

**LABORATORY FEEDING TESTS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF GYPSY MOTH LARVAE  
WITH REFERENCE TO PLANT TAXA AND ALLELOCHEMICALS**

**JEFFREY C. MILLER and PAUL E. HANSON  
DEPARTMENT OF ENTOMOLOGY, OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY,  
CORVALLIS, OREGON 97331**

**ABSTRACT**

The first through fifth instars of the gypsy moth were tested for development to adults on 326 species of dicotyledonous plants in laboratory feeding trials. Among accepted plants, differences in suitability were documented by measuring female pupal weights. The majority of accepted plants belong to the subclasses Dilleniidae, Hamamelidae, and Rosidae. Species of oak, maple, alder, madrone, eucalyptus, poplar, and sumac were highly suitable. Plants belonging to the Asteridae, Caryophyllidae, and Magnoliidae were mostly rejected.

Foliage type, new or old, and instar influenced host plant suitability. Larvae of various instars were able to pupate after feeding on foliage of 147 plant species. Of these, 101 were accepted by first instars. Larvae from the first through fifth instar failed to molt on foliage of 151 species. Minor feeding occurred on 67 of these species. In general, larvae accepted new foliage on evergreen species more readily than old foliage.

The results of these trials were combined with results from three previous studies to provide data on feeding responses of gypsy moth larvae on a total of 658 species, 286 genera, and 106 families of dicots. Allelochemic compositions of these plants were tabulated from available literature and compared with acceptance or rejection by gypsy moth. Plants accepted by gypsy moth generally contain tannins, but lack alkaloids, iridoid monoterpenes, sesquiterpenoids, diterpenoids, and glucosinolates.

## **PREFACE**

This research was funded through grants from USDA Forest Service cooperative agreement no. PNW-82-336, Oregon State University Agricultural Research Foundation, and California Department of Food and Agriculture contract no. 6820.

Many individuals made this study possible. The generosity of G. Daterman in providing greenhouse space made it possible to study certain plants that would not have survived outdoors. He also provided important logistic and moral support. The sincere interest and involvement of R.V. Dowell in suggesting, selecting, and procuring plants was the reason why so many plants from California were tested. The 'gypsy moth crew' of D. Carmean, K.J. West, D.N. Kimberling, D. Belnavis helped in feeding larvae.

The interest expressed by the public was a very unusual part of this project. The notoriety of the gypsy moth resulted in many lectures to various government and civic groups. Questions following these lectures always included concerns about potential host plants. This interest was a strong stimulus to keep testing additional plant species.

Our collaboration with the Oregon Department of Agriculture Plant Division was essential, as they allowed the work to be performed under quarantine conditions. We greatly appreciate the reviews of an earlier draft by Alison Moldenke, Andy Moldenke, and Rene Feyereisen.

## FOREWORD

The gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar* (L.), is a well known pest of northeastern deciduous forests and landscape horticulture. Therefore, most of the studies and available information on feeding habits of larvae are based on the flora of the eastern United States. However, as the gypsy moth is introduced into new areas, such as Oregon, Washington, and California, different plants become available as potential hosts. The repeated recovery of gypsy moth males at pheromone-baited traps in many locations between British Columbia and southern California has created a number of concerns. Among these concerns is whether larvae can not only feed but develop into viable adults on the foliage of various forest, urban landscape, and crop species.

Studies on gypsy moth larvae, host plant suitability regarding western plant species, and pest management were initiated in 1983 in the laboratory of JCM at Oregon State University (see Daterman et al. 1986, Miller et al. 1987, Miller and West 1987, Miller and Hanson in press). The principal objective of this research was to observe the feeding behavior, development, and survival of larvae on the foliage of certain trees, shrubs, and forbs-herbs grown in the Pacific states. Such information provides: (1) help in determining where to locate pheromone-baited traps; (2) an indication of plants that could be at risk of being defoliated, or contaminated, by the gypsy moth; and (3) a database for assessing host suitability for an insect that is a generalist feeder.

We hope that the information provided by this study can serve to stimulate additional research on the gypsy moth. We also hope that our study provides a database from which entomologists, growers, policy makers, and the attentive public can draw upon when they are faced with an outbreak of this particularly pernicious pest.

## INTRODUCTION

In contrast to specialist herbivores, the relationships between generalist herbivores and specific allelochemicals of their host plants are not well documented and by their diverse patterns in nature may be difficult to elucidate. However, the feeding preferences of larvae of the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar* (L.), provide an excellent system for an analysis of taxonomic patterns and allelochemical influences on a polyphagous herbivore. Previous studies on host preferences of the gypsy moth (Forbush and Fernald 1896, Mosher 1915, Kurir 1953, Janos 1961, Edwards and Fusco 1979, Doskotch et al. 1981, Barbosa et al. 1983, Barbosa and Krischik, 1987) have suggested possible relationships between allelochemicals and host-plant acceptability. For instance, Kurir (1953) concluded that high concentrations of essential oils, glycosides, saponins, alkaloids, tannins, and bitter substances can weaken or kill gypsy moth larvae. Lechowicz (1983) suggested that suitable plants are characterized by precipitable (hydrolyzable) tannins and sclerophylly. In an analysis similar to the study we are presenting, Barbosa and Krischik (1987) concluded that the presence of alkaloids characterizes plants unsuitable for gypsy moth development.

In this paper we expand upon previous studies by submitting the results of our research which involved feeding foliage to first through fifth instar gypsy moths from 326 plant species representing 225 genera in 95 families of dicotyledonous angiosperms. In addition, we have synthesized results from previous feeding studies (Mosher 1915, Kurir 1953, Edwards and Fusco 1979) and compiled from the literature the allelochemical composition of tested plants. From this compilation we assessed the taxonomic distribution of acceptable and rejected host plants. Our analysis addresses two major concerns: (1) Can the host range of the gypsy moth be explained and predicted by the taxonomic distribution of allelochemicals? (2) Can host selection by a polyphagous herbivore, such as the gypsy moth, be explained by the occurrence of an allelochemical common to all, or most, of the accepted plants?

## METHODS and MATERIALS

**Feeding Tests.** Native and ornamental plant foliage was gathered in the vicinity of Corvallis, Oregon, and from container-grown greenhouse plants obtained from commercial nurseries in Oregon and California. Our tests were designed to use foliage in as near a natural condition to what the gypsy moth would encounter in the field during May and June.

New and old foliage were tested separately for plants with "evergreen" leaves. Stems or petioles were cut diagonally with a razor and placed in 6 x 50 mm culture tubes with water. Foliage was changed every other day or once a day as necessary. The foliage and three larvae were placed in 8 x 11 cm plastic food cups; filter paper was placed in the bottom of each cup and fine holes were punched in each lid to help regulate humidity. Nine to twelve larvae were tested on each plant species. Thus, twice as many larvae were tested on plant species when old and new leaves were used. Room temperature was maintained at  $23 \pm 2^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

Experiments began with first instars. During this stadium one of three events was recorded: (1) little or no feeding by larvae occurred, (2) feeding occurred but the larvae were unsuccessful in attaining the next instar, or (3) larvae were successful at feeding and molted to the next instar. If either of the first two events was observed for a particular plant species, freshly molted second instars (reared on artificial diet as first instars) were tested next on foliage of the same plant species. This procedure was repeated through five instars on unacceptable hosts. Pupal weights were recorded three days after pupation for those larvae surviving to pupation from the first or second instars.

**Literature Synthesis.** In compiling previous studies of gypsy moth host preference we emphasized reports containing results on a large sample of plant species. It was necessary to exclude certain studies from the analysis for various reasons. For instance, Forbush and Fernald (1896) is not included. They studied 477 plant species, with only 19 reported as being rejected by gypsy moth. Such a low rejection rate (and apparent polyphagy) is probably a result of using late instars, described as "fourth and fifth molt".

In addition to our data, we included results from three other major studies. Mosher (1915) and Kurir (1953) tested all instars of gypsy moth and assigned the tested plants to qualitative preference classes. Edwards and Fusco (1979) used third instars and recorded weight changes

after one week. Based on plant species common to each study we established criteria for acceptance and rejection that maximized congruence between data sets.

We also employed a fairly stringent definition of acceptance. From our own data we defined acceptable plants as those on which newly hatched first instars could complete development to the pupal stage. Mosher (1915) divided his test plants into four classes (favored, favored after early instars, not particularly favored, and unfavored); it was necessary for us to define his first three classes as accepted plants and his last class as rejected plants. Kurir (1953) distinguished three classes (strongly fed upon, sporadically nibbled, and not accepted); we defined his first class as accepted and his last two classes as rejected plants. From Edwards and Fusco (1979) we defined accepted plants as those on which larvae gained more than 20 per cent weight in one week.

In assembling the data on secondary plant compounds we included only those compounds specified as occurring in the leaves. The tannin data (Bate-Smith and Metcalfe 1957, Bate-Smith 1962) include information on condensed tannins (leucoanthocyanins, or proanthocyanidins) and trihydroxy constituents (myricetin, ellagic acid, and delphinidin). We refer to trihydroxy constituents as hydrolyzable tannins, although they are not strictly synonymous. Data on condensed tannins (specifically leucoanthocyanins) were also taken from Gibbs (1974). Bate-Smith (1962) categorized plant families by presence or absence of leucoanthocyanins and trihydroxy constituents; we have used this classification to make inferences about presence of tannins where no data exist.

Alkaloid data are from Fong et al. (1972), Smith (1977) Smolenski et al. (1972, 1973, 1974a, 1974b, 1975a, 1975b, 1975c), Willaman and Schubert (1961), and Willaman and Li (1970). Data for iridoids are from Kaplan and Gottlieb (1982). Sesquiterpenoid data are from Emerenciano et al. (1985), Gibbs (1974), Heywood et al. (1977) and Penfold and Willis (1961). Diterpenoid data (Hegnauer 1962-1973) are presented only for Ericaceae and Lamiaceae. Glucosinolate and raphide data are from Gibbs (1974). Many of the allelochemicals discussed in this study were chosen because they have been observed inhibiting (or stimulating) gypsy moth feeding in studies incorporating the purified compound in artificial diet (Doskotch et al. 1980a, 1980b, 1981).

We have detailed our results on host suitability and allelochemicals at the generic level. Plant genera were scored as positive for a particular allelochemical if at least one species was cited as containing the compound. Several of the species for which we found allelochemical information are the same as those tested with the gypsy moth, although this was not always the case. Plant species are considered individually only where notable differences in feeding responses occurred between congeneric species. To facilitate retrieval and to conform to a standardized nomenclature, plants are arranged alphabetically by family and follow the nomenclature of Cronquist (1981), the only exception being our retention of 'Leguminosae' rather than 'Fabaceae'.

## RESULTS and DISCUSSION

Larvae of the gypsy moth were fed foliage from plants belonging to 334 species in 233 genera in 98 families. The number of plants suitable for development increased as larvae molted to succeeding instars. In other words, the breadth of the diet increases as the larvae get older and larger. First instars developed to adults on 101 of these species (Table 1). Second and third instars developed to adults on 135 and 144 species, respectively. Few additional plants were suitable to fourth and fifth instars that had been unsuitable to earlier instars, 145 and 147 species, respectively.

The weight of live 2-day old pupae served as an excellent index of plant suitability (Table 2). In general, female pupal weights ranged from a high of 2000 mg to a low of 300 mg. Species of oak, maple, alder, madrone, eucalyptus, poplar, and sumac were highly suitable. Although larvae developed to adults, species of many rosaceous species were not very suitable.

Host suitability between congeneric plants was very different for species in ten genera (Table 3). For instance, among the species of *Eucalyptus*, six provided suitable foliage for larval development while 11 were unsuitable. Although such results reduce the precision in predicting

host suitability according to taxonomic relatedness, general patterns on host suitability regarding taxonomic entities are still possible (see later discussion of Appendices I and II).

Among the evergreen plants, host suitability was influenced by foliage type. In 12 tests the foliage type affected larval survival differentially according to instar. The earliest instar accepted new leaves in nine of these tests. For instance, among the species of *Citrus*, larvae accepted new foliage in early instars and old foliage was generally not suitable. The early instars that accepted old foliage but rejected new, occurred in three of the 12 tests. For instance, on avocado, larvae only accepted old foliage. Allelochemical constituents and leaf toughness are likely factors in the differences observed between foliage types and larval acceptance.

Any instar between the first and fifth molt failed to develop on foliage from 161 species (Table 4). Although the larvae did not survive to succeeding instars, feeding did occur on the foliage of 68 of these species. Also, the larvae that eventually died differed in their ability to feed on foliage according to the plant species involved, type of foliage, and instar. Of the 68 species upon which some feeding occurred the fifth instar was the earliest instar exhibiting feeding in 27 cases.

The following section describes the response and development of the gypsy moth larvae according to the family, genus, and species of plant tested. Results for all of the feeding tests are listed alphabetically by plant genus in APPENDIX I. Allelochemical constituents in the foliage of tested plants are listed in APPENDIX II. An index to the genus and species of the test plants by common name is presented in APPENDIX III.

#### **Acanthaceae**

The only species tested was crossandra, *Crossandra infundibuliformis*. Tests were limited to observations of first and second instars. No feeding was noted and the larvae died of starvation.

#### **Aceraceae**

The species tested were vine maple, *Acer circinatum*; big-leaf maple, *A. macrophyllum*; boxelder, *A. negundo*; and Norway maple, *A. platanoides*. In general the species are suitable for gypsy moth larval development to adults. First instars developed into adults on all the species. However, the pupal weights varied among the species tested. A diet of vine maple resulted in the lightest pupae. On the other hand a diet of big-leaf maple resulted in pupae weighing three times that of pupae in the vine maple tests. These data demonstrate that congeneric species may all be palatable but their relative suitability for larval development may differ.

#### **Aizoaceae**

The only species tested was trailing ice plant, *Lampranthus spectabilis*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. All first through fifth instars died and failed to molt in these tests.

#### **Anacardiaceae**

The genera tested were *Cotinus*, *Pistachia*, *Rhus*, and *Schinus*. The species were very suitable for gypsy moth larval development. First instar larvae developed into adults on all five of the species tested. Suitability among the species was relatively uniform and moderately high compared to tests involving species of other families.

#### **Apocynaceae**

The species tested were oleander, *Nerium oleander*, and periwinkle, *Vinca minor*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in all the tests. Although fifth instars died on new oleander foliage, they did exhibit a minor amount of feeding. No feeding was observed on periwinkle.

**Aquifoliaceae**

The only species tested was English holly, *Ilex aquifolium*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on old foliage of English holly; new foliage was not tested.

**Araliaceae**

The genera tested were *Aralia*, *Dizygotheca*, *Fatsia*, *Hedera*, and *Schefflera*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in all tests involving five species in this family.

**Aristolochiaceae**

The only species tested was Dutchman's pipevine, *Aristolochia californica*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in all tests.

**Balsamaceae**

The only foliage tested was an unknown species of touch-me-not, *Impatiens* sp. The foliage was unsuitable for larval development. Only first and second instars were tested and these larvae died.

**Berberidaceae**

The genera tested were *Berberis*, *Epimedium*, and *Nandina*. Host suitability was highly varied among the species in this family. Both new and old foliage from shining Oregon grape, *B. oregonensis*, and William Penn barberry, *B. gladywnensis*, was very suitable for first instar development into adults. First through fifth instars died on bishop's hat, *E. rubrum*, although second through fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding. First through fifth instars died on new and old foliage of heavenly bamboo, *N. domestica*, although later instars did exhibit minor feeding.

**Betulaceae**

The genera tested were *Alnus*, *Betula*, and *Corylus*. Overall, the species were very suitable for gypsy moth larval development. First instars developed into adults on all seven species tested. However, the suitability of each alder species was very different. Larval development on foliage of red alder, *A. rubra*, and thinleaf alder, *A. tenuifolia*, resulted in four-fold and two-fold increase in pupal weights relative to white alder, *A. rhombifolia*. The suitability of cut-leaf weeping birch, *B. pendula*, and European white birch, *B. verrucosa*, for larval development was comparatively high and similar to that of thin-leaf alder. Both native hazelnut, *C. cornuta*, and commercial hazelnut, *C. avellana*, were very suitable; larval development resulted in pupae of comparable weights to the tests involving the birch species.

**Bignoniaceae**

The species tested were northern catalpa, *Catalpa speciosa*, and empress tree, *Paulownia tomentosa*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in all tests on both species. However, on northern catalpa fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding and on empress tree fourth and fifth instars exhibited minor feeding.

**Boraginaceae**

The genera tested were *Heliotropium*, *Lithodora*, *Myosotis*, and *Pulmonaria*. Overall, the species were unsuitable for larval development. First instars died on each of the four species tested. No further tests were conducted on common heliotrope, *H. arborescens*. On lithospermum, *L. diffusa*, second instars survived but resulted in very small pupae. No larvae survived on forget-me-not, *M. sylvatica*. Although third and fourth instars exhibited minor feeding on cowslip lungwort, *P. angustifolia*, all larvae died; fifth instars were not tested.

**Brassicaceae**

The species tested were cabbage, *Brassica oleracea*, and radish, *Raphanus*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. Only first and second instars were tested. No larvae survived, although minor feeding occurred on cabbage.

**Buxaceae**

The only species tested was common boxwood, *Buxus sempervirens*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. All first through fifth instars died on new and old foliage of common boxwood.

**Cactaceae**

The only species tested was *Pereskia grandifolia*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fourth instars died on rose cactus; fifth instars were not tested.

**Campanulaceae**

The only species tested was bellflower, a species of *Campanula*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. Only first and second instars were tested; no larvae survived.

**Cannabaceae**

The only species tested was hops, *Humulus lupulus*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died, although second instars exhibited minor feeding. Third instars developed into adults.

**Caprifoliaceae**

The genera tested were *Abelia*, *Lonicera*, *Symphoricarpos*, *Viburnum*, and *Weigela*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. First instars died on each of the six species tested. On glossy abelia, *A. grandiflora*, the remaining instars also died, although fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding. The remaining instars also died on twinberry, *L. involucrata*, and snowberry, *S. albus*. Two species of *Viburnum*, oval-leaf viburnum and leatherleaf viburnum were suitable for second instars to develop into adults, pupal weights were comparatively low. All larvae through the fifth instar died on common weigela, *W. florida*.

**Caryophyllaceae**

The species tested were carnation, *Dianthus caryophyllus*, and agrostemma, *Lychnis coronaria*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on carnation and agrostemma.

**Casuarinaceae**

The only species tested was coast beefwood, *Casuarina stricta*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died on coast beefwood, although second instars did exhibit minor feeding. Third instars developed into adults.

**Celastraceae**

The only species tested was evergreen euonymus, *Euonymus japonica*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. Although first and second instars exhibited minor feeding on evergreen euonymus no larvae survived on new foliage. Third instars developed into adults on new foliage. Old foliage was not tested.

**Chenopodiaceae**

The species tested were quail bush, *Atriplex lentiformis*, and swiss chard, *Beta vulgaris*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in all tests on quail bush and swiss chard.



### **Cistaceae**

The only species tested was rock rose, *Cistus carbariensis*. The species was very suitable for gypsy moth larval development. First instars developed into adults on rock rose.

### **Compositae**

The genera tested were *Achillea*, *Artemesia*, *Aster*, *Baccharis*, *Centauria*, *Chrysanthemum*, *Echinops*, *Gaillardia*, *Matricaria*, *Osteospermum*, *Rudbeckia*, *Senecio*, *Tagetes*, and *Taraxacum*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. Among the 14 genera, involving 16 species, test results on suitability were highly variable. Fernleaf yarrow, *Ach. filipendula*, was unsuitable for all larvae from the first through fifth instar. However, yarrow, *Ach. tomentosa*, was suitable for first instar development into adults. The remaining species except for marigold, *T. erecta*, were unsuitable for first through fifth instars. Some minor feeding was noted for various instars on the different species but no larvae survived.

### **Convolvulaceae**

The only species tested was morning glory, *Convolvulus arvensis*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on a diet of morning glory.

### **Cornaceae**

The genera tested were *Aucuba* and *Cornus*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. On foliage of Japanese aucuba, *A. japonica*, first through fifth instars died, although fifth instars exhibited minor feeding. First instars fed on flowering dogwood, *C. florida*, but then died. Second instars developed into fourth instars and then died. On red-osier dogwood, *C. stolonifera*, first instars died while second instars developed through the fifth-sixth instar into prepupae and then died. Larval development on the dogwoods was prolonged and the larvae were very small at the time of death, indicating that foliage is unsuitable even though larvae did molt to the next instar.

### **Crassulaceae**

The only species tested was donkeytail, *Sedum morganianum*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on a diet of donkeytail.

### **Cruciferae**

The species tested were snowcap arabis, *Arabis* sp., and candytuft, *Iberis* sp. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instar larvae died on a diet of snowcap arabis or candytuft.

### **Cucurbitaceae**

The only species tested was bigroot, *Marah oreganus*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died.

### **Dipsacaceae**

The only species tested was common teasel, *Dipsacus sylvestris*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died, although fifth instars exhibited minor feeding.

### **Ebenaceae**

The only species tested was persimmon, *Diospyros virginiana*. The species was a poor host for larval gypsy moth development. First instars fed slightly but died while second instars developed into adults.

**Euphorbiaceae**

The species tested were croton, *Codiaeum aucubaefolium*, and crown of thorns, *Euphorbia milii*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on croton. First and second instars died on crown of thorns; no further tests were conducted.

**Fagaceae**

The genera tested were *Castanea*, *Fagus*, *Lithocarpus*, and *Quercus*. Overall, the species were very suitable for gypsy moth larval development. Of the thirteen species tested in this family all but interior live oak, *Q. wislizenii*, provided foliage suitable for first instar development to adults. Second instars on new foliage of interior live oak developed into adults. A diet of European beech, *F. sylvatica*, produced the lightest pupae among the plants suitable for first instar development. A diet of foliage from canyon live oak, *Q. chrysolepis*, or Oregon white oak, *Q. garryana*, produced the heaviest pupae. The suitability for development of various instars on old foliage (for the evergreen oaks) differed among species. Pupal weights for larvae fed old foliage were lighter than when larvae were fed new foliage.

**Fumariaceae**

The only species tested was common bleeding heart, *Dicentra spectabilis*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died.

**Garryaceae**

The only species tested was silk-tassel, *Garrya fremontii*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on old foliage, although fourth and fifth instars exhibited minor feeding. New foliage was not tested.

**Geraniaceae**

The species tested were Lady Washington pelargonium, *Pelargonium domesticum*, and common geranium, *P. hortorum*. Overall, the species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on Lady Washington pelargonium, although fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding. On common geranium first and second instars died but third instars developed into adults.

**Grossulariaceae**

The species tested were black currant and alpine currant of the genus *Ribes*. First instars produced relatively small pupae but developed into adults on black currant. On alpine currant, *R. alpinum*, first through third instars died; fourth and fifth instars were not tested.

**Hammamelidaceae**

The only species tested was sweet gum, *Liquidambar styraciflua*. The species was moderately suitable for larval development. Larval development from the first instar produced relatively small pupae and adults.

**Hippocastanaceae**

The species tested were California buckeye, *Aesculus californica*, and horse chestnut, *A. hippocastanum*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on foliage of California buckeye and horse chestnut.

**Hydrangeaceae**

The genera tested were bigleaf hydrangea, *Hydrangea macrophylla*, and mock orange, *Philadelphus* sp. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died on a diet of foliage from bigleaf hydrangea. No additional tests were conducted. First and second instars died in the tests with mock orange, although second instars did exhibit minor feeding.

### Hydrophyllaceae

The only species tested was waterleaf, *Hydrophyllum occidentale*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died on foliage of waterleaf; no additional tests were conducted.

### Hypericaceae

The only species tested was god flower, *Hypericum moserianum*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on a diet of new leaves of god flower. Old leaves were not tested.

### Juglandaceae

The only species tested was black walnut, *Juglans nigra*. The species was a poor host for larval gypsy moth development. Although first instars exhibited minor feeding they died on foliage of black walnut. However, second instars developed into adults.

### Labiatae

The genera tested were *Ajuga*, *Coleus*, *Melissa*, *Mentha*, *Origanum*, *Salvia*, and *Thymus*. Overall, the species were unsuitable for larval development. Thirteen species were tested with various instars capable of molting to successive instars but, with the exception of fifth instars on Clary sage, *S. sclarea*, larvae did not develop into adults on foliage the species in this family. First through fifth instars died on *Ajuga*, *Coleus*, and peppermint, *M. piperita*. On lemon balm, *M. officinalis*; marjoram, *O. majorana*; and common thyme, *T. vulgaris*; certain instars did molt but eventually died. Larvae died prior to molting on five of the seven sage species studied. First instars on purple sage, *S. leucophylla*, survived to the fourth instar but then died.

### Lauraceae

The genera tested were *Cinnamomum*, *Persea*, and *Umbellularia*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. First instars developed into adults on new foliage of camphor tree, *C. camphora*. Pupae were small. First through fifth instars died on new foliage of zutano avocado, *P. americana*, although larvae did exhibit minor feeding. On old foliage of avocado first instars died but second instars developed into adults. Pupal weights were small-moderate. Old foliage of California laurel, *U. californica*, was not suitable for first through fifth instars, although minor feeding occurred; new foliage was not tested.

### Leguminosae

The genera tested were *Acacia*, *Albizzia*, *Ceratonia*, *Cercis*, *Cytisus*, *Gleditsia*, *Laburnum*, *Medicago*, *Robinia*, *Spartium*, *Vicia*, *Wisteria*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. Results among the twelve genera and fifteen species tested were highly variable. First through fifth instars died on one of the three acacia species, *Acacia*; silk tree, *A. julibrissin*; new foliage of carob, *Ceratonia siliqua*; two species of redbud, *Cercis*; golden chain tree, *L. watereri*; and Spanish broom, *S. junceum*. Third instars were not tested on alfalfa, *M. sativa*, or Japanese wisteria, *W. floribunda*, but first and second instars died. First instars developed into adults on *Acacia baileyana* and new foliage of *A. longifolia*. Second instars developed into adults on old foliage of Sidney golden wattle, *A. longifolia*; Scotch broom, *C. scoparius*; and black locust, *R. pseudoacacia*. Third instars developed into adults on honey locust, *G. triacanthos*, and vetch, *Vicia*. Fourth instars developed into adults on old foliage of carob.

### Limnanthaceae

The only species tested was meadowfoam, *Limnanthes x alba*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died, no additional tests were conducted.

**Lobeliaceae**

The only species tested was lobelia, *Lobelia erinus*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died on lobelia, no additional tests were conducted.

**Loganiaceae**

The species tested were butterfly bush, *Buddleja alternifolia*, and Carolina jessamine, *Gelsemium sempervirens*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on foliage of either butterfly bush or Carolina jessamine.

**Magnoliaceae**

The species tested were tulip tree, *Liriodendron tulipifera*, and rustica rubra magnolia, *Magnolia soulangiana*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on foliage of either tulip tree or rustica rubra magnolia. Fourth and fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding on tulip tree while fifth instars fed slightly on rubra rustica magnolia.

**Malpighiaceae**

The only species tested was crepe myrtle, *Lagerstroemia indica*. The species was a poor host for larval gypsy moth development. First instars died but second instars developed into adults. Pupae were small.

**Malvaceae**

The genera tested were *Hibiscus*, *Lavatera*, and *Malva*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. First instars developed into adults on tree mallow, *L. assurgentiflora*, pupae were small. On mallow, *M. neglecta*, first instars developed to the fifth instar but then died, larvae were small and slow to develop. First instars died but second instars developed into adults on Chinese hibiscus, *H. rosa-sinensis*. Pupae were small.

**Melastomataceae**

The only species tested was princess flower, *Tibouchina urvilleana*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died. No other tests were conducted.

**Moraceae**

The genera tested were *Ficus* and *Morus*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on new and old foliage of weeping Chinese banyon, *F. benjamina*. Also, all larvae died on foliage of old mission fig, *M. carica*, and fruitless mulberry, *M. alba*, although fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding.

**Myoporaceae**

The only species tested were *Myoporum laetum* and *M. parvifolium* 'Putah Creek'. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in all tests although third through fifth instars exhibited minor feeding on *M. laetum*.

**Myrsinaceae**

The only species tested was *Ardisia japonica*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on old foliage although fifth instars exhibited minor feeding. Old foliage was not tested.

**Myrtaceae**

The genera tested were *Callistemon*, *Eucalyptus*, and *Melaleuca*. Results for judging host suitability were highly variable among the species of this family. Fourteen species were tested, twelve of which were *Eucalyptus*. First through third instars died on new foliage of lemon bottlebrush, *C. citrinus*. No other tests were conducted. First through fifth instars died on old foliage of lemon bottlebrush; fifth instars exhibited minor feeding. First instars developed into adults on lilac melaleuca, *M. decussata*; pupal weights were moderate. Among the eucalyptus

species the results were variable. First instars developed into adults on four of the species: red gum, *E. camaldulensis*; silver dollar eucalyptus, *E. cinerea*; cider gum, *E. gunni*; and white peppermint, *E. pulchella*. On these species pupal weights ranged from moderate to high. First instars developed to fourth instars on *E. botrioides* and fifth instars on red ironbark, *E. sideroxylon*, but then died. First through fifth instars died on old foliage of red ironbark with only the fifth instars exhibiting minor feeding. First instars died but second instars developed into adults on white ironbark, *E. leucaxylon*. On four of the species larvae exhibited minor feeding but failed to molt in every case with the exception of fifth instars on silver dollar gum. On dwarf blue gum, *E. globulus*, first through fifth instars died without any attempt to feed on the foliage.

#### Nyctaginaceae

The only species tested was *Bougainvillea x buttiana* 'Barbara Karst'. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died without exhibiting any feeding.

#### Oleaceae

The genera tested were *Forsythia*, *Fraxinus*, *Jasminum*, *Ligustrum*, *Olea*, and *Syringa*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. Eight species were tested and first through fifth instars died in all trials. Only on the three species of privet, *Ligustrum*, did second through fifth instars exhibit minor feeding.

#### Onagraceae

The species tested were hybrid fuchsia, *Fuchsia hybrida* and *Oenothera missouriensis*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died, although third through fifth instars exhibited minor feeding on *O. missouriensis*.

#### Oxalidaceae

The only species tested was *Oxalis regnelli*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died, although fourth and fifth instars exhibited minor feeding.

#### Paeoniaceae

The only species tested was peony, *Paeonia albiflora*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died without exhibiting any feeding.

#### Papaveraceae

The species tested were California poppy, *Eschscholzia californica*, and Oriental poppy, *Papaver orientale*. Overall, the species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on foliage of California poppy, although third through fifth instars exhibited minor feeding. First instars died on Oriental poppy but second instars developed into adults. However, pupae were very small.

#### Piperaceae

The only species tested was astrid peperomia, *Peperomia obtusifolia*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died without exhibiting any feeding.

#### Pittosporaceae

The only species tested was tobira, *Pittosporum tobira*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on old foliage without any evidence of feeding. New foliage was not tested.

#### Plantaginaceae

The only species tested was plantain, *Plantago lanceolata*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First instars died but second instars survived into the pupal stage where they then died.

**Platanaceae**

The only species tested was California sycamore, *Platanus racemosa*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died without any evidence of feeding.

**Plumbaginaceae**

The only species tested was sea pink, *Armeria maritima*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First instars developed into adults.

**Polemoniaceae**

The only species tested was creeping phlox, *Phlox subulata*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died without any evidence of feeding.

**Polygonaceae**

The genera tested were *Eriogonum*, *Polygonum*, and *Rumex*. Six species of *Eriogonum* were tested. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. First instars died on foliage of each species. Second instars developed into adults on two of the species: *E. giganteum* and *E. umbellatum*. Pupae were very small. The remaining larvae through the fifth instar all died although minor feeding occurred on one of the species, *E. wrightii*. First through fifth instars died on an unknown species of *Polygonum*, although minor feeding occurred in the tests using third through fifth instars. First instars developed into adults on *Rumex crispus*. Pupae were small.

**Polypodiaceae**

The only species tested was sword fern, *Polystichum munitum*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on new foliage of sword fern. Old foliage was not tested.

**Portulacaceae**

The only species tested was rose moss, *Portulaca grandiflora*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First and second instars died on rose moss. No other instars were tested.

**Primulaceae**

The species tested were florists' cyclamen, *Cyclamen persicum* and polyanthus primula, *Primula polyantha*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on florists' cyclamen and polyanthus primula. Fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding on the cyclamen.

**Proteaceae**

The only species tested were grevillea, *Grivellia 'noellii'*, and silk oak, *G. robusta*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on each species although minor feeding occurred by fifth instars on grevillea and third through fifth instars on silk oak.

**Punicaceae**

The only species tested was pomegranate, *Punica granatum*. The species was a poor host for larval gypsy moth development. First instars died although minor feeding occurred. Second instars developed into adults; pupae were small.

**Ranunculaceae**

The genera tested were *Aquilegia*, *Clematis*, *Delphinium*, and *Helleborus*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. One species in each genus was tested. First through fifth instars died in all tests. Only fourth and fifth instars on western clematis, *C. liguticifolia*, exhibited minor feeding.

### Rhamnaceae

The genera tested were *Ceanothus* and *Rhamnus*. Five species of *Ceanothus* were tested. Overall, the species were unsuitable for larval development. Only on *C. maritimus* were larvae able to develop into adults and on this species it was from the first instar. Two species of *Rhamnus* were tested. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died although feeding occurred in third-fifth instars.

### Rosaceae

The genera tested were *Amelanchier*, *Cotoneaster*, *Crataegus*, *Eriobotrya*, *Geum*, *Heteromeles*, *Holodiscus*, *Lyonothamnus*, *Oemleria*, *Photinia*, *Prunus*, *Pyracantha*, *Pyrus*, *Raphiolepis*, *Rosa*, *Rubus*, *Sorbus*, and *Spiraea*. Thirty-five species were tested and the results were extremely varied, even among congeneric plants. Many species were well suited for gypsy moth larval development. Similarly, many species were poorly suited or unsuitable for larval development. First instars developed into adults on 17 species in 10 genera: *Amelanchier*, *Crataegus*, *Lyonothamnus*, *Photinia*, *Prunus*, *Pyracantha*, *Pyrus*, *Raphiolepis*, *Rosa*, and *Sorbus*. The pupal weights ranged from low to high depending on the species comprising the diet. First instars died but second instars developed into adults on seven species in five genera: *Cotoneaster*, *Heteromeles*, *Prunus*, *Raphiolepis*, and *Rubus*. First and second instars died but third instars developed into adults on one species: *Eriobotrya japonica*. First through fifth instars died on five species in four genera: *Geum*, *Oemleria*, *Prunus*, and *Rubus*. The genera showing marked differences among species in their palatability to gypsy moth larvae were *Prunus*, *Raphiolepis*, and *Rubus*.

### Rubiaceae

The species tested were coffee, *Coffea arabica*; cleavers *Galium aparine*; and gardenia, *Gardenia jasminoides*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on foliage of each species, although minor feeding did occur by fifth instars on leaves of cleavers and gardenia.

### Rutaceae

The genera tested were *Choisya*, *Citrus*, and *Skimmia*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. A total of five species were tested. First through fifth instars died on foliage of Mexican orange, *Choisya temata*, and skimmia, *Skimmia japonica*. However, the three species of *Citrus* were fed upon to varying degrees depending on foliage type and variety. Old and new foliage of Meyer lemon, *C. limoni*, was suitable for second instar development into adults (first instars died); pupal weights were light. First instars died on new and old foliage of marsh grapefruit, *C. paradisi*. Also, second through fifth instars died on old foliage but second instars developed into adults on new foliage. Three varieties of *C. sinensis* were tested: navel orange, Valencia orange, and tangerine. First instars died on new and old foliage of each variety although minor feeding did occur on new foliage of navel orange. Second instars developed into adults on new foliage of tangerine and Valencia orange. Pupal weights were moderate to moderately high. No larvae (first through fifth instars) survived on old foliage of tangerine or Valencia orange. However, fourth instars developed into adults on old foliage of navel orange.

### Salicaceae

The genera tested were *Populus* and *Salix*. A total of nine species were tested. Overall, the species were very suitable for gypsy moth larval development. First instars developed into adults on each of the four species of *Populus*: Fremont cottonwood, *P. fremontii*; Lombardy poplar, *P. nigra 'italica'*; quaking aspen, *P. tremuloides*; and black cottonwood, *P. trichocarpa*. Pupal weights were low on Fremont cottonwood and quaking aspen, moderate on black cottonwood, and relatively high on Lombardy poplar. First instars developed into adults on each of the five species of *Salix*: golden weeping willow, *S. alba tristis*; corkscrew willow, *S. babylonica*; pussy willow, *S. discolor*; scouler willow, *S. scouleriana*; and *S. lasepolis*. Pupal weights were very

high on the first two species mentioned above while pupae were moderately heavy on the latter three species.

#### **Saxifragaceae**

The genera tested were *Astilbe*, *Escallonia*, and *Tolmiea*. Overall, the species were poor hosts for larval gypsy moth development. Of the three species tested, only false spirea, *A. japonica*, was totally unsuitable for larval development into adults. All first through fifth instars died. However, on foliage of piggy-back plant, *T. menziessi*, first instars exhibited minor feeding and died while second instars developed into adults. Average pupal weights were moderately high. On foliage of pink escallonia, *E. laevis*, first and second instars died although second instars exhibited some feeding, while third instars developed into adults.

#### **Scrophulariaceae**

The genera tested were *Digitalis*, *Hebe*, *Mimulus*, *Nemesia*, and *Veronica*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. All larvae from first through fifth instars died on each of the five species tested: foxglove, *D. purpurea*; hebe, *H. anomala*; Plumas monkey flower, *M. bifidus*; dwarf mix, *N. strumosa*; and royal blue speedwell, *V. teucrium*.

#### **Solanaceae**

The genera tested were *Lycopersicon*, *Petunia* and *Solanum*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. All larvae from the first through fifth instars died on each of the five species tested: tomato, *L. esculentum*, common garden petunia, *P. hybrida*, and three species of *Solanum*: bittersweet, *S. dulcamara*; potato vine, *S. jasminoides*; and blue potato vine, *S. rantonnetii*.

#### **Sterculiaceae**

The only species tested was California glory, *Fremontodendron californicum*. The species was very suitable for gypsy moth larval development. First instars developed into adults on new foliage. Pupal weights were moderate.

#### **Styracaceae**

The only species tested was silver bell, *Halesia carolina*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died although fifth instars did exhibit minor feeding.

#### **Theaceae**

The species tested were camellia, *Camellia japonica*, and mountain stewartia, *Stewartia ovata*. The two species differed dramatically in host suitability. All larvae from first through fifth instars died on new and old foliage of camellia. Larvae developed into adults from the first instar on foliage of mountain stewartia. Pupal weights were low.

#### **Thymellaceae**

The only species tested was winter daphne, *Daphne odora*. First through fifth instars died in these tests.

#### **Tiliaceae**

The species tested were American linden, *Tilia americana*, and little-leaf linden, *T. cordata*. The species were moderately suitable for larval development. First instars developed into adults on foliage of either species. Pupal weights were low.



### Ulmaceae

The species tested were American elm, *Ulmus americana*; chinese elm, *U. parvifolia*; and sawleaf zelkova, *Zelkova serrata*. Overall, the species were very suitable for gypsy moth larval development. First instars developed into adults on foliage of either elm. Pupal weights were moderate. First instars feed on foliage of sawleaf zelkova but died. Second instars developed into prepupae but then died.

### Urticaceae

The only species tested was stinging nettle, *Urtica dioica*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. Although first and second instars exhibited minor feeding they died prior to molting. Third instars developed into adults.

### Verbenaceae

The only species tested was lantana, *Lantana montevidensis*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in these tests.

### Violaceae

The only species tested was tricolor pansy, *Viola wittrockiana*. The species was unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died in these tests.

### Vitaceae

The genera tested were *Cissus* and *Vitis*. The species were unsuitable for larval development. First through fifth instars died on foliage of grape ivy, *C. rhombifolia*. Three varieties of grape, *V. vinifera*, were tested: Cabernet Sauvignon, Concord, and Thompson's seedless. First through fifth instars died on foliage of each grape variety.

### Overview on host plant suitability.

Results of gypsy moth feeding trials were compiled for 658 species in 286 genera, representing 106 families and 46 orders of dicots (Appendix II). Our studies contributed a substantial portion of the data presented in this appendix. By our definition of an acceptable host, plant species in 63 genera (22.0 per cent) were accepted. Whereas, plant species in 210 genera (73.4 per cent) were rejected. Feeding tests on plants in 13 genera (4.6 per cent) showed notable differences among congeneric species. Plant genera yielding the highest female pupal weights (greater than 1200 mg) and shortest developmental time (30 days at  $23 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ) are the same genera known to be suitable hosts under field conditions (see Lechowicz 1983, Lechowicz and Jobin 1983, Lechowicz and Mauffette 1986). Therefore, we feel confident that the results of the host plant tests used in the database for this study are generally consistent with field observations.

**TAXONOMIC PATTERNS.** An analysis of the higher taxonomic affinities of accepted and rejected plants revealed distinct taxonomic patterns. The majority of accepted plants belong to Cronquist's (1981) subclasses Hamamelidae, Dilleniidae, and Rosidae. Most genera of Magnoliidae, Caryophyllidae, and Asteridae were rejected. These patterns probably reflect underlying phylogenetic constraints on the taxonomic distribution of allelochemicals (Gershenzon and Mabry 1983, Gottlieb 1982).

**Hamamelidae.** Species in 17 of the 25 tested genera (68.0 per cent) were accepted by the gypsy moth. Rejected species were mostly in the Urticales, in particular, the Moraceae and Platanaceae. Larvae fed foliage from species of Fagales (Betulaceae and Fagaceae), especially oaks (*Quercus*), consistently yielded female pupal weights above 1200 mg. The Hamamelidae are rich in tannins but generally depauperate in other allelochemicals (Giannasi 1986).

**Dilleniidae.** Species in 11 of the 39 tested genera (28.2 per cent) were accepted by the gypsy moth. Suitable hosts were largely confined to species within the Ericaceae, Salicaceae, and Malvales (Malvaceae, Sterculiaceae, and Tiliaceae). Larvae fed foliage from species of *Arbutus* (Ericaceae) and *Vaccinium* (Ericaceae) produced large pupae but a majority of the Ericaceae

were rejected. Larvae fed foliage from some species of *Populus* (Salicaceae) yielded large pupae while other species were rejected.

**Rosidae.** Species in 29 of the 112 tested genera (25.9 per cent) were acceptable to the gypsy moth. Suitable hosts were largely confined to species within the Aceraceae, Anacardiaceae, and especially Rosaceae. Although the Rosaceae contains many genera that were accepted by gypsy moth larvae, larvae fed foliage from most test plants in this family produced female pupae less than 1000 mg (except on *Photinia*). Larvae fed foliage from species within the Anacardiaceae often yielded large pupae. In the Aceraceae, larvae fed foliage from some species of *Acer* yielded large pupae while other species were rejected.

**Magnoliidae.** Species in 4 of the 23 tested genera (17.4 per cent) were accepted by the gypsy moth. The only accepted hosts were species in Berberidaceae and Lauraceae. *Berberis* and *Mahonia* appeared to be quite suitable hosts for the gypsy moth but species of Lauraceae appear to be only marginally suitable. We found that new, but not old, leaves of camphor tree could support development of some first instars, whereas old leaves were more suitable than new leaves of avocado.

**Caryophyllidae.** Species in only one of the 12 tested genera (8.3 per cent) were accepted by the gypsy moth. The only accepted genus was *Armeria* in the Plumbaginaceae. Two species of *Eriogonum*, *E. giganteum* and *E. umbellatum*, were accepted by second instars in our tests but most species of this genus were rejected by all instars.

**Asteridae.** Species in only one of the 75 tested genera (1.3 per cent) were accepted by the gypsy moth. The one acceptable genus was *Carissa* (Apocynaceae), tested by Edwards and Fusco (1979). Genera belonging to the Asteridae are generally rich in alkaloids and terpenoids and depauperate in tannins.

**Other taxa.** Brief notes should be made concerning some plants not shown in Appendix I. In general, foliage from monocots (e.g., Liliaceae, Palmae, Gramineae, Araceae) was rejected by the gypsy moth. The only records of acceptance are *Musa* and *Canna* (Kurir 1953). Among gymnosperms, many species of Pinaceae were acceptable hosts. For example, Miller and Hanson (in press) found that larvae fed foliage of European larch, *Larix decidua*; blue spruce, *Picea pungens*, new foliage; lodgepole pine, *Pinus contorta*; and Douglas-fir, *Pseudotsuga menziesii*, yielded female pupal weights over 1200 mg. However, some genera of Pinaceae have species (e.g., *Pinus sylvestris*) that were not accepted until later instars. Among the Taxodiaceae, *Metasequoia* and *Sequoia* (new foliage) were accepted in some trials but not in others; *Cunninghamia* and *Sequoiadendron* were never accepted by first instars. All species of Araucaraceae, Cupressaceae, Ephedraceae, Ginkgoaceae, Podocarpaceae, and Taxaceae that have been tested were unacceptable. Of the few fern species that have been tested, all were rejected.

**ALLELOCHEMIC PATTERNS.** Comparison of results of gypsy moth feeding tests with known distributions of allelochemicals (Table 4) reveals certain patterns. In general, plants containing tannins exhibited the highest percentage of acceptance. The highest percentage of rejection occurred among plants containing alkaloids, terpenoids, or other non-tannin allelochemicals. The following is a discussion concerning certain allelochemical constituents of plant foliage and host suitability for gypsy moth larval development.

**Tannins and other phenolics.** Species in 182 of the tested genera contain tannins. Species in 76 (41.8 per cent) of these genera were accepted by gypsy moth larvae. Species in all 63 genera which were classified as accepted contain tannins. Except for *Achillea* (Asteraceae), all 13 genera showing a variable response among congeneric species contain tannins. Also, the 10 genera that we noted as rejected by first instars but accepted by second instars contain tannins, except *Papaver* (Papaveraceae). Thus, the host range of gypsy moth appears to be strongly associated with plants containing tannins. We observed no differences in acceptance of foliage between plants containing condensed and hydrolyzable (trihydroxy constituents) tannins.

Condensed tannins are widely distributed in vascular plants, whereas hydrolyzable tannins are confined to dicots, particularly Hamameliidae, Rosidae, and Dilleniidae (i.e., the same subclasses containing the majority of accepted genera). Both types of tannins are rare in the Caryophyllidae and Asteridae (subclasses which contain mostly rejected genera). The taxonomic

distribution of tannins reflect phylogenetic constraints; their presence in herbaceous Rosaceae and Leguminosae and their absence in many woody Asteridae demonstrate that there is a strong relationship based on phylogeny rather than with the woody habit (Bate-Smith and Metcalfe 1957). Thus, the occurrence of tannins in "apparent" plants (see Feeny 1976, Rhoades and Cates 1976) may be more a consequence of phylogeny than of herbivore selection pressure.

Like many other allelochemicals, tannins are probably phagodeterrent to non-adapted species and phagostimulants to adapted, specialist herbivore species (Bernays 1981, Martin et al. 1985). In laboratory studies, tannic acid elicited phagostimulation in gypsy moth (Meisner and Skatulla 1975). Higher levels of tannins, such as those observed in oak leaves from trees defoliated the previous season, may negatively affect gypsy moth performance (Schultz and Baldwin 1982). However, increased mortality of gypsy moth on foliage picked later in the season may be caused by a decrease in water and nitrogen and an increase in toughness, not to changes in tannin levels (Hough and Pimentel 1978, Lawson et al. 1984).

Other phenolics do not appear to be associated with either acceptance or rejection by the gypsy moth. In field studies host acceptance by gypsy moth was more closely associated with tannin content than with total phenol content of leaves (Lechowicz 1983). Flavanoids isolated from *Kalmia* (Ericaceae) were not deterrent to gypsy moth (El Naggar et al. 1980). Data on phenolic distributions in Ericaceae (Harborne and Williams 1973) and in species of *Eucalyptus* (Hillis 1967) do not suggest an association with acceptance or rejection by the gypsy moth.

**Alkaloids.** Species in 162 of the 286 genera tested are known to contain alkaloids in leaf tissues. Species in 139 (85.8 per cent) of the alkaloid-containing genera were rejected. Many of the alkaloids that we record here were not characterized more specifically. However, where possible we noted alkaloid types.

Isoquinoline alkaloids show a taxonomic distribution consistent with hypothesized phylogeny of plant families (Gershenzon and Mabry 1983). These compounds occur predominantly in families belonging to Cronquist's (1981) Magnoliidae (e.g., Aristolochiaceae, Berberidaceae, Fumariaceae, Lauraceae, Magnoliaceae, Menispermaceae, Papaveraceae, and Ranunculaceae). We found records of isoquinolines in 21 of the tested genera, 18 of which were rejected. Species were accepted only in *Berberis* and *Mahonia*.

Isoquinoline alkaloids are the only alkaloids that have been incorporated into artificial diet in tests with gypsy moth. Miller and Feeny (1983) investigated the effects of six benzyloisoquinoline alkaloids on three polyphagous Lepidoptera, including the gypsy moth. Aristolochic acid, berberine, and sanguinarine gave dramatic toxic or repellent effects on gypsy moth larvae. Also, papaverine decreased consumption rates but not growth efficiency. Glucine had little affect on consumption rate.

We found records of 15 genera with indole alkaloids, 10 of which were rejected. Except for *Carissa* (Apocynaceae), acceptance of genera with indole alkaloids was confined to those with simple indoles. Simple indole alkaloids, such as gramine in *Acer* (Aceraceae), serotonin in *Hippophae* (Elaeagnaceae), and *Prunus* (Rosaceae) are widely distributed (Smith 1977). Indole alkaloids, produced by more complex biosynthetic pathways involving the acetate pathway, are confined primarily to Apocynaceae, Loganiaceae, and Rubiaceae (Gottlieb 1982, Gershenzon and Mabry 1983).

Alkaloidal amines occur in a diversity of plant taxa but are notably present in the closely related Cactaceae, Chenopodiaceae, and Nyctaginaceae (Gibbs 1974). We found records of alkaloidal amines in 10 genera, eight of which were rejected by gypsy moth larvae. *Acacia* (Leguminosae) and *Prunus* (Rosaceae) each have some species that were accepted. Purines, pyridines, and pyrrolidines also occur in many plant families. We found records of purines in eight genera, all of which were rejected by gypsy moth larvae. Pyridines occur in 19 genera 16 of which were rejected and three exhibited variable acceptance. Pyrrolidines occur in six genera, five of which were rejected and one showed variable response. Pyrrolizidines are present in five genera (Boraginaceae, Asteraceae, and Leguminosae), quinazolines in two genera (Acanthaceae and Hydrangeaceae), quinolines in four genera (Asteraceae and Rutaceae), quinolizidines in nine genera (one in Asteraceae, the others in Leguminosae), and steroid

alkaloids are present in four genera (Buxaceae and Solanaceae). All genera containing these alkaloids were rejected.

Some alkaloid types with a very restricted distribution are not listed in our compilation: alkaloidal peptides (Rhamnaceae: *Ceanothus*), diterpenoid alkaloids (Ranunculaceae: *Delphinium* and certain Garryaceae), indolizidines (Moraceae - *Ficus*), monoterpenoid alkaloids (Actinidiaceae), and tropanes (Convovulaceae). None of these taxa were accepted.

Our results agree with those of Barbosa and Krischik (1987) and further document the general unsuitability of alkaloid-containing plants to the gypsy moth. An exception involves those plants containing only simple indole alkaloids (e.g., *Acer*, *Hippophae*, *Prunus*). We found various species of *Acer* and *Prunus* to be fairly suitable for gypsy moth larval development. However, the levels of indole alkaloids in each species was not determined.

**Terpenoids.** Simple monoterpenoids are distributed among a wide variety of plants but the morphological capacity to accumulate them is restricted to certain plant taxa (Seigler 1981). Plant species capable of accumulating monoterpenoids include families accepted by the gypsy moth (e.g., Pinaceae, Anacardiaceae, Juglandaceae, Rosaceae) as well as families rejected by the gypsy moth (e.g., Cupressaceae, Apiaceae, Araliaceae, Asteraceae, Euphorbiaceae, Geraniaceae, Lamiaceae, Myrtaceae, Pittosporaceae, Rutaceae). It is possible that rejection is associated with higher concentrations of simple monoterpenes. Meisner and Skatulla (1975) found that camphene deterred the gypsy moth at 0.05 per cent concentration, whereas higher concentrations (0.2 per cent) of  $\alpha$ -pinene, B-pinene, and 3-carene were required to deter feeding. Limonene was not a feeding deterrent at 0.2 per cent concentration. The distribution of terpenoids in *Eucalyptus* indicates that rejected species (Appendix III) have higher concentrations of cineole (Hillis 1967).

Our results suggest that the presence of iridoids in foliage contributes to rejection by the gypsy moth. Iridoids were recorded from 35 of the genera tested, 31 (88.6 per cent) of which were rejected. In *Viburnum* (Caprifoliaceae) the response varied among species. The paucity of gypsy moth hosts among iridoid-containing genera and families might be explained by the absence of tannins and prevalence of iridoids and biosynthetically related alkaloids (complex indoles) in these taxa.

The taxonomic distribution of iridoid monoterpenes is closely correlated with plant phylogeny. In fact, some plant taxonomists have used data on iridoid presence-absence in realigning certain taxa (Dahlgren 1980). Iridoids are found in most families of Asteridae but are absent in Asteraceae, Boraginaceae, and Solanaceae. Outside Asteridae, iridoids are found primarily in Cornales and Ericaceae.

Iridoids are antifeedants for Lepidoptera that do not normally feed on these plants (Bernays and DeLuca 1981) and feeding stimulants for species specializing on these plants (Bowers 1983). Host specific Lepidoptera on iridoid-containing plants sequester or otherwise metabolize ingested iridoids, whereas the gypsy moth eliminates the intact compounds in the feces (Bowers and Puttick 1986).

Sesquiterpenoids constitute the largest group of terpenoids (Seigler 1981) but have a rather restricted taxonomic distribution, occurring primarily in Asteraceae, Lauraceae, Magnoliaceae, and Myrtaceae. Sesquiterpenoids were recorded from 22 of the plant genera tested, 17 (77.3 per cent) of which were rejected. In previous studies sesquiterpenoids isolated from *Melaleuca* (Myrtaceae) and *Liriodendron* (Magnoliaceae) were deterrents to gypsy moth feeding (Doskotch et al. 1980a, 1980b). In our study, congeneric species of *Melaleuca* and *Eucalyptus* varied in acceptability. Only rejected species of *Eucalyptus* contain sesquiterpenoids (chemical data from Penfold and Willis 1961). Thus, acceptability of *Eucalyptus* species (and perhaps *Melaleuca* species) may be determined by presence or absence of sesquiterpenoids as well as by concentrations of monoterpenoids.

Although diterpenoids occur in a variety of plant families, we have restricted our compilation to the most complete data set which involves the Ericaceae and Lamiaceae. Ten grayanoid diterpenes have been isolated from *Kalmia* (Ericaceae) that were antifeedants to gypsy moth (El Naggar et al. 1980). Our data suggest that these results might be extended to the Ericaceae as a whole. We might predict that those genera rejected by gypsy moth may contain diterpenoids, whereas acceptable genera lack them. Diterpenoids present in mints (Lamiaceae)

have apparently not been tested on gypsy moth, but clerodane in *Ajuga* is deterrent to *Spodoptera littoralis*, another species that is very polyphagous (Belles et al. 1985).

Triterpenoid saponins (e.g., cucurbitacins) were not included in our compilation, but these compounds may account for gypsy moth rejection in many of the taxa in which they occur (e.g., Araliaceae).

**Other allelochemicals.** Glucosinolates have a very restricted taxonomic distribution and are recorded from only five of the 286 genera tested. Among the test plants, all of the glucosinolate-containing genera are in the Brassicaceae and Limnanthaceae, all of which were rejected. Gypsy moth apparently has not been tested using isolated glucosinolates in artificial diet. These compounds are toxic to other Lepidoptera that do not normally feed on plants containing them (Blau et al. 1978). Alkaloids are recorded from some Brassicaceae and therefore it is uncertain whether alkaloids, glucosinolates, or both determine the response of the gypsy moth.

Raphide crystals are sparsely but widely distributed among various plant taxa. Gibbs (1974) records them in 10 of the tested genera belonging to the Actinidiaceae, Aizoaceae, Balsaminaceae, Hydrangeaceae, Nyctaginaceae, Onagraceae, Rubiaceae, and Vitaceae, all of which were rejected by gypsy moth. Raphides are deterrents to some Lepidoptera but attractants to those which feed on these plants (e.g., sphingids; Ehrlich and Raven 1964). Alkaloids are present in some of these plant taxa, but in other taxa (Aizoaceae, Onagraceae, and Vitaceae) no alkaloids (or terpenoids) have been recorded.

## CONCLUSIONS

Our results and the literature suggest that the gypsy moth accepts plants that contain tannins but lack other major allelochemicals, such as alkaloids, iridoids, and sesquiterpenes. This pattern can be used to predict the suitability of plant taxa which have not been tested in feeding trials with gypsy moth. However, predictions on plant suitability do contain an element for error and may be placed into at least four categories accordingly: (1) based on familial relationships, (2) based on generic relationships, (3) based on chemical composition of a given species, or (4) based on the conduct of a laboratory bioassay using either live foliage or artificial diet with allelochemicals incorporated. Fairly accurate predictions can often be made even with category 1 data because the taxonomic distribution of gypsy moth hosts reflects well (better at the generic level than the familial level) the taxonomic distribution of certain allelochemicals. Category 4 predictions on host suitability should be the most accurate but the required field research may not always be feasible.

In several of the plant taxa rejected by gypsy moth, presence of more than one type of allelochemical makes it difficult to determine which allelochemical is the major deterrent. For example, many Asteraceae contain monoterpenoids, sesquiterpenoids, and alkaloids. Further research is needed to determine if one of these allelochemicals is more of a deterrent than the others. It is quite likely that no single type of allelochemical will explain all cases of rejection by gypsy moth. Additionally, seasonal occurrence of allelochemicals and other leaf qualities (e.g., toughness, pubescence) could influence host suitability.

The gypsy moth is often cited as an example of a polyphagous herbivore. Much of this reputation is based on the feeding behavior of fourth and fifth instars. Our results, emphasizing the feeding behavior of first instars, suggest that this species is certainly polyphagous, but not indiscriminantly so. Some of the apparent polyphagy derives from a temperate zone bias in the choice of plants tested against the gypsy moth. It became clear during our laboratory tests that as more plants with tropical origins were included, the proportion of rejections increased. This pattern is not surprising since the gypsy moth is indigenous to north temperate zones.

It may be that monophagous and polyphagous species choose host plants on a similar basis, only the identity of the allelochemical stimulant varies. Thus, herbivores that are stimulated to feed by an allelochemical with a wide taxonomic distribution (like tannins) will appear to be polyphagous.

## REFERENCES

- Barbosa, P., and Krischik, V.A. (1987). Influence of alkaloids on feeding preference of eastern deciduous forest trees by the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*. *Am. Nat.* 130:53-69.
- Barbosa, P., Waldvogel, M., Martinat, P. and Douglas, L.W. 1983. Developmental and reproductive performance of the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Lymantriidae), on selected hosts common to mid Atlantic and southern forests. *Environ. Entomol.* 12:1858-1862.
- Bate Smith, E.C. 1962. The phenolic constituents of plants and their taxonomic significance. I. Dicotyledons. *J. Linn. Soc. (Bot.)* 58:95-173.
- Bate Smith, E.C. and Metcalfe, C.R. 1957. Leuco-anthocyanins. 3. The nature and systematic distribution of tannins in dicotyledonous plants. *J. Linn. Soc. (Bot.)* 55:669-705.
- Belles, X., Camps, F., Coll, J., and Piulachs, M.D. 1985. Insect antifeedant activity of clerodane diterpenoids against larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) (Lepidoptera). *J. Chem. Ecol.* 11:1439-1445.
- Bernays, E.A. 1981. Plant tannins and insect herbivores: an appraisal. *Ecol. Entomol.* 6:353-360.
- Bernays, E.A. and De Luca, C. 1981. Insect antifeedant properties of an iridoid glycoside: ipolamiide. *Experientia* 37:1289-1290.
- Blau, P.A., Feeny, P., Contardo, L., and Robson, D.S. 1978. Allylglucosinolate and herbivorous caterpillars: a contrast in toxicity and tolerance. *Science* 200:1296-1298.
- Bowers, M.D. 1983. The role of iridoid glycosides in host-plant specificity of checkerspot butterflies. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 9:475-493.
- Bowers, M.D. and Puttick, G. M. 1986. Fate of ingested iridoid glycosides in lepidopteran herbivores. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 12:169-178.
- Cronquist, A. 1981. *An Integrated System of Classification of Flowering Plants*. Columbia Univ. Press, New York, N.Y.
- Dahlgren, R.M.T. 1980. A revised system of classification of the angiosperms. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 80:91-124.
- Daterman, G.E., Miller, J.C., and Hanson, P.E. 1986. Potential for gypsy moth problems in southwest Oregon. pp. 37-40, *In: Forest Pest Management in Southwest Oregon*, O.T. Helgeson (ed.). Oregon State University Forest Research Laboratory.
- Doskotch, R.W., Cheng, H.Y., O'Dell, T.M., and Girard, L. 1980a. Nerolidol: an antifeeding sesquiterpene alcohol for gypsy moth larvae from *Melaleuca leucadendron*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 6:845-851.
- Doskotch, R.W., Fairchild, E.H., Huang, C., and Wilton, J.H. 1980b. Tulirinol, an antifeedant sesquiterpene lactone for the gypsy moth larvae from *Liriodendron tulipifera*. *J. Org. Chem.* 45:1441-1446.
- Doskotch, R.W., O'Dell, T.M. and Girard, L. 1981. Phytochemicals and feeding behavior of gypsy moth larvae. -In: Doane, C.C. and M.L. McManus (eds.), *The Gypsy Moth: Research toward Integrated Pest Management*. U.S.D.A. Tech. Bull. 1584 Washington, D.C., pp. 657-666.
- Edwards, J.G. and Fusco, R.A. 1979. Gypsy moth larva host plant screening and evaluation. Unpublished report to Calif. Dept. Food and Agric. Sacramento, Calif.

- Ehrlich, P.R. and Raven, P.H. 1964. Butterflies and plants: a study in coevolution. *Evolution* 18:586-608.
- El-Naggar, S.F., Doskotch, R.W., O'Dell, T.M. and Girard, L. 1980. Antifeedant diterpenes for the gypsy moth larvae from *Kalmia latifolia*: Isolation and characterization of ten grayanoids. *J. Nat. Products*. 43:617-631.
- Emerenciano, V.D.P., Kaplan, M.A.C. and Gottlieb, O.R. 1985. Evolution of sesquiterpene lactones in angiosperms. *Biochem. Syst. Ecol.* 13:145-166.
- Feeny, P. 1976. Plant apparency and chemical defense. *Rec. Adv. Phytochem.* 10:1-40.
- Fong, H.H.S., Trojankova, M., Trojanek, J. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1972. Alkaloid screening II. *Lloydia* 35:117-149
- Forbush, E.H. and Fernald, C. H. 1896. *The Gypsy Moth*. Wright & Potter Print. Co., Boston, MA.
- Gershenzon, J. and Mabry, T.J. 1983. Secondary metabolites and the higher classification of angiosperms. *Nord. J. Bot.* 3:5-34.
- Giannasi, D.E. 1986. Phytochemical aspects of phylogeny in Hamamelidae. *Ann. Missouri Bot. Garden* 73:417-437.
- Gibbs, R.D. 1974. *Chemotaxonomy of Flowering Plants*. McGill-Queen's Univ. Press, Montreal.
- Gottlieb, O.R. 1982. *Micromolecular Evolution, Systematics and Ecology*. Springer-Verlag, New York, N.Y.
- Harborne, J.B. and Williams, C.A. 1973. A chemotaxonomic survey of flavonoids and simple phenols in leaves of the Ericaceae. *Bot. J. Linn. Soc.* 66:37-54.
- Hegnauer, R. 1962-1973. *Chemotaxonomie der Pflanzen*. Vols. 1-6. Birkhauser, Basle.
- Heywood, V.H., Harborne, J.B. and Turner, B.L. (eds.) 1977. *The Biology and Chemistry of the Compositae*. Academic Press, London.
- Hillis, W.E. 1967. Polyphenols in the leaves of *Eucalyptus*: A chemotaxonomic survey--IV. The sections Porantheroideae and Terminales. *Phytochem.* 6:373-382.
- Hough, J.A. and Pimentel, D. 1978. Influence of host foliage on development, survival, and fecundity of the gypsy moth. *Environ. Entomol.* 7:97-102.
- Janos, G. 1961. Adatok a gyapjaspille (*Lymantria dispar* L.) taplalkozasi biologiajához. *Erdeszeti Kutatasok* 27:279-291.
- Kaplan, M.A.C. and Gottlieb, O.R. 1982. Iridoids as systematic markers in Dicotyledons. *Biochem. System. Ecol.* 10:329-347.
- Kurir, A. 1953. Die Frasspflanzen des Schwammspinner ( *Lymantria dispar* L.). *Z. ang. Ent.* 34:543-586.
- Lawson, D.L., Merritt, R.W., Martin, M.M., Martin, J.S. and Kukor, J.J. 1984. The nutritional ecology of larvae of *Alsophila pometaria* and *Anisota senatoria* feeding on early- and late-season oak foliage. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 35:105-114.
- Lechowicz, M.J. 1983. Leaf quality and host preferences of gypsy moth in the northern deciduous forest. In: Talerico, R.L. and Montgomery, M. (Tech. Coord.) *Proceedings, Forest defoliator-host interactions: a comparison between gypsy moth and spruce budworms*. U.S.D.A. For. Serv. NE Sta., Gen. Tech. Rep. NE-85. Broomall, PA. pp. 67-82.
- Lechowicz, M.J. and Jobin, L. 1983. Estimating the susceptibility of tree species to attack by the gypsy moth, *Lymantria dispar*. *Ecol. Entomol.* 8:171-183.

- Lechowicz, M.J. and Mauffette, Y. 1986. Host preferences of the gypsy moth in eastern North America versus European forests. *Revue D'Entomol. du Quebec* 31:43-51.
- Martin, M.M., Rockholm, D.C., and Martin, J.S. 1985. Effects of surfactants, pH, and certain cations on precipitation of proteins by tannins. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 11:485-494.
- Meisner, J. and Skatulla, U. 1975. Phagostimulation and phagodeterreny in the larva of the gypsy moth, *Porthetria dispar* L. *Phytoparasitica* 3:19-26.
- Miller, J.C., Hanson, P.E., and Dowell, R.V. 1987. The potential of gypsy moth as a pest of fruit and nut crops. *Calif. Agric.* 41(11,12):10-12.
- Miller, J.C. and Hanson, P.E. In Press. Laboratory studies on gypsy moth larval development on gymnosperms. *Can. Entomol.*
- Miller, J.C. and West, K.J. 1987. Efficacy of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and diflubenzuron on Douglas-fir and oak for gypsy moth control in Oregon. *J. Arbor.* 13:240-242.
- Miller, J.S. and Feeny, P. 1983. Effects of benzylisoquinoline alkaloids on the larvae of polyphagous Lepidoptera. *Oecologia* 58:332-339.
- Mosher, F.H. 1915. Food plants of the gypsy moth in America. U.S.D.A. Bull. 250, 39 pp.
- Penfold, A.R. and Willis, J.L. 1961. The Eucalypts. Botany, Cultivation, Chemistry, and Utilization. Leonard Hill (Books) Ltd., London.
- Rhoades, D.F. and Cates, R.G. 1976. Toward a general theory of plant antiherbivore chemistry. *Rec. Adv. Phytochem.* 10:168-213.
- Rosenthal, G.A. and Janzen, D.H. (eds.). 1979. Herbivores. Their Interaction with Secondary Plant Metabolites. Academic Press, New York, N.Y.
- Schultz, J.C. and Baldwin, I.T. 1982. Oak leaf quality declines in response to defoliation by gypsy moth larvae. *Science* 217:149-151.
- Seigler, D.S. 1981. Terpenes and plant phylogeny. pp.117-148, In D.A. Young & D.S. Seigler (eds.), *Phytochemistry and Angiosperm Phylogeny*, Praeger Publ., New York, N.Y.
- Smith, T.A. 1977. Tryptamine and related compounds in plants. *Phytochem.* 16:171-175.
- Smolenski, S.J., Silinis, H. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1972. Alkaloid screening I. *Lloydia* 35:1-34.
- Smolenski, S.J., Silinis, H. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1973. Alkaloid screening III. *Lloydia* 36:359-389.
- Smolenski, S.J., Silinis, H. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1974a. Alkaloid screening IV. *Lloydia* 37:30-61.
- Smolenski, S.J., Silinis, H. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1974b. Alkaloid screening V. *Lloydia* 37:506-536.
- Smolenski, S.J., Silinis, H. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1975a. Alkaloid screening VI. *Lloydia* 38:225-255.
- Smolenski, S.J., Silinis, H. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1975b. Alkaloid screening VII. *Lloydia* 38:411-441.
- Smolenski, S.J., Silinis, H. and Farnsworth, N.R. 1975c. Alkaloid screening VIII. *Lloydia* 38:497-528.
- Willaman, J.J. and Schubert, B.G. 1961. Alkaloid-bearing plants and their contained alkaloids. U.S.D.A. Tech. Bull. 1234. Washington, D.C.
- Willaman, J.J. and Hui-Lin Li. 1970. Alkaloid-bearing plants and their contained alkaloids 1957-1968. *J. Nat. Products* 33 (Suppl. 3A). 286 pp.



TABLE 1. Acceptance of plant species according to instar of gypsy moth.

INSTAR	NO. PLANT SPECIES ACCEPTED (n)	CUMULATIVE NO. PLANT SPECIES ACCEPTED
I	101 (326)	101
II	34 (325)	135
III	9 (308)	144
IV	1 (303)	145
V	2 (297)	147

TABLE 2. Weight of female pupae from rearing first instars on respective plants (n = new foliage, o = old foliage).

Pupal weight (mg)		
1200-1900+	900-1199	400-899
<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>	<i>Alnus tenuifolia</i>	<i>Acacia baileyana</i>
<i>Alnus rubra</i>	<i>Arbutus menziesii</i> <sup>n</sup>	<i>Acer circinatum</i>
<i>Arbutus menziesii</i> <sup>o</sup>	<i>Berberis</i>	<i>Acer negundo</i>
<i>Eucalyptus cinerea</i> <sup>n</sup>	<i>gladwynensis</i> <sup>n</sup>	<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>
<i>Lithocarpus</i>	<i>Betula verucosa</i>	<i>Amelanchier</i>
<i>densiflorus</i> <sup>n</sup>	<i>Castanea sativa</i>	<i>alnifolia</i>
<i>Populus nigra</i>	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	<i>Berberis</i>
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> <sup>n</sup>	<i>Photinia glabra</i>	<i>aquifolium</i> <sup>o</sup>
<i>Quercus engelmannii</i>	<i>Raphiolepis</i>	<i>Crataegus</i>
<i>Quercus garryana</i>	<i>ballevara</i> <sup>n</sup>	<i>monogyna</i>
<i>Quercus lobata</i>	<i>Rosa indica</i> <sup>n</sup>	<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>
<i>Rhus typhina</i>	<i>Rosa sp.</i>	<i>Gaultheria</i>
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	<i>shallon</i> <sup>o</sup>
	<i>Schinus molle</i>	<i>Hibiscus</i>
	<i>Ulmus americana</i>	<i>rosasinensis</i>
	<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i>	<i>Liquidambar</i>
	<i>Vaccinium</i>	<i>styraciflua</i>
	<i>corymbosum</i>	<i>Myrica</i>
		<i>californica</i> <sup>o</sup>
		<i>Prunus</i>
		<i>laurocerasus</i> <sup>o</sup>
		<i>Prunus salicina</i>
		<i>Prunus virginiana</i>
		<i>Pyracantha</i>
		<i>coccinea</i>
		<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>
		<i>Ribes sp.</i>
		<i>Rosa rubiginosa</i>
		<i>Rubus parviflorus</i>
		<i>Rumex crispus</i>
		<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i>
		<i>Stewartia ovata</i>
		<i>Tilia americana</i>
		<i>Tilia cordata</i>

TABLE 3. Plant genera with species eliciting different feeding responses from gypsy moth larvae (numbers refer to sources of data: (1) Miller and Hanson this study; (2) Edwards and Fusco 1979; (3) Kurir 1953; (4) Mosher 1915.

Plant genus	Accepted species	Rejected species
<i>Acer</i>	<i>campestre</i> (3) <i>carpinifolium</i> (3) <i>circinatum</i> (1,2) <i>heldreichii</i> (3) <i>macrophyllum</i> (1,2) <i>monspessulanum</i> (3) <i>negundo</i> (1,2,3) <i>platanoides</i> (2,4) <i>platanus</i> (3) <i>pseudoplatanus</i> (3) <i>rubrum</i> (1,4) <i>saccharinum</i> (3,4) <i>saccharum</i> (4) <i>tataricum</i> (3)	<i>dasycarpum</i> (3) <i>pennsylvanicum</i> (4) <i>spicatum</i> (4)
<i>Eucalyptus</i>	<i>camaldulensis</i> (2) <i>cinerea</i> (2) <i>ficifolia</i> (1) <i>gunii</i> (1,2) <i>linearis</i> (1,2) <i>melliodora</i> (1)	<i>botryoides</i> (2) <i>camphora</i> (2) <i>diversifolia</i> (2) <i>globulus</i> (1,2) <i>leucoxylon</i> (2) <i>ligustrina</i> (1) <i>maculosa</i> (1) <i>nicholii</i> (1) <i>polyanthemus</i> (2) <i>rudis</i> (2) <i>sideroxylon</i> (2)
<i>Euonymus</i>	<i>verrucosa</i> (3)	<i>fortunei</i> (1) <i>japonica</i> (2)
<i>Populus</i>	<i>alba</i> (3) <i>balsamifera</i> (4) <i>canadensis</i> (3) <i>fremontii</i> (2) <i>grandidentata</i> (4) <i>nigra</i> (2,3,4) <i>tremuloides</i> (1,2,4) <i>trichocarpa</i> (2)	<i>candicans</i> (3) <i>tremula</i> (3)
<i>Prunus</i>	most spp.	<i>lyonii</i> (1) <i>persica</i> (1,2)

Table 3 continued.

Plant genus	Accepted species	Rejected species
<i>Rhamnus</i>	<i>cathartica</i> (3) <i>purshiana</i> (1,2,3)	<i>californica</i> (1,2) <i>frangula</i> (3) <i>saxatilis</i> (3)
<i>Ribes</i>	<i>aureum</i> (3) <i>nigrum</i> (3) <i>rubrum</i> (3) <i>viburnifolium</i> (1)	<i>alpinum</i> (2) <i>grossularia</i> (3) <i>leptanthum</i> (3) <i>vulgare</i> (4) <i>sanguineum</i> (1)
<i>Rubus</i>	<i>parviflorus</i> (2)	<i>discolor</i> (2) <i>fruticosus</i> (3) <i>idaeus</i> (3)
<i>Spiraea</i>	<i>menziesii</i> (3) <i>prunifolia</i> (3) <i>splendens</i> (3) <i>thunbergii</i> (3)	<i>cantoniensis</i> (3) <i>salicifolia</i> (4) <i>tomentosa</i> (4) <i>sp.</i> (2)
<i>Viburnum</i>	<i>davidi</i> (1) <i>lantana</i> (3) <i>opulus</i> (3,4')	<i>acerifolium</i> (4) <i>cassinoides</i> (4) <i>dentatum</i> (4) <i>ellipticum</i> (2) <i>japonicum</i> (1) <i>lentago</i> (4) <i>suspensum</i> (1) <i>tinus</i> (1) <i>rhytidophyllum</i> (2,3)

Table 4. Gypsy moth response to plants containing various allelochemicals. Data compiled from Appendix II.

Allelochemic	No. plant genera	No. accepted (% accepted)	No. rejected (% rejected)
OVERALL	286	76 (22.0)	210 (73.4)
TANNINS	182	74 (40.7)	108 (59.3)
ALKALOIDS	162	23 (14.2)	139 (85.8)
amine	10	2 (20)	8 (80)
indole	15	5 (33.3)	10 (66.7)
isoquinoline	21	3 (14.3)	18 (85.7)
purine	8	0 (0)	8 (100)
pyridine	19	3 (15.8)	16 (84.2)
pyrrolidine	6	1 (16.7)	5 (83.3)
pyrrolizidine	5	0 (0)	5 (100)
quinazoline	2	0 (0)	2 (100)
quinoline	4	0 (0)	4 (100)
quinolizidine	9	0 (0)	9 (100)
steroid	4	0 (0)	4 (100)
IRIDOIDS	35	4 (11.4)	31 (88.6)
SESQUITERPENOIDS	22	5 (22.7)	17 (77.3)
GLUCOSINOLATES	5	0 (0)	5 (100)
RAPHIDES	10	0 (0)	10 (100)

APPENDIX I. The response and development of gypsy moth larvae to foliage of prospective host plants.

Tests used:

I = first instar  
 II = second instar  
 III = third instar  
 IV = fourth instar  
 V = fifth instar

Larval response:

0 = no (or very little) feeding, no frass, no molt.  
 + = some feeding, some frass, no molt.  
 \* = well fed, plenty of frass, molt.  
 . = no data available.

The first line following plant species names is for new foliage, the second line (following family name) is for old foliage.

For successful development from instar I or II:

P=live female pupal weight in milligrams, 3 days after pupation.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
Abelia grandiflora Caprifoliaceae (glossy abelia)	0	0	0	0	+	.
Acacia baileyana Leguminosae (Bailey acacia)	*	*	*	*	*	653
Acacia longifolia Leguminosae (Sydney golden wattle)	* 0	* *	* *	* *	* *	662 867
Acacia redolens Leguminosae	+	+	+	+	+	.
Acer circinatum Aceraceae (vine maple)	*	*	*	*	*	475
Acer macrophyllum Aceraceae (big-leaf maple)	*	*	*	*	*	1210
Acer negundo Aceraceae (boxelder)	*	*	*	*	*	809
Acer platanoides Aceraceae (Norway maple)	*	*	*	*	*	921
Achillea filipendula Compositae (fernleaf yarrow)	+	+	+	+	+	.
Achillea tomentosa Compositae (yarrow)	*	*	*	*	*	764
Actinidia chinensis Actinidiaceae (kiwi)	0	0	0	0	+	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
Aesculus californica Hippocastanaceae (California buckeye)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Aesculus hippocastanum Hippocastanaceae (horse chestnut)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Ajuga reptans Labiataeae (ajuga)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Albizzia julibrissin Leguminosae (silk tree)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Alnus rhombifolia Betulaceae (white alder)	*	*	*	*	*	431
Alnus rubra Betulaceae (red alder)	*	*	*	*	*	1744
Alnus tenuifolia Betulaceae (thinleaf alder)	*	*	*	*	*	981
Amelanchier alnifolia Rosaceae (serviceberry)	*	*	*	*	*	303
Aquilegia sp. Ranunculaceae (columbine)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Arabis sp. Cruciferae (snowcap arabis)	0	0	0	0	+	.
Aralia spinosa Araliaceae (devil's walking stick)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Arbutus menziesii Ericaceae (madrone)	*	*	*	*	*	928 1338
Arbutus unedo Ericaceae (strawberry tree)	*	*	*	*	*	634
Arctostaphylos columbiana Ericaceae (hairy manzanita)	+	*	*	*	*	632
Arctostaphylos densiflora Ericaceae (vine hill manzanita)	*	*	*	*	*	1151
Arctostaphylos hookeri Ericaceae (Monterey carpert)	*	*	*	*	*	889
Arctostaphylos manzanita Ericaceae (common manzanita)	*	*	*	*	*	713
Arctostaphylos uva-ursi Ericaceae (kinikinnic)	*	*	*	*	*	1456

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
Ardisia japonica Myrsinaceae (ardisia)	0	0	0	0	+	.
Aristolochia californica Aristolochiaceae (California dutchman's pipe)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Armeria maritima Plumbaginaceae (sea pink)	*	*	*	*	*	710
Artemisia pycnocephala Compositae (sandhill sage)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Asparagus setaceus Liliaceae (plumosa fern)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Aster alpinus Compositae	0	0	0	+	+	.
Astilbe japonica Saxifragaceae (false spiraea)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Atriplex lentiformis Chenopodiaceae (quail bush)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Aucuba japonica Cornaceae (Japanese aucuba)	0	0	0	0	+	.
Baccharis pilularis Compositae (coyote bush)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Begonia sp. Begoniaceae (rex begonia)	+	+	.	.	.	.
Begonia sp. Begoniaceae (wax begonia)	0	0	+	+	+	.
Berberis aquifolium Berberidaceae (shining Oregon grape)	*	*	*	*	*	1347 811
Berberis gladiolifolia Berberidaceae (William Penn barberry)	*	*	*	*	*	1005 943
Beta vulgaris Chenopodiaceae (Swiss chard)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Betula pendula Betulaceae (cut-leaved weeping birch)	*	*	*	*	*	1012
Betula verrucosa Betulaceae (European white birch)	*	*	*	*	*	1100
Bougainvillea x buttiana Nyctaginaceae (Barbara Karst)	0	0	0	0	0	.



## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Brassica oleracea</i> Brassicaceae (cabbage)	+	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Buddleja alternifolia</i> Loganiaceae (butterfly bush)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Buxus sempervirens</i> Buxaceae (common boxwood)	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	. .
<i>Callistemon citrinus</i> Myrtaceae (lemon bottlebrush)	0 0	0 0	0 0	. 0	. +	. .
<i>Camellia japonica</i> Theaceae (camellia)	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	* 0	. .
<i>Campanula</i> sp. Campanulaceae (bellflower)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Castanea sativa</i> Fagaceae (Spanish chestnut)	*	*	*	*	*	1023
<i>Casuarina stricta</i> Casuarinaceae (coast beefwood)	0	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Catalpa speciosa</i> Bignoniaceae (northern catalpa)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Ceanothus griseus</i> Rhamnaceae (Carmel creeper)	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Ceanothus integerimus</i> Rhamnaceae (deer brush)	0	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Ceanothus maritimus</i> Rhamnaceae	*	*	*	*	*	866
<i>Ceanothus</i> 'Ray Hartman' Rhamnaceae (wild lilac)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Ceanothus</i> sp. Rhamnaceae (wild lilac)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Centaurea cyanus</i> Compositae (Montana blue)	0	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i> Leguminosae (carob)	+	+	+	+	+	. .
<i>Cercis canadensis</i> Leguminosae (eastern redbud)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Cercis occidentalis</i> Leguminosae (western redbud)	0	0	0	0	+	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
Chamaerops humilis Arecaceae (Mediterranean fan palm)	0	0	0	0	+	.
Choisya ternata Rutaceae (Mexican orange)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Chrysanthemum frutescens Compositae (yellow marguerite)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Chrysanthemum morifolium Compositae (florists' chrysanthemum)	0	0	0	0	+	.
Cinnamomum camphora Lauraceae (camphor tree)	*	*	*	*	*	529
Cissus rhombifolia Vitaceae (grape ivy)	0	0	0	0	+	.
Cistus carbariensis Cistaceae (rock rose)	*	*	*	*	*	818
Citrus limoni Rutaceae (Meyer lemon)	+	*	*	*	*	614 600
Citrus paradisi Rutaceae (marsh grapefruit)	0 0	* 0	* 0	* +	* +	907 .
Citrus sinensis Rutaceae (navel orange)	+	*	*	*	*	935 .
Citrus sinensis Rutaceae (tangerine)	0 0	* 0	* 0	* 0	* +	269 .
Citrus sinensis Rutaceae (valencia orange)	0 0	* 0	* 0	* +	* +	1114 .
Clematis ligusticifolia Ranunculaceae (western clematis)	0	0	0	+	+	.
Codiaeum aucubaefolium Euphorbiaceae (croton)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Coffea arabica Rubiaceae (coffee)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Coleus hybridus Labiatae (coleus)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Convolvulus arvensis Convolvulaceae (field morning glory)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Cornus florida Cornaceae (flowering dogwood)	+	*	*	died	.	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Cornus stolonifera</i> Cornaceae (red-osier dogwood)	0	*	*	*	*	died
<i>Corylus avellana</i> Betulaceae (European filbert)	*	*	*	*	*	903
<i>Corylus cornuta</i> Betulaceae (western hazelnut)	*	*	*	*	*	831
<i>Cotinus coggygria</i> Anacardiaceae (smoke tree)	*	*	*	*	*	1013
<i>Cotoneaster horizontalis</i> Rosaceae (rock cotoneaster)	+	*	*	*	*	285
<i>Crataegus monogyna</i> Rosaceae (one-seed hawthorn)	*	*	*	*	*	557
<i>Crossandra infundibuliformis</i> Acanthaceae (crossandra)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Cyclamen persicum</i> Primulaceae (florists' cyclamen)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Cytisus scoparius</i> Leguminosae (Scotch broom)	+	*	*	*	*	545
<i>Daphne odora</i> Thymeliaceae (winter daphne)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Delphinium elatum</i> Ranunculaceae (larkspur)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Dianthus caryophyllus</i> Caryophyllaceae (carnation)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Dicentra spectabilis</i> Fumariaceae (common bleeding heart)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Digitalis purpurea</i> Scrophulariaceae (common foxglove)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Diospyros virginiana</i> Ebenaceae (persimmon)	+	*	*	*	*	853
<i>Dipsacus sylvestris</i> Dipsacaceae (common teasel)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Dizygotheca elegantissima</i> Araliaceae (threadleaf false aralia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Echinops exaltatus</i> Compositae (globe thistle)	+	+	+	+	+	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Epimedium rubrum</i> Berberidaceae (bishop's hat)	0	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> Rosaceae (loquat)	0	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Eriogonum giganteum</i> Polygonaceae (St. Catherine's Lace)	+	*	*	*	*	441
<i>Eriogonum grande</i> Polygonaceae (red buckwheat)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Eriogonum hybridum</i> Polygonaceae	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Eriogonum latifolium</i> Polygonaceae	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i> Polygonaceae (sulfur flower)	+	*	*	*	*	467
<i>Eriogonum wrightii</i> Polygonaceae	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Escallonia laevis</i> Saxifragaceae (pink escallonia)	0	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Eschscholzia californica</i> Papaveraceae (California poppy)	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Eucalyptus botrioides</i> Myrtaceae	*	*	*	died		.
<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> Myrtaceae (red gum)	*	*	*	*	*	879
<i>Eucalyptus camphora</i> Myrtaceae	+	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Eucalyptus cinerea</i> Myrtaceae (silver dollar eucalyptus)	*	*	*	*	*	1719
<i>Eucalyptus diversifolia</i> Myrtaceae	+	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i> Myrtaceae (dwarf blue gum)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Eucalyptus gunnii</i> Myrtaceae (cider gum)	*	*	*	*	*	1463
<i>Eucalyptus leucoxylon</i> Myrtaceae (white ironbark)	+	*	*	*	*	546
<i>Eucalyptus polyanthemus</i> Myrtaceae (silver dollar gum)	+	+	+	+	*	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Eucalyptus pulchella</i> Myrtaceae (white peppermint)	*	*	*	*	*	804
<i>Eucalyptus rudis</i> Myrtaceae (desert gum)	+	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i> Myrtaceae (red ironbark)	* 0	* 0	* 0	* died 0	* +	. .
<i>Euonymus japonica</i> Celastraceae (evergreen euonymus)	+	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Euphorbia milii</i> Euphorbiaceae (crown of thorns)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> Fagaceae (European beech)	*	*	*	*	*	715
<i>Fatsia japonica</i> Araliaceae (Japanese aralia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Ficus benjamina</i> Moraceae (weeping Chinese banyan)	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	. .
<i>Ficus carica</i> Moraceae (old mission fig)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Forsythia intermedia</i> Oleaceae (forsythia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Fraxinus latifolia</i> Oleaceae (Oregon ash)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Fremontodendron californicum</i> Sterculiaceae (California glory)	*	*	*	*	*	1127
<i>Fuchsia hybrida</i> Onagraceae (hybrid fuchsia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Gaillardia aristata</i> Compositae (Burgundy gaillardia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Galium aparine</i> Rubiaceae (cleavers)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Gardenia jasminoides</i> Rubiaceae (gardenia)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Garrya fremontii</i> Garryaceae (silk-tassel)	0	0	0	+	+	.
<i>Gaultheria shallon</i> Ericaceae (salal)	* *	* *	* *	* *	* *	1373 661

APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Gelsemium sempervirens</i> Loganiaceae (Carolina jessamine)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Geum quellyon</i> Rosaceae (Mrs. Bradshaw)	+	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> Leguminosae (honey locust)	0	0	*	*	*	.
<i>Grevillea 'noellii'</i> Proteaceae (grevillea)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Grevillea robusta</i> Proteaceae (silk oak)	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Halesia carolina</i> Styracaceae (silver bell)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Hebe anomala</i> Scrophulariaceae (hebe)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Hedera helix</i> Araliaceae (English ivy)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Heliotropium arborescens</i> Boraginaceae (common heliotrope)	0	.	.	.	.	.
<i>Helleborus orientalis</i> Ranunculaceae (lenten rose)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i> Rosaceae (toyon)	+	*	*	*	*	237
<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> Malvaceae (Chinese hibiscus)	0	*	*	*	*	511
<i>Holodiscus discolor</i> Rosaceae (ocean spray)	0	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Humulus lupulus</i> Cannabinaceae (hops)	0	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i> Hydrangeaceae (bigleaf hydrangea)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Hydrophyllum occidentale</i> Hydrophyllaceae (waterleaf)	0	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Hypericum moserianum</i> Hypericaceae (gold flower)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Iberis</i> sp. Cruciferae (candytuft)	0	0	0	0	0	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Ilex aquifolium</i> Aquifoliaceae (English holly)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Impatiens</i> sp. Balsamaceae (touch-me-not)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Jasminum nudiflorum</i> Oleaceae (winter jasmine)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Juglans nigra</i> Juglandaceae (black walnut)	+	*	*	*	*	685
<i>Laburnum watereri</i> Leguminosae (golden chain tree)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i> Malpighiaceae (crepe myrtle)	0	*	*	*	*	328
<i>Lampranthus spectabilis</i> Aizoaceae (trailing ice plant)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Lantana montevidensis</i> Verbenaceae (lantana)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Lavatera assurgentiflora</i> Malvaceae (tree mallow)	*	*	*	*	*	752
<i>Ligustrum japonicum</i> Oleaceae (Japanese privet)	0	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i> Oleaceae (glossy privet)	0	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Ligustrum 'Vicaryi'</i> Oleaceae (Vicary golden privet)	0	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Limnanthes x alba</i> Limnanthaceae (meadow foam)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i> Hamamelidaceae (sweet gum)	*	*	*	*	*	819
<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i> Magnoliaceae (tulip tree)	0	0	0	+	+	.
<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i> Fagaceae (tan oak)	*	*	*	*	*	1203
	0	0	*	*	*	.
<i>Lithodora diffusa</i> Boraginaceae (lithospermum)	0	*	*	*	*	521
<i>Lobelia erinus</i> Lobeliaceae (lobelia)	0	0	.	.	.	.

APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Lonicera involucrata</i> Caprifoliaceae (twinberry)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Lupinus Russell Hybrids</i> Leguminosae (Russell lupine)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Lychnis coronaria</i> Caryophyllaceae (agrostemma, blood red)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i> Solanaceae (tomato)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Lyonothamnus floribundus</i> Rosaceae (Catalina ironwood)	*	*	*	*	*	1120
<i>Magnolia soulangiana</i> Magnoliaceae (rustica rubra)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Malva neglecta</i> Malvaceae (mallow)	*	*	*	*	died	.
<i>Marah oreganus</i> Cucurbitaceae (bigroot)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Matricaria matricarioides</i> Compositae (pineapple weed)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Medicago sativa</i> Leguminosae (alfalfa)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Melaleuca decussata</i> Myrtaceae (lilac melaleuca)	*	*	*	*	*	1072
<i>Melissa officinalis</i> Labiatae (lemon balm)	0	*	*	*	*	died
<i>Mentha piperita</i> Labiatae (peppermint)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Mimulus bifidus</i> Scrophulariaceae (Plumas monkey flower)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Morus alba</i> Moraceae (fruitless mulberry)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Myoporum laetum</i> Myoporaceae	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Myoporum parvifolium</i> Myoporaceae (Putah creek)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Myosotis sylvatica</i> Boraginaceae (forget-me-not)	0	0	0	0	0	.



APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Myrica californica</i> Myricaceae (Pacific waxmyrtle)	*	*	*	*	*	458
<i>Nandina domestica</i> Berberidaceae (heavenly bamboo)	0	0	0	0	+	.
	0	0	0	+	+	.
<i>Nemesia strumosa</i> Scrophulariaceae (dwarf mix)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Nerium oleander</i> Apocynaceae (oleander)	0	0	0	0	+	.
	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Oemleria cerasiformis</i> Rosaceae (Indian plum)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Oenothera missouriensis</i> Onagraceae	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Olea europaea</i> Oleaceae (olive)	0	0	0	0	0	.
	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Origanum majorana</i> Labiatae (marjoram)	*	*	*	*	*	427
<i>Osmarea burkwoodii</i> Oleaceae (osmarea)	+	.	.	.	.	.
	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Osteospermum fruticosum</i> Compositae (African daisy)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Oxalis regnellii</i> Oxalidaceae (oxalis)	0	0	0	+	+	.
<i>Paeonia albiflora</i> Paeoniaceae (peony)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Papaver orientale</i> Papaveraceae (oriental poppy)	0	*	*	*	*	410
<i>Paulownia tomentosa</i> Bignoniaceae (empress tree)	0	0	0	+	+	.
<i>Pelargonium domesticum</i> Geraniaceae (Lady Washington pelargonium)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Pelargonium hortorum</i> Geraniaceae (common geranium)	0	0	*	*	*	.
<i>Peperomia obtusifolia</i> Piperaceae (astrid peperomia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Pereskia grandifolia</i> Cactaceae (rose cactus)	0	0	0	0	.	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Persea americana</i> Lauraceae (zutano avocado)	+	+	+	+	+	750
<i>Petunia hybrida</i> Solanaceae (common garden petunia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Philadelphus</i> sp. Hydrangeaceae (mock orange)	0	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Phlox subulata</i> Polemoniaceae (creeping phlox)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Photinia glabra</i> Rosaceae (Japanese photinia)	*	*	*	*	*	1086
<i>Pieris japonica</i> Ericaceae (lily-of-the-valley)	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	. .
<i>Pistacia vera</i> Anacardiaceae (pistachio)	*	*	*	*	*	1075
<i>Pittosporum tobira</i> Pittosporaceae (tobira)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Plantago lanceolata</i> Plantaginaceae (plantain)	0	*	*	*	*	died
<i>Platanus racemosa</i> Platanaceae (California sycamore)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Polygonum</i> sp. Polygonaceae (knotweed)	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Populus fremontii</i> Salicaceae (Fremont cottonwood)	*	*	*	*	*	465
<i>Populus nigra 'italica'</i> Salicaceae (Lombardy poplar)	*	*	*	*	*	1413
<i>Populus tremuloides</i> Salicaceae (quaking aspen)	*	*	*	*	*	589
<i>Populus trichocarpa</i> Salicaceae (black cottonwood)	*	*	*	*	*	658
<i>Portulaca grandiflora</i> Portulacaceae (rose moss)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Primula polyantha</i> Primulaceae (polyanthus primula)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Prunus glandulosa</i> Rosaceae (dwarf flowering almond)	+	*	*	*	*	322

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Prunus illicifolia</i> x <i>lyoni</i> Rosaceae (hollyleaf cherry)	+	+	+	+	+	.
	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i> Rosaceae (English laurel)	*	*	*	*	*	671
<i>Prunus lyoni</i> Rosaceae	+	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Prunus persica</i> Rosaceae (heavenly white nectarine)	0	+	+	.	.	.
<i>Prunus persica</i> Rosaceae (tilton apricot)	*	*	*	*	*	1459
<i>Prunus persica</i> Rosaceae (peach)	0	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Prunus salicina</i> Rosaceae (Santa Rosa plum)	*	*	*	*	*	809
<i>Prunus virginiana</i> Rosaceae (common chokecherry)	*	*	*	*	*	750
<i>Pulmonaria angustifolia</i> Boraginaceae (cowslip lungwort)	0	0	+	+	.	.
<i>Punica granatum</i> Punicaceae (pomegranate, 'wonderful')	+	*	*	*	*	418
<i>Pyracantha coccinea</i> Rosaceae (fire thorn)	*	*	*	*	*	558
<i>Pyrus communis</i> Rosaceae (pear)	*	*	*	*	*	672
<i>Pyrus malus</i> Rosaceae (apple)	*	*	*	*	*	912
<i>Quercus agrifolia</i> Fagaceae (coast live oak)	*	*	*	*	*	1455
	+	*	*	*	*	823
<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i> Fagaceae (canyon live oak)	*	*	*	*	*	2045
	+	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Quercus douglasii</i> Fagaceae (blue oak)	*	*	*	*	*	557
<i>Quercus engelmannii</i> Fagaceae (Engelmann oak)	*	*	*	*	*	1464
	*	*	*	*	*	752
<i>Quercus garryana</i> Fagaceae (Oregon white oak)	*	*	*	*	*	1951

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Quercus kelloggii</i> Fagaceae (California red oak)	*	*	*	*	*	958
<i>Quercus lobata</i> Fagaceae (valley oak)	*	*	*	*	*	1579
<i>Quercus rubra</i> Fagaceae (red oak)	*	*	*	*	*	837
<i>Quercus suber</i> Fagaceae	*	*	*	*	*	1443
<i>Quercus wislizenii</i> Fagaceae (interior live oak)	0	*	*	*	*	905
<i>Raphanus</i> sp. Brassicaceae (radish)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Raphiolepis ballevera</i> Rosaceae (Indian hawthorn)	*	*	*	*	*	1009
	+	*	*	*	*	1020
<i>Raphiolepis indica</i> Rosaceae (India hawthorn)	0	*	*	*	*	787
<i>Rhamnus californica</i> Rhamnaceae (coffeeberry)	0	0	+	+	+	.
<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i> Rhamnaceae (cascara)	*	*	*	*	*	463
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp. Ericaceae (azalea)	*	*	*	*	*	530
<i>Rhododendron</i> sp. Ericaceae (PJM rhododendron)	+	*	*	*	*	234
	*	*	*	*	*	250
<i>Rhus diversiloba</i> Anacardiaceae (poison oak)	*	*	*	*	*	1254
<i>Rhus typhina</i> Anacardiaceae (staghorn sumac)	*	*	*	*	*	1428
<i>Ribes</i> sp. Grossulariaceae (black currant)	*	*	*	*	*	813
<i>Ribes alpinum</i> Grossulariaceae (alpine currant)	+	+	+	.	.	.
<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i> Leguminosae (black locust)	+	*	*	*	*	1178
<i>Rosa</i> sp. Rosaceae (bajazzo)	*	*	*	*	*	1106

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
Rosa sp. Rosaceae (climbing rose)	*	*	*	*	*	501
Rosa sp. Rosaceae (mon cheri)	*	*	*	*	*	734
Rosa sp. Rosaceae (show biz)	*	*	*	*	*	612
Rosa eglandaria Rosaceae (sweetbrier)	*	*	*	*	*	666
Rubus sp. Rosaceae (red raspberry)	0	*	*	*	*	724
Rubus discolor Rosaceae (Himalayan blackberry)	0	+	+	+	+	.
Rubus parviflorus Rosaceae (thimbleberry)	+	*	*	*	*	597
Rubus spectabilis Rosaceae (salmonberry)	+	*	*	*	*	352
Rudbeckia hirta Compositae (rustic colors)	0	0	+	+	+	.
Rumex crispus Polygonaceae (curly dock)	*	*	*	*	*	492
Saintpaulia ionantha Gesneriaceae (African violet)	0	0	0	0	0	.
Salix alba tristis Salicaceae (golden weeping willow)	*	*	*	*	*	1586
Salix babylonica Salicaceae (corkscrew willow)	*	*	*	*	*	1083
Salix discolor Salicaceae (pussy willow)	*	*	*	*	*	1018
Salix lasiolepis Salicaceae	*	*	*	*	*	1003
Salix scouleriana Salicaceae (scouler willow)	*	*	*	*	*	975
Salvia clevelandii Labiatae	0	+	+	+	+	.
Salvia greggii Labiatae	0	0	0	0	0	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Salvia leucophylla</i> Labiatae (purple sage)	*	*	*	died		.
<i>Salvia officinalis</i> Labiatae (sage)	+	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Salvia sclarea</i> Labiatae (Clary sage)	0	+	+	+	*	.
<i>Salvia sonorensis</i> Labiatae	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Salvia uliginosa</i> Labiatae	0	0	0	+	+	.
<i>Schefflera arboricola</i> Araliaceae (Hawaiian elf schefflera)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Schinus molle</i> Anacardiaceae (California peppertree)	*	*	*	*	*	1065
<i>Sedum morganianum</i> Crassulaceae (donkeytail)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Senecio jacobae</i> Compositae (tansy ragwort)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Skimmia japonica</i> Rutaceae (skimmia)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Solanum dulcamara</i> Solanaceae (bittersweet)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Solanum jasminoides</i> Solanaceae (potato vine)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Solanum rantonnetii</i> Solanaceae (blue potato vine)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Sorbus aucuparia</i> Rosaceae (European mountain.ash)	*	*	*	*	*	542
<i>Spartium junceum</i> Leguminosae (Spanish broom)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Spiraea</i> sp. Rosaceae	+	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Stewartia ovata</i> Theaceae (mountain stewartia)	*	*	*	*	*	622
<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i> Caprifoliaceae (common snowberry)	0	0	0	+	+	.

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Syringa vulgaris</i> Oleaceae (common lilac)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Tagetes erecta</i> Compositae (American marigold)	+	*	*	*	died	.
<i>Taraxacum officinale</i> Compositae (common dandelion)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Thymus vulgaris</i> Labiatae (common thyme)	*	*	*	*	died	.
<i>Tibouchina urvilleana</i> Melastomataceae (princess flower)	0	0	.	.	.	.
<i>Tilia americana</i> Tiliaceae (American linden)	*	*	*	*	*	691
<i>Tilia cordata</i> Tiliaceae (little-leaf linden)	*	*	*	*	*	687
<i>Tolmiea menziesii</i> Saxifragaceae (piggy-back plant)	+	*	*	*	*	1210
<i>Trachycarpus fortunei</i> Arecaceae (windmill palm)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Ulmus americana</i> Ulmaceae (American elm)	*	*	*	*	*	950
<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i> Ulmaceae (chinese elm)	*	*	*	*	*	1158
<i>Umbellularia californica</i> Lauraceae (California laurel)	+	+	+	+	+	.
<i>Urtica dioica</i> Urticaceae (stinging nettle)	+	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Vaccinium corymbosum</i> Ericaceae (blueberry)	*	*	*	*	*	889
<i>Vaccinium parvifolium</i> Ericaceae (red blueberry)	*	*	*	*	*	749
<i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea minus</i> Ericaceae (lingon berry)	*	*	*	*	*	350
<i>Veronica teucrium</i> Scrophulariaceae (royal blue speedwell)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Viburnum ellipticum</i> Caprifoliaceae (oval-leafed viburnum)	0	*	*	*	*	708

## APPENDIX I continued.

Genus species Family (Common name)	Instar					P
	I	II	III	IV	V	
<i>Viburnum rhytidophyllum</i> Caprifoliaceae (leatherleaf viburnum)	+	*	*	*	*	451
<i>Vicia</i> sp. Leguminosae (vetch)	+	+	*	*	*	.
<i>Vinca minor</i> Apocynaceae (dwarf periwinkle)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Viola wittrockiana</i> Violaceae (tricolor pansy)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> Vitaceae (cabernet savignon)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> Vitaceae (concord grape)	0	0	0	0	+	.
<i>Vitis vinifera</i> Vitaceae (Thompson's seedless)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Weigela florida</i> Caprifoliaceae (common weigela)	0	0	0	0	0	.
<i>Wisteria floribunda</i> Leguminosae (Japanese wisteria)	+	+	.	.	.	.
<i>Zelkova serrata</i> Ulmaceae (sawleaf zelkova)	+	*	*	*	*	died



## APPENDIX II continued.

<b>ASTERACEAE (VI-Asterales)</b>			
Achillea 2	A:pi,pd;S	*	1
Artemisia 2	A;D;S	0	1,2
Aster 1	A;S	0	1
Baccharis 1	A	0	1
Centaurea 1	A;S	0	1
Chrysanthemum 3	A:pd;S	0	1,2
Echinops 1	A:qn	0	1
Gaillardia 1	A;S	0	1
Helianthus 1	A	0	2
Matricaria 1	A;S	0	1
Osteospermum 1		0	1
Rudbeckia 1	A	0	1
Santolina 1		0	2
Senecio 1	A:pz,qz;S	0	1
Tagetes 1	H	0	1
Taraxacum 1	S	0	1
Tussilago 1	A:pz	0	3
<b>BALSAMINACEAE (V-Geraniales)</b>			
Impatiens 1	A;C;R	0	1
<b>BEGONIACEAE (IV-Violales)</b>			
Begonia 2	C	0	1,3
<b>BERBERIDACEAE (I-Ranunculales)</b>			
Berberis 3	A:is;C	+	1,2,3
Epimedium 1	A:is;H	0	1
Mahonia 2	A:is;C	+	1,2,3
Nandina 1	A:is;(C)	0	1
<b>BETULACEAE (II-Fagales)</b>			
Alnus 6	A;C,H	+	1,2,3,4
Betula 12	C,H	+	1,2,3,4
Carpinus 2	C,H	+	3,4
Corylus 5	C,H	+	1,2,3,4
Ostrya 2	C,(H)	+	3,4
<b>BIGNONIACEAE (VI-Scrophulariales)</b>			
Catalpa 1	A;l	0	1,4
Paulownia 1	l	0	1
<b>BORAGINACEAE (VI-Lamiales)</b>			
Heliotropum 1	A:pz	0	1
Lithodora 1		0	1
Myosotis 1		0	1
Pulmonaria 1		0	1
<b>BRASSICACEAE (IV-Capparales)</b>			
Arabis 1	A;G	0	1
Brassica 1	A:is;G	0	1,2
Iberis 1	G	0	1,2
Raphanus 1	G	0	1
<b>BUDDLEJACEAE (VI-Scrophulariales)</b>			
Buddleja 1	A;l	0	1
<b>BUXACEAE (V-Euphorbiales)</b>			
Buxus 2	A:is,st	0	1,2,3
Sarcococca 1	A:st	0	2
<b>CACTACEAE (III-Caryophyllales)</b>			
Pereskia 1		0	1
<b>CALYCANTHACEAE (I-Laurales)</b>			
Calycanthus 4	A:i	0	2,3
<b>CAMPANULACEAE (VI-Campanulales)</b>			
Campanula 1	A	0	1
Lobelia 1	A:pi	0	1

APPENDIX II. Results of gypsy moth feeding studies and allelochemic records of the test plants. PLANT SUBCLASSES: I=Magnoliidae, II=Hamamelidae, III=Caryophyllidae, IV=Dilleniidae, V=Rosidae, VI=Asteridae. ALLELOCHEMICS: A=alkaloid, a=alkaloid amine, i=indole, is=isoquinoline, p=purine, pi=pyridine, pd=pyrrolidine, pz=pyrrolizidine, q=quinazoline, qn=quinoline, qz=quinolizidine, st=steroid. Terpenoids: D=diterpenoid, I=iridoid monoterpene, S=sesquiterpene. Tannins: C=condensed tannins, H=hydrolyzable tannins. Other compounds: G=glucosinolate, R=raphide. ( )=presence of specified allelochemical inferred. GYPSY MOTH RESPONSE: +=development from instar I, (0)=development from instar II, 0=no development by instars I or II, \*=response was different among congeneric plant species. REFERENCES: 1=Miller and Hanson, this study; 2=Edwards and Fusco 1979; 3=Kurir 1953; 4=Mosher 1915; #'=discrepant results for plant genus among authors.

Family (Order) Genus, # spp. tested	Allelo- chemics	Gypsy moth response	Reference
<b>ACANTHACEAE (VI-Scrophulariales)</b>			
Acanthus 1	A	0	2
Crossandra 1		0	1
Justicia 1	A;q	0	2
<b>ACERACEAE (V-Sapindales)</b>			
Acer 17	A;i;C,H	*	1,2,3,4
<b>ACTINIDIACEAE (IV-Theales)</b>			
Actinidia 1	A;C,H;R	0	1
<b>AIZOACEAE (III-Caryophyllales)</b>			
Lampranthus 1	C;R	0	1
<b>ANACARDIACEAE (V-Sapindales)</b>			
Cotinus 1	C,H	+	1,2
Pistacia 2	C,(H)	+	1,2
Rhus 8	A;C,(H)	+	1,2,3,4
Schinus 1	C,H	+	1
<b>APIACEAE (V-Apiales)</b>			
Petroselinum 1	A	0	2
<b>APOCYNACEAE (VI-Gentianales)</b>			
Carissa 1	A;i;C	+	2
Nerium 1	A;(C)	0	1,2
Vinca 2	A;i;(C);I	0	1,2
<b>AQUIFOLIACEAE (V-Celastrales)</b>			
Ilex 7	A;p,pi	0	1,2,3,4
<b>ARALIACEAE (V-Apiales)</b>			
Aralia 3	A;p	0	1,2,4
Fatsia 1		0	1,3
Hedera 1		0	1,2
Schefflera 1		0	1
<b>ARISTOLOCHIACEAE (I-Aristolochiales)</b>			
Aristolochia 1	A:is	0	1

## APPENDIX II continued.

ERICACEAE (IV-Ericales)			
Arbutus 2	C,H;I	+	1,2
Arctostaphylos 8	(C),H;I	+	1,2
Gaultheria 1	C,(H)	+	1,2
Gaylussacia 1	C,(H)	0	4
Kalmia 2	(C,H);D	0	4
Ledum 1	C,H	0	3
Lyonia 1	(C,H);D	0	4
Pieris 1	C,H;D	0	1
Rhododendron 6	A:pi;C;D;I	0	1,2,3,4
Vaccinium 7	C,(H);I	+	1,2,4
EUPHORBIACEAE (V-Euphorbiales)			
Anadrachne 1	C,(H)	0	3
Codiaeum 1	A;C,(H)	0	1
Euphorbia 1	A;C,H	0	1
FAGACEAE (II-Fagales)			
Castanea 3	(C),H	+	1,3,4
Fagus 4	A;C,H	+	1,3,4
Lithocarpus 1	(C,H)	+	1,2
Quercus 30	C,H	+	1,2,3,4
FUMARIACEAE (I-Papaverales)			
Dicentra 1	A:is	0	1
GARRYACEAE (V-Cornales)			
Garrya 1	A;I	0	1
GERANIACEAE (V-Geraniales)			
Pelargonium 3		0	1,3
GESNERIACEAE (VI-Scrophulariales)			
Saintpaulia 1		0	1
GROSSULARIACEAE (V-Rosales)			
Escallonia 2	C;I	0	1,2
Ribes 9	C,H	*	1,2,3,4
HAMAMELIDACEAE (II-Hamamelidales)			
Corylopsis 1	C,H	+	3
Hamamelis 1	C,H	+	4
Liquidambar 1	C,H;I	+	1,2,4
HIPPOCASTANACEAE (V-Sapindales)			
Aesculus 3	A:pi;C	0	1,2,3
HYDRANGEACEAE (V-Rosales)			
Hydrangea 1	A;q;C;I;R	0	1
Jamesia 1	(C)	+	3
Philadelphus 2	C	0	1,3
HYDROPHYLLACEAE (VI-Solanales)			
Hydrophyllum 1		0	1
JUGLANDACEAE (II-Juglandales)			
Carya 6	C,(H)	+	2,3,4
Juglans 4	A:pi;C,H	+	1,2,3,4
LAMIACEAE (VI-Lamiales)			
Ajuga 1	A;D;I	0	1
Coleus 1	D	0	1
Melissa 1	D	0	1
Origanum 1	A	0	1
Rosmarinus 1	A;D	0	2
Salvia 8	A;D	0	1,2

## APPENDIX II continued.

CANNABACEAE (II-Urticales)			
Humulus 1	A:is;C,H;S	0	1
CAPRIFOLIACEAE (VI-Dipsacales)			
Abelia 1	C;I	0	1,2
Diervilla 1	(C)	0	4
Lonicera 6	A;(C);I	0	1,2,3
Sambucus 3	A:pi;(C);I	0	3,4
Symphoricarpos 2	A;(C);I	0	1,3
Viburnum 12	A;C;I	*	1,2,3,4
Weigela 2	(C);I	0	1,3
CARYOPHYLLACEAE (III-Caryophyllales)			
Dianthus 1	A	0	1
Lychnis 1		0	1
CASUARINACEAE (II-Casuarinales)			
Casuarina 1	C,H	(0)	1,2
CELASTRACEAE (V-Celastrales)			
Euonymus 3	A;C,H	*	1,2,3
Maytenus 1	A:p;(C)	0	2
CHENOPODIACEAE (III-Caryophyllales)			
Atriplex 1	A:a,pi	0	1
Beta 1	A:a,p	0	1
CISTACEAE (IV-Violales)			
Cistus 1	C,H;S	+	1
CLETHRACEAE (IV-Ericales)			
Clethra 1	C,H	0	4
CLUSIACEAE (IV-Theales)			
Hypericum 1	A;C,H	0	1
CONVOLVULACEAE (VI-Solanales)			
Convovulus 2	A:pd	0	1,2
CORNACEAE (V-Cornales)			
Aucuba 1	I	0	1,2
Cornus 6	A;H;I	0	1,2,3,4
CRASSULACEAE (V-Rosales)			
Crassula 1	C,H	0	2
Sedum 1	A:pi;C,(H)	0	1
CUCURBITACEAE (IV-Violales)			
Citrullus 1		0	2
Cucumis 1	A	0	2
Marah 1	A	0	1
DIPSACACEAE (VI-Dipsacales)			
Dipsacus 1	A;I	0	1
EBENACEAE (IV-Ebenales)			
Diospyros 1	A;C,H	+	1,2,4
ELEAGNACEAE (V-Proteales)			
Elaeagnus 1	A:i;(C),H	0	3
Hippophae 1	A:i;C,H	+	3
Shepherdia 1	(C,H)	+	3

## APPENDIX II continued.

<b>MYRTACEAE (V-Myrtales)</b>			
Callistemon 2	A;C,H	0	1,2
Eucalyptus 17	C,H;S	*	1,2
Eugenia 1	A;C,H;S	0	2
Feijoa 1	C	0	2
Leptospermum 1	A;(C,H);S	0	2
Melaleuca 2	A;C,H;S	*	1,2
Myrtus 1	A;C,H	0	2
<b>NYCTAGINACEAE (III-Caryophyllales)</b>			
Bougainvillea 2	A;R	0	1,2
<b>NYSSACEAE (V-Cornales)</b>			
Davidia 1	(C),H;I	0	1
Nyssa 1	(C,H)	+	4
<b>OLEACEAE (VI-Scrophulariales)</b>			
Chionanthus 1		0	3
Fontanesia 2	A;I	0	3
Forsythia 2	A;I	0	1,3
Fraxinus 5	A;I	0	1,2,3,4
Jasminum 1	A;I	0	1
Ligustrum 5	A;I	0	1,2,3,4
Olea 2	A;I	0	1,2
Osmanthus 1	A;I	0	2
Ismarea 1		0	1
Syringa 2	A;I	0	1,3
<b>ONAGRACEAE (V-Myrtales)</b>			
Fuchsia 3	H;R	0	1,2,3
Oenothera 1	H;R	0	1
<b>OXALIDACEAE (V-Geraniales)</b>			
Oxalis 1	A;C,H	0	1
<b>PAEONIACEAE (IV-Dilleniales)</b>			
Paeonia 1		0	1
<b>PAPAVERACEAE (I-Papaverales)</b>			
Eschscholzia 1	A:is	0	1
Papaver 1	A:is	(0)	1
<b>PIPERACEAE (I-Piperales)</b>			
Peperomia 1	A;(C)	0	1
<b>PITTOSPORACEAE (V-Rosales)</b>			
Pittosporum 2	A;(C);S	0	1,2
<b>PLANTAGINACEAE (VI-Plantaginales)</b>			
Plantago 1	A;I	0	1
<b>PLATANACEAE (II-Hamamelidales)</b>			
Platanus 2	C,H	0	1,2,3,4
<b>PLUMBAGINACEAE (III-Plumbaginales)</b>			
Armeria 1	C,H	+	1
<b>POLEMONIACEAE (VI-Solanales)</b>			
Phlox 1	A	0	1
<b>POLYGONACEAE (III-Polygonales)</b>			
Eriogonum 6	C,(H)	0	1
Polygonon 1	A;C,H	0	1
Rumex 1	A:pi;C,H	0	1,2,4
<b>PORTULACACEAE (III-Caryophyllales)</b>			
Portulaca 1	A:a;(C)	0	1
<b>PRIMULACEAE (IV-Primulales)</b>			
Cyclamen 1	C,H	0	1,3
Primula 2	A;C,H	0	1,3

## APPENDIX II continued.

## LAURACEAE (I-Laurales)

Cinnamomum 1	A:is;C;D;S	+	1,2
Laurus 1	A:is;C;S	0	2
Lindera 1	A:is;C;S	0	4
Persea 1	A;C	(0)	1,2
Sassafras 1	(C)	+	4
Umbellularia 1	A;C	0	1,2

## LEGUMINOSAE (V-Fabales)

Acacia 3	A:a,i,pi;C,H	*	1,2
Albizzia 1	A:a,i;(C),H	0	1
Calliandra 1	A;C,(H)	+	2
Ceratonia 1	A;C,(H)	0	1,2
Cercis 2	C,H	0	1,2
Cladastris 1	A;qz;C	0	3
Coronilla 1	A;qz;C,H	0	3
Cytisus 3	A:a,pi,pz,qz;(C,H)	0	1,2,3
Erythrina 1	A;i;C	0	2
Genista 1	A:pi,qz;(C,H)	0	2
Gleditsia 1	A:a,p,qz;C	0	1,3,4
Gymnocladus 1	(C)	0	4
Halimodendron 1	(C)	0	3
Laburnum 2	A:pz,qz;(C,H)	0	1,3
Lupinus 1	A:i,pi,qz;(C,H)	0	1
Medicago 1	A:pi,pd;(C,H)	0	1,2
Robinia 1	C,H	(0)	1,3,4
Spartium 1	A;qz;(C)	0	1,3
Wisteria 1	C	0	1,2

## LIMNANTHACEAE (V-Geraniales)

Limnanthes 1	C,(H);G	0	1
--------------	---------	---	---

## LOGANIACEAE (VI-Gentianales)

Gelsemium 1	A:i	0	1,2
-------------	-----	---	-----

## LYTHRACEAE (V-Myrtales)

Lagerstroemia 1	A;H	(0)	1,2
-----------------	-----	-----	-----

## MAGNOLIACEAE (I-Magnoliales)

Liriodendron 1	A:is;(C);S	0	1,2,3,4
Magnolia 3	A:is;C;S	0	1,2,3

## MALVACEAE (IV-Malvales)

Abutilon 2	A;(C,H)	0	2
Althaea 1	A;(C)	+	3
Hibiscus 1	A;C	0	1,2
Lavatera 1	A;(C,H)	(0)	1,2

## MELASTOMACEAE (V-Myrtales)

Tibouchina 1	A;C,H	0	1
--------------	-------	---	---

## MENISPERMACEAE (I-Ranunculales)

Cocculus 1	A:i,is	0	2
------------	--------	---	---

## MORACEAE (II-Urticales)

Ficus 2	A:pd;C	0	1,2,3
Maclura 2	(C)	0	3,4
Morus 3	A:pi;(C)	0	1,3

## MYOPORACEAE (VI-Scrophulariales)

Myoporum 3	A;l	0	1,2
------------	-----	---	-----

## MYRICACEAE (II-Myricales)

Myrica 3	A;C,H	+	1,2,4
----------	-------	---	-------

## MYRSINACEAE (IV-Primulales)

Ardisia 1	C,H	0	1
-----------	-----	---	---

## APPENDIX III continued.

staghorn sumac	<i>Rhus typhina</i>
stewartia, mountain	<i>Stewartia ovata</i>
stinging nettle	<i>Urtica dioica</i>
strawberry tree	<i>Arbutus unedo</i>
sulfur flower	<i>Eriogonum umbellatum</i>
sumac, staghorn	<i>Rhus typhina</i>
sweet gum	<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>
sweetbrier	<i>Rosa eglanteria</i>
Swiss chard	<i>Beta vulgaris</i>
sycamore, California	<i>Platanus racemosa</i>
Sydney golden wattle	<i>Acacia longifolia</i>
tan oak	<i>Lithocarpus densiflorus</i>
tangerine	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>
tansy ragwort	<i>Senecio jacobae</i>
teasel, common	<i>Dipsacus sylvestris</i>
thimbleberry	<i>Rubus parviflorus</i>
thinleaf alder	<i>Alnus tenuifolia</i>
thistle, globe	<i>Echinops exaltatus</i>
Thompson's seedless grapes	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>
threadleaf false aralia	<i>Dizygotheca elegantissima</i>
thyme, common	<i>Thymus vulgaris</i>
tilton apricot	<i>Prunus persica</i>
tobira	<i>Pittosporum tobira</i>
tomato	<i>Lycopersicon esculentum</i>
touch-me-not	<i>Impatiens</i> sp.
toyon	<i>Heteromeles arbutifolia</i>
trailing ice plant	<i>Lampranthus spectabilis</i>
tree mallow	<i>Lavatera assurgentiflora</i>
tricolor pansy	<i>Viola wittrockiana</i>
tulip tree	<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>
twinberry	<i>Lonicera involucrata</i>
valencia orange	<i>Citrus sinensis</i>
valley oak	<i>Quercus lobata</i>
vetch	<i>Vicia</i> sp.
viburnum, leatherleaf	<i>Viburnum rhytidophyllum</i>
viburnum, oval-leaf	<i>Viburnum ellipticum</i>
Vicary golden privet	<i>Ligustrum 'Vicaryi'</i>
vine hill manzanita	<i>Arctostaphylos densiflora</i>
vine maple	<i>Acer circinatum</i>
violet, African	<i>Saintpaulia ionantha</i>
walnut, black	<i>Juglans nigra</i>
waterleaf	<i>Hydrophyllum occidentale</i>
wax begonia	<i>Begonia</i> sp.
waxmyrtle, Pacific	<i>Myrica californica</i>
weeping chinese banyan	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>
weigela, common	<i>Weigela florida</i>
western clematis	<i>Clematis ligusticifolia</i>
western hazelnut	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>
western redbud	<i>Cercis occidentalis</i>
white alder	<i>Alnus rhombifolia</i>
white ironbark	<i>Eucalyptus leucoxylon</i>
white peppermint	<i>Eucalyptus pulchella</i>
wild lilac	<i>Ceanothus 'Ray Hartman'</i>
wild lilac	<i>Ceanothus</i> sp.
William Penn barberry	<i>Berberis gladwynensis</i>
willow, corkscrew	<i>Salix babylonica</i>
willow, golden weeping	<i>Salix alba tristis</i>
willow, pussy	<i>Salix discolor</i>
willow, Scouler	<i>Salix scouleriana</i>
winter daphne	<i>Daphne odora</i>
winter jasmine	<i>Jasminum nudiflorum</i>
wisteria, Japanese	<i>Wisteria floribunda</i>
yarrow	<i>Achillea tomentosa</i>
yarrow, fernleaf	<i>Achillea filipendula</i>
yellow marguerite	<i>Chrysanthemum frutescens</i>
zutano avocado	<i>Persea americana</i>

## APPENDIX III continued.

poplar, Lombardy	<i>Populus nigra 'italica'</i>
poppy, California	<i>Eschscholzia californica</i>
poppy, Oriental	<i>Papaver orientale</i>
potato vine	<i>Solanum jasminoides</i>
potato vine, blue	<i>Solanum rantonnetti</i>
princess flower	<i>Tibouchina urvilleana</i>
privet, glossy	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>
privet, Japanese	<i>Ligustrum japonicum</i>
privet, Vicary golden	<i>Ligustrum 'Vicaryi'</i>
purple sage	<i>Salvia leucophylla</i>
pussy willow	<i>Salix discolor</i>
Putah creek myoporum	<i>Myoporum parvifolium</i>
quail bush	<i>Atriplex lentiformis</i>
quaking aspen	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>
radish	<i>Raphanus sp.</i>
ragwort, tansy	<i>Senecio jacobae</i>
raspberry, red	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>
red alder	<i>Alnus rubra</i>
red blueberry	<i>Vaccinium parvifolium</i>
red buckwheat	<i>Eriogonum grande</i>
red gum	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>
red ironbark	<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>
red oak	<i>Quercus rubra</i>
red raspberry	<i>Rubus sp.</i>
red-osier dogwood	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>
redbud, eastern	<i>Cercis canadensis</i>
redbud, western	<i>Cercis occidentalis</i>
redwood, dawn	<i>Metasequoia glyptostroboides</i>
rex begonia	<i>Begonia sp.</i>
rhododendron, PJM	<i>Rhododendron sp.</i>
rock cotoneaster	<i>Cotoneaster horizontalis</i>
rock rose	<i>Cistus carbariensis</i>
rose cactus	<i>Pereskia grandifolia</i>
rose moss	<i>Portulaca grandiflora</i>
rose, climbing	<i>Rosa sp.</i>
rose, lenten	<i>Helleborus orientalis</i>
rose, mon cheri	<i>Rosa sp.</i>
rose, show biz	<i>Rosa sp.</i>
royal blue speedwell	<i>Veronica teucrium</i>
Russell lupine	<i>Lupinus Russell Hybrids</i>
rustic colors	<i>Rudbeckia hirta</i>
sage	<i>Salvia officinalis</i>
sage, Clary	<i>Salvia sclarea</i>
sage, purple	<i>Salvia leucophylla</i>
sage, sandhill	<i>Artemisia pycnocephala</i>
salal	<i>Gaultheria shallon</i>
salmonberry	<i>Rubus spectabilis</i>
sandhill sage	<i>Artemisia pycnocephala</i>
Santa Rosa plum	<i>Prunus persica</i>
sawleaf zelkova	<i>Zelkova serrata</i>
schefflera, Hawaiian elf	<i>Schefflera arboricola</i>
Scotch broom	<i>Cytisus scoparius</i>
scouler willow	<i>Salix scouleriana</i>
sea pink	<i>Armeria maritima</i>
sequoia, giant	<i>Sequoiadendron gigantea</i>
serviceberry	<i>Amelanchier alnifolia</i>
shining Oregon grape	<i>Berberis aquifolium</i>
show biz	<i>Rosa sp.</i>
silk oak	<i>Grevillea robusta</i>
silk tree	<i>Albizzia julibrissin</i>
silk-tassel	<i>Garrya fremontii</i>
silver bell	<i>Halesia carolina</i>
silver dollar eucalyptus	<i>Eucalyptus cinerea</i>
silver dollar gum	<i>Eucalyptus polyanthemus</i>
skimmia	<i>Skimmia japonica</i>
smoke tree	<i>Cotinus coggygria</i>
snowberry, common	<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>
snowcap arabis	<i>Arabis sp.</i>
Spanish broom	<i>Spartium junceum</i>
Spanish chestnut	<i>Castanea sativa</i>
spiraea, false	<i>Astilbe japonica</i>
St. Catherine's Lace	<i>Eriogonum giganteum</i>



## APPENDIX III continued.

Meyer lemon	Citrus limoni
mock orange	Philadelphus sp.
mon cheri	Rosa sp.
Montana blue	Centauria cyanus
Monterey carpert	Arctostaphylos hookeri
monkey flower, Plumas	Mimulus bifidus
morning glory, field	Convolvulus arvensis
moss, rose	Portulaca grandiflora
mountain stewartia	Stewartia ovata
mountain ash, European	Sorbus aucuparia
Mrs. Bradshaw	Geum quellyon
mulberry, fruitless	Morus alba
myoporum, Putah Creek	Myoporum parviflorum
myrtle, crepe	Lagerstroemia indica
navel orange	Citrus sinensis
nettle, stinging	Urtica dioica
northern catalpa	Catalpa speciosa
Norway maple	Acer platanoides
oak, blue	Quercus Douglasii
oak, California red	Quercus kelloggii
oak, canyon live	Quercus chrysolepis
oak, coast live	Quercus agrifolia
oak, Engelmann	Quercus Engelmannii
oak, interior live	Quercus wislizenii
oak, Oregon white	Quercus garryana
oak, poison	Rhus diversiloba
oak, red	Quercus rubra
oak, silk	Grevillea robusta
oak, tan	Lithocarpus densiflorus
oak, valley	Quercus lobata
ocean spray	Holodiscus discolor
old mission fig	Ficus carica
oleander	Nerium oleander
olive	Olea europaea
one-seed hawthorn	Crataegus monogyna
orange, Mexican	Choisya ternata
orange, mock	Philadelphus sp.
orange, navel	Citrus sinensis
orange, Valencia	Citrus sinensis
Oregon ash	Fraxinus latifolia
Oregon white oak	Quercus garryana
oriental poppy	Papaver orientale
osmarea	Osmarea burkwoodii
oval-leaved viburnum	Viburnum ellipticum
oxalis	Oxalis regnellii
Pacific waxmyrtle	Myrica californica
pansy, tricolor	Viola wittrockiana
peach	Prunus persica
pear	Pyrus communis
pelargonium, Lady Washington	Pelargonium domesticum
peony	Paeonia albiflora
peppermint	Mentha piperita
peperomia, astrid	Peperomia obtusifolia
peppertree, California	Schinus molle
peppermint, white	Eucalyptus pulchella
persimmon	Diospyros virginiana
periwinkle, dwarf	Vinca minor
petunia, common garden	Petunia hybrida
phlox, creeping	Phlox subulata
photinia, Japanese	Photinia glabra
piggy-back plant	Tolmiea menziesii
pineapple weed	Matricaria matricarioides
pink escallonia	Escallonia laevis
pistachio	Pistacia vera
PJM rhododendron	Rhododendron sp.
plantain	Plantago lanceolata
Plumas monkey flower	Mimulus bifidus
plum, Indian	Oemlaria cerasiformis
plum, Santa Rosa	Prunus persica
poison oak	Rhus diversiloba
polyanthus primula	Primula polyantha
pomegranate, 'wonderful'	Punica granatum

## APPENDIX III continued.

heliotrope, common	<i>Heliotropium aborescens</i>
hibiscus, Chinese	<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i>
Himalayan blackberry	<i>Rubus discolor</i>
hollyleaf cherry	<i>Prunus illicifolia</i> x <i>lyoni</i>
holly, English	<i>Ilex aquifolium</i>
honey locust	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i>
hops	<i>Humulus lupulus</i>
horse chestnut	<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>
hybrid fuchsia	<i>Fuchsia hybrida</i>
hydrangea, bigleaf	<i>Hydrangea macrophylla</i>
ice plant, trailing	<i>Lampranthus spectabilis</i>
India hawthorn	<i>Raphiolepis indica</i>
Indian hawthorn	<i>Raphiolepis ballevara</i>
Indian plum	<i>Oemleria cerasiformis</i>
interior live oak	<i>Quercus wislizenii</i>
ironbark, red	<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>
ironbark, white	<i>Eucalyptus leucoxylon</i>
ironwood, Catalina	<i>Lyonothamnus floribundus</i>
ivy, grape	<i>Cissus rhombifolia</i>
ivy, English	<i>Hedera helix</i>
Japanese aralia	<i>Fatsia japonica</i>
Japanese aucuba	<i>Aucuba japonica</i>
Japanese photinia	<i>Photinia glabra</i>
Japanese privet	<i>Ligustrum japonicum</i>
Japanese wisteria	<i>Wisteria floribunda</i>
jasmine, winter	<i>Lasminum nudiflorum</i>
jessamine, Carolina	<i>Gelsemium sempervirens</i>
kinikinnic	<i>Arctostaphylos uva-ursi</i>
kiwi	<i>Actinidia chinensis</i>
knotweed	<i>Polygonum</i> sp.
Lady Washington pelargonium	<i>Pelargonium domesticum</i>
lantana	<i>Lantana montevidensis</i>
larkspur	<i>Delphinium elatum</i>
larch, European	<i>Larix decidua</i>
laurel, California	<i>Umbellularia californica</i>
laurel, English	<i>Prunus laurocerasus</i>
leatherleaf viburnum	<i>Viburnum rhytidophyllum</i>
lemon balm	<i>Melissa officinalis</i>
lemon bottlebrush	<i>Callistemon citrinus</i>
lemon, Meyer	<i>Citrus leoni</i>
lenten rose	<i>Helleborus orientalis</i>
lilac melaleuca	<i>Melaleuca decussata</i>
lily-of-the-valley	<i>Pieris japonica</i>
lilac, common	<i>Syringa vulgaris</i>
lingon berry	<i>Vaccinium vitis-idaea</i> minus
linden, American	<i>Tilia americana</i>
linden, little leaf	<i>Tilia cordata</i>
lithospermum	<i>Lithodora diffusa</i>
little-leaf linden	<i>Tilia cordata</i>
lobelia	<i>Lobelia erinus</i>
locust, black	<i>Robinia pseudoacacia</i>
locust, honey	<i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i>
Lombardy poplar	<i>Populus nigra</i> 'italica'
loquat	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i>
lungwort, cowslip	<i>Pulmonaria angustifolia</i>
lupine, Russell hybrid	<i>Lupinus</i> 'Russell hybrids'
madrone	<i>Arbutus menziesii</i>
magnolia, rustica rubra	<i>Magnolia soulangiana</i>
mallow	<i>Malva neglecta</i>
manzanita, common	<i>Arctostaphylos manzanita</i>
manzanita, hairy	<i>Arctostaphylos columbiana</i>
manzanita, vine hill	<i>Arctostaphylos densiflora</i>
maple, bigleaf	<i>Acer macrophyllum</i>
maple, vine	<i>Acer circinatum</i>
maple, Norway	<i>Acer platanoides</i>
marjoram	<i>Origanum majorana</i>
marsh grapefruit	<i>Citrus paradisi</i>
marigold, American	<i>Tagetes erecta</i>
marguerite, yellow	<i>Chrysanthemum frutescens</i>
meadow foam	<i>Limnathes</i> x <i>alba</i>
melaleuca, lilac	<i>Melaleuca decussata</i>
Mexican orange	<i>Choisya ternata</i>

## APPENDIX III continued.

deer brush	Ceanothus integerimus
desert gum	Eucalyptus rudis
devil's walking stick	Aralia spinosa
dogwood, flowering	Cornus florida
dogwood, red-osier	Cornus stolonifera
donkeytail	Sedum morganianum
dwarf blue gum	Eucalyptus globulus
dwarf flowering almond	Prunus glandulosa
dwarf mix	Nemesia strumosa
dwarf periwinkle	Vinca minor
eastern redbud	Cercis canadensis
elm, America	Ulmus americana
elm, Chinese	Ulmus parvifolia
empress tree	Paulownia tomentosa
Engelmann oak	Quercus engelmannii
English holly	Ilex aquifolium
English ivy	Hedera helix
English laurel	Prunus laurocerasus
escallonia, pink	Escallonia laevis
eucalyptus, silver dollar	Eucalyptus cinerea
euonymus, evergreen	Euonymus japonica
European beech	Fagus sylvatica
European filbert	Corylus avellana
European mountain-ash	Sorbus aucuparia
European white birch	Betula verrucosa
evergreen euonymus	Euonymus japonica
false aralia, threadleaf	Dizygotheca elegantissima
false spiraea	Astilbe japonica
fernleaf yarrow	Achillea filipendula
field morning glory	Convolvulus arvensis
fig, Old Mission	Ficus carica
filbert, European	Corylus avellana
fire thorn	Pyracantha coccinea
florists' chrysanthemum	Chrysanthemum morifolium
florists' cyclamen	Cyclamen persicum
flowering dogwood	Cornus florida
forget-me-not	Myosotis sylvatica
forsythia	Forsythia intermedia
foxglove, common	Digitalis purpurea
Fremont cottonwood	Populus fremontii
fruitless mulberry	Morus alba
fuchsia, hybrid	Fuchsia hybrida
gaillardia, burgundy	Gaillardia aristata
gardenia	Gardenia jasminoides
geranium, common	Pelargonium hortorum
globe thistle	Echinops exaltatus
glossy abelia	Abelia grandiflora
glossy privet	Ligustrum lucidum
gold flower	Hypericum moserianum
golden chain tree	Laburnum watereri
golden weeping willow	Salix alba tristis
grape ivy	Cissus rhombifolia
grapefruit, marsh	Citrus paradisi
grape, Cabernet Savignon	Vitis vinifera
grape, Thompson's seedless	Vitis vinifera
grape, Concord	Vitis vinifera
grape, shining Oregon	Berberis aquifolium
grevillea	Grevillea 'noellii'
gum, cider	Eucalyptus gunnii
gum, desert	Eucalyptus rudis
gum, dwarf blue	Eucalyptus globulus
gum, red	Eucalyptus camaldulensis
gum, silver dollar	Eucalyptus cinerea
hairy manzanita	Arctostaphylos columbiana
Hawaiian elf schefflera	Schefflera arboricola
hawthorn, India	Raphiolepis indica
hawthorn, Indian	Raphiolepis ballevara
hawthorn, one-seed	Crateagus monogyna
hazelnut, western	Corylus californica
heavenly bamboo	Nandina domestica
heavenly white nectarine	Prunus lyoni
hebe	Hebe anomala

APPENDIX III continued.

California buckeye	<i>Aesculus californica</i>
California dutchman's pipe	<i>Aristolochia californica</i>
California glory	<i>Fremontodendron californicum</i>
California laurel	<i>Umbellularia californica</i>
California peppertree	<i>Schinus molle</i>
California poppy	<i>Eschscholzia californica</i>
California red oak	<i>Quercus kelloggii</i>
California sycamore	<i>Platanus racemosa</i>
camellia	<i>Camellia japonica</i>
camphor tree	<i>Cinnamomum camphora</i>
candytuft	<i>Iberis</i> sp.
canyon live oak	<i>Quercus chrysolepis</i>
Carmel creeper	<i>Ceanothus griseus</i>
carnation	<i>Dianthus caryophyllus</i>
carob	<i>Ceratonia siliqua</i>
Carolina jessamine	<i>Gelsemium sempervirens</i>
cascara	<i>Rhamnus purshiana</i>
Catalina ironwood	<i>Lyonothamnus floribundus</i>
catalpa, northern	<i>Catalpa speciosa</i>
chain tree, golden	<i>Laburnum watereri</i>
cherry, hollyleaf	<i>Prunus illicifolia</i> x <i>lyoni</i>
chestnut, horse	<i>Aesculus hippocastanum</i>
chestnut, Spanish	<i>Castanea sativa</i>
Chinese elm	<i>Ulmus parvifolia</i>
Chinese hibiscus	<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i>
chokecherry, common	<i>Prunus salicina</i>
chrysanthemum, florist's	<i>Chrysanthemum morifolium</i>
cider gum	<i>Eucalyptus gunnii</i>
Clary sage	<i>Salvia sclarea</i>
cleavers	<i>Galium aparine</i>
clematis, western	<i>Clematis liguticifolia</i>
climbing rose	<i>Rosa</i> sp.
coast beefwood	<i>Casuarina stricta</i>
coast live oak	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>
coffee	<i>Coffea arabica</i>
coffeeberry	<i>Rhamnus californica</i>
coleus	<i>Coleus hybridus</i>
columbine	<i>Aquilegia</i> sp.
common bleeding heart	<i>Dicentra spectabilis</i>
common boxwood	<i>Buxus sempervirens</i>
common chokecherry	<i>Prunus salicina</i>
common dandelion	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>
common foxglove	<i>Digitalis purpurea</i>
common garden petunia	<i>Petunia hybrida</i>
common geranium	<i>Pelargonium hortorum</i>
common heliotrope	<i>Heliotropium arborescens</i>
common lilac	<i>Syringa vulgaris</i>
common manzanita	<i>Arctostaphylos manzanita</i>
common snowberry	<i>Symphoricarpos albus</i>
common teasel	<i>Dipsacus sylvestris</i>
common thyme	<i>Thymus vulgaris</i>
common weigela	<i>Weigela florida</i>
concord grape	<i>Vitis vinifera</i>
corkscrew willow	<i>Salix babylonica</i>
cotoneaster, rock	<i>Cotoneaster horizontalis</i>
cottonwood, black	<i>Populus trichocarpa</i>
cottonwood, Fremont	<i>Populus fremontii</i>
cowslip lungwort	<i>Pulmonaria angustifolia</i>
coyote bush	<i>Baccharis pilularis</i>
creeping phlox	<i>Phlox subulata</i>
crepe myrtle	<i>Lagerstroemia indica</i>
crossandra	<i>Crossandra infundibuliformis</i>
croton	<i>Codiaeum aucubaefolium</i>
crown of thorns	<i>Euphorbia milii</i>
curly dock	<i>Rumex crispus</i>
currant, alpine	<i>Ribes alpinum</i>
currant, black	<i>Ribes</i> sp.
cut-leaved weeping birch	<i>Betula pendula</i>
cyclamen, florist's	<i>Cyclamen persicum</i>
daisy, African	<i>Osteospermum fruticosum</i>
dandelion, common	<i>Taraxacum officinale</i>
daphne, winter	<i>Daphne odora</i>

APPENDIX III. Index to common names of plants tested for gypsy moth host suitability (see Appendix I).

---

abelia, glossy	Abelia grandiflora
acacia, Bailey	Acacia baileyana
African daisy	Osteospermum fruiticosum
African violet	Saintpaulia ionantha
agrostemma, blood red	Lychnis coronaria
ajuga	Ajuga reptans
alder, red	Alnus rubra
alder, thinleaf	Alnus tenuifolia
alder, white	Alnus rhombifolia
alfalfa	Medicago sativa
almond, dwarf flowering	Prunus glandulosa
alpine currant	Ribes alpinum
American elm	Ulmus americana
American linden	Tilia americana
American marigold	Tagetes erecta
apple	Pyrus malus
apricot, Tilton	Prunus persica
arabis, snowcap	Arabis sp.
aralia, Japanese	Fatsia japonica
ardisia	Ardisia japonica
ash, Oregon	Fraxinus latifolia
aspen, quaking	Populus tremuloides
astrid peperomia	Peperomia obtusifolia
aucuba, Japanese	Aucuba japonica
avocado, zutano	Persea americana
azalea	Rhododendron sp.
Bailey acacia	Acacia baileyana
bajazzo rose	Rosa sp.
bamboo, heavenly	Nandina domestica
banyan, weeping Chinese	Ficus benjamina
Barbara Karst	Bougainvillea x buttiana
barberry, William Penn	Berberis gladymsis
beech, European	Fagus sylvatica
beefwood, coast	Casuarina stricta
begonia, rex	Begonia sp.
begonia, wax	Begonia sp.
bellflower	Campanula sp.
berry, lingon	Vaccinium vitis-idaea minus
big-leaf maple	Acer macrophyllum
bigleaf hydrangea	Hydrangea macrophylla
bigroot	Marah oregonus
birch, cut-leafed weeping	Betula pendula
birch, European white	Betula verrucosa
bishop's hat	Epimedium rubrum
bittersweet	Solanum dulcamara
black cottonwood	Populus trichocarpa
black currant	Ribes sp.
black locust	Robinia pseudoacacia
black walnut	Juglans nigra
blackberry, Himalayan	Rubus discolor
bleeding heart, common	Dicentra spectabilis
blood red agrostemma	Lychnis coronaria
blue oak	Quercus Douglasii
blue potato vine	Solanum rantonnetii
blueberry	Vaccinium corymbosum
blueberry, red	Vaccinium parvifolium
bottlebrush, lemon	Callistemon citrinus
boxelder	Acer negundo
boxwood, common	Buxus sempervirens
broom, Scotch	Cytisus scoparius
broom, Spanish	Spartium juncium
buckeye, California	Aesculus californica
buckwheat, red	Eriogonum grande
Burgundy gaillardia	Gaillardia aristata
butterfly bush	Buddleja alternifolia
cabbage	Brassica oleracea
cabernet savignon	Vitis vinifera
cactus, rose	Pereskia grandifolia

APPENDIX II continued.

SCROPHULARIACEAE (VI-Scrophulariales)			
Digitalis 1	A	0	1
Hebe 1	I	0	1,2
Mimulus 1	A	0	1
Nemesia 1		0	1
Veronica 1	A;I	0	1
SOLANACEAE (VI-Solanales)			
Lycopersicon 1	A:i, is, st	0	1
Petunia 1	A	0	1
Solanum 3	A:a, is, p, pi, st	0	1,2
STAPHYLEACEAE (V-Sapindales)			
Staphylea 3	C	0	3
STERCULIACEAE (IV-Malvales)			
Fremontodendron 2	C	+	1,2
STYRACACEAE (IV-Ebenales)			
Halesia 1	C	0	1
THEACEAE (IV-Theales)			
Camellia 2	A:p;C,H	0	1,2
Stewartia 1	C,H	+	1
THYMELAEACEAE (V-Myrtales)			
Daphne 1		0	1
TILIACEAE (IV-Malvales)			
Tilia 3	C	+	1,3,4
ULMACEAE (II-Urticales)			
Celtis 2	A;H	+	3,4
Ulmus 6	C	+	1,3,4
Zelkova 1	C	(0)	1
URTICACEAE (II-Urticales)			
Urtica 1	A:i, pi; (C)	0	1
VERBENACEAE (VI-Lamiales)			
Lantana 1	A;I	0	1
VIOLACEAE (IV-Violales)			
Viola 1	A:pi	0	1
VITACEAE (V-Rhamnales)			
Cissus 1	C, (H);R	0	1,2
Vitis 2	C,H;R	0	1,2,3,4

---

APPENDIX II continued.

PROTEACEAE (V-Proteales)				
Grevillea	2	A;C,H	0	1,2
Hakea	2	C,H	+	2
PUNICACEAE (V-Myrtales)				
Punica	1	A:pi;H	(0)	1,2
RANUNCULACEAE (I-Ranunculales)				
Aquilegia	1	A:is	0	1
Clematis	2	A	0	1,2
Delphinium	1	A:is	0	1
Helleborus	1	A	0	1
RHAMNACEAE (V-Rhamnales)				
Ceanothus	7	A;C,(H)	0	1,2
Rhamnus	5	C,H	*	1,2,3
ROSACEAE (V-Rosales)				
Amelanchier	5	C	+	1,2,3,4
Aronia	1	C	+	4
Chaenomeles	2	C	+	3
Chamaebatia	1	(C)	+	2
Cotoneaster	10	C	+	1,2,3
Crataegus	5	C	+	1,3,4
Cydonia	2	A;C	+	2,3
Eriobotrya	1	A;C	0	1
Exochorda	1	C	0	3
Geum	1	A;(C),H	0	1
Heteromeles	1	C	(0)	1,2
Holodiscus	1	(C)	0	1
ROSACEAE (V-Rosales) cont'd				
Kerria	1	C	+	3
Lyonothamnus	1	(C)	+	1,2
Malus	9	C	+	1,2,3,4
Mespilus	3	C	+	3
Oemleria	1	(C)	0	1
Photinia	3	C	+	1,2
Prunus	23	A:a,i,pi;C	*	1,2,3,4
Pyracantha	2	(C)	+	1,2,3
Pyrus	4	(C)	+	1,2,3,4
Raphiolepis	3	C	+	1,2
Rhodotypos	1	C	+	3
Rosa	7	C,H	+	1,3,4
Rubus	4	(C),H	*	1,2,3,4
Sorbaria	1	C	+	3
Sorbus	9	C	+	1,3,4
Spiraea	7	A;C	*	1,3,4
RUBIACEAE (VI-Rubiales)				
Coffea	1	A:p;C	0	1
Galium	1	A;(C);I;R	0	1
Gardenia	3	A;C;l	0	1,2
RUTACEAE (V-Sapindales)				
Choisya	1	A:qn;(C)	0	1
Citrus	3	A:a,is,pd,qn;(C);S(0)		1,2
Skimmia	1	A:qn;(C)	0	1
SALICACEAE (IV-Salicales)				
Populus	10	C	*	1,2,3,4
Salix	17	C,H	+	1,2,3,4
SAPINDACEAE (V-Sapindales)				
Dodonea	1	A;C	0	2
SAXIFRAGACEAE (V-Rosales)				
Astilbe	1	C	0	1
Tolmiea	1	(C,H)	0	1