttgart 1.

If you are interested in

# Archiv für Hydrobiology, Supplements

and in the special issues

# Ergebnisse der Limnologie

these are available also against a special membership price; for details please ask the Publishers.

If you are interested in being a member of the International Association for Theoretical and Applied Limnology, please write to the General Secretary-Treasurer:

> Prof. Dr. R. G. WETZEL W. K. Kellogg Biolog. Station Michigan State University Hickory Corners, Michigan 49060/U.S.A.