

# Controls on Forest Soil Organic Matter Development and Dynamics: Chronic Litter Manipulation as a Potential International LTER Activity

Knute Nadelhoffer

[knute@mbi.edu](mailto:knute@mbi.edu)

Ecosystems Center, Marine Biological Laboratory  
Woods Hole, MA 02215, USA

Richard Bowden

[rbowden@alleg.edu](mailto:rbowden@alleg.edu)

Department of Environmental Science, Allegheny College  
Meadville, PA 16335, USA

Richard Boone

[ffrdb@uaf.edu](mailto:ffrdb@uaf.edu)

Institute of Arctic Biology, University of Alaska Fairbanks  
Fairbanks, AK 99775-7000, USA

Kate Lajtha

[lajthak@bcc.orst.edu](mailto:lajthak@bcc.orst.edu)

Dept. Botany and Plant Pathology, Oregon State University  
Corvallis, OR 97331, USA

## Introduction

Organic matter content strongly influences key soil properties such as aeration, moisture holding capacity, and cation retention in terrestrial ecosystems. It also contains large reservoirs of nutrients and reduced carbon that fuel microbial processes and support complex communities of soil and forest floor organisms. Because nutrient cycles are relatively closed in most forests, trees and understory plants depend much more on nutrients released from decomposing organic matter than on nutrient inputs to meet their nutritional requirements. Therefore, the amount and the quality of soil organic matter greatly influence primary production and biogeochemical cycles in forests.

Despite the importance of soil organic matter, the degree to which above- and belowground plant inputs influence its formation and dynamics is not well understood. To address this gap, we established a long-term study in of controls on soil organic matter formation in 1990 at the Harvard Forest LTER site in Petersham, Massachusetts, USA. We refer to this experiment as the DIRT (Detritus Input Removal and Transfer) project. Our goal is to assess how rates and sources of plant litter inputs control the accumulation and dynamics of organic matter and nutrients in soils.

The DIRT treatments at Harvard Forest consist of chronically altering above- and belowground litter inputs to permanent plots in a mid-successional oak-maple-birch forest (Fig. 1). The experimental design is derived from a project started in 1957 in forest and grassland ecosystems at the University of Wisconsin Arboretum (Nielson and Hole 1963). Treatments are applied on 3m ( 3m plots (n = 3) as follows:

Treatment	Method
<b>CONTROL</b>	Normal litter inputs are allowed.
<b>NO LITTER</b>	Aboveground inputs are excluded from plots by raking.
<b>DOUBLE LITTER</b>	Aboveground inputs are doubled by adding litter removed from NO LITTER plots.
<b>NO ROOTS</b>	Roots are excluded by inserting impenetrable barriers in backfilled trenches to the top of the C horizon.
<b>NO INPUTS</b>	Aboveground inputs are prevented as in NO LITTER plots; Belowground inputs are prevented as in NO ROOTS

plots.

### O/A-LESS

Organic and A horizons are replaced with B horizon soil at the start. Normal inputs are allowed thereafter.

The DIRT project is developing into a long-term, inter-site experiment. To that end we have forged linkages with similar experiments at sites in a nutrient-rich maple forest in Pennsylvania, at the Allegheny College Bousson Environmental Research Reserve (USA) and a temperate coniferous forest at the H. J. Andrews Experimental Forest in Oregon (USA). We hope to develop additional linkages to similar experiments located across climate and soil texture gradients. This will allow an assessment of the importance of physical as well as biological factors in controlling soil organic matter accumulation. Here we present selected results from the first decade of DIRT manipulations at Harvard Forest to illustrate how chronically altering aboveground litter and belowground root inputs to soils can provide useful information about ecosystem processes at short- and long-term timescales.




Figure 1. A conceptual diagram of the Detritus Input Removal and Transfer (DIRT) experiment at the Harvard Forest LTER site. The surface organic horizon (Oea) is shown in dark grey. Mineral soils are shown in aggregate in light grey. From Nadelhoffer et al. in press.

Although DIRT addresses processes of soil formation operating at time scales ranging from a single decade to centuries, during the initial years of the study we learned much about processes operating at shorter time scales. Some of these processes include root production, temperature sensitivities of rhizosphere (fine roots and closely associated microbes) respiration versus bulk soil respiration, and shifts in belowground community structure.

#### Selected Results

We used soil respiration budgets based on measurements done during the second year of treatments to estimate carbon inputs to soils from fine roots (Bowden et al. 1993). This analysis suggested that about that yearly C inputs to soils from roots ( $110 \text{ g C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) at this site were, on average, about equal to C inputs from aboveground litter ( $138 \text{ g C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). Moreover,  $\text{CO}_2$  emissions from the soils due to live root respiration ( $123 \text{ g C ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ), root decomposition, and fine litter decomposition were all roughly equivalent.

We found that root+rhizosphere respiration was much more sensitive to seasonal variations in temperature than was bulk soil respiration (Boone et al. 1998). Soil respiration measurements made across growing seasons on plots where roots were allowed to grow (CONTROL, NO LITTER, DOUBLE LITTER) and on plots where root growth was prevented (NO ROOTS, NO INPUTS) showed that Q10 values for bulk soils were about 2.4, values for rhizosphere were about 4.6. These findings have important implications for large scale carbon cycling and climate models.

Forest floor structure and function were influenced by treatments as well. For example, C and N concentrations in Oe+Oa horizons (not including fresh litter) had decreased with decreasing inputs after 5 years of treatments (Fig. 2). Treatment related differences in forest floor respiration (Fig. 3) under constant temperature and moisture were even greater than were differences in percents C and N. Information such as this, particularly if collected into the coming decades, will provide valuable information about the proportions of root and leaf litter that are eventually incorporated into soil organic matter (or "humus"). Net N mineralization potentials of forest floor samples incubated in the

laboratory also differed according to treatment (Nadelhoffer et al. accepted).

Dissolved organic C (DOC) exports from forest floors to mineral soils varied with the amount and source of litter inputs (Aitkenhead and McDowell). By year 7 of manipulations DOC concentrations were significantly higher in the solutions collected from beneath forest floors in DOUBLE LITTER plots and were significantly lower in O/A-LESS plots (also DOUBLE LITTER > CONTROL = NO LITTER = NO ROOTS > NO INPUTS > O/A-LESS). There were no significant differences in DOC concentrations between treatments in the soil solution collected from the mineral horizon, however. Such information can allow us to quantify the importance of forest floor processes in regulating organic matter retention and accumulation in mineral soils.

Soil community measurements that these plots are dominated by fungi rather than by bacteria, particularly in the organic horizons (Fig. 4). Total fungal biomass varied with leaf litter input, with the highest values in DOUBLE LITTER and the lowest in NO LITTER and NO INPUTS plots. The presence of roots, however, appears not to have changed fungal biomass. Forest floor total bacterial biomass appears to have varied inversely with fungal biomass across treatments, except in DOUBLE LITTER in which both fungal and bacterial biomass were high. Active biomass of both fungi and bacteria were remarkably similar across treatments in forest floors. The strong effects of manipulations on mineralization and respiration (above) suggest that the activities of microbial functional types were influenced by treatments. Clearly, neither total, nor active bacterial population size is a good predictor of soil processes. Active fungal biomass did not differ among treatments in forest floors. However, was a strong (but non-significant) trend of lower active fungal biomass in DOUBLE LITTER plots.




Figure 2. Percents C and N in forest floor (O horizons) and 0-10cm mineral soil after 5 years of litter and root manipulations on the DIRT plots. Bars show means (n = 9). From Nadelhoffer et al. in press.




Figure 3. Cumulative respiration of forest floor materials (Oea horizons) collected from the DIRT plots (A) 1 year and (B) 5 years after the start of manipulations in 1990. Samples were incubated at 22 °C and -66 kPa moisture. Symbols show means and standard errors (n = 9). ). From Nadelhoffer et al. in press.




Figure 4. Fungal and Bacterial Biomass in Forest Floors at Year 5 of the Harvard Forest DIRT Manipulations. From Nadelhoffer et al., in press.

## Summary

Our manipulations of litter and root inputs to forest soils are aimed at [1] quantifying the proportions of aboveground litter and root inputs that become stored as organic matter with long residence times, [2] quantifying how organic matter formation influences soil properties such as nutrient and water retention, and [3] characterizing how the nutrient supplying capacities of soils are influenced by plant litter and root inputs. These goals will require decades of manipulations to be achieved. We have, however, used results from the first years of the experiment to address important questions about forest ecosystem function. Thus, although the overarching goals are long-term, we have exploited the experiment for short-term benefit as well. This is a key to sustaining the interest necessary for justifying the continued maintenance of the plots. Another important feature of long-term experiments is that the manipulations themselves be simple and require a minimum of effort to maintain. This is the case for the DIRT plots, which require several days of activity to remove and add litter annually to subsets of the plots. More effort is required to establish the plots and to re-trench plots from which roots are excluded (every 8 to 12 years).

Measurements thus far indicate that in our temperate deciduous forest site-

- Inputs to soils from roots are approximately equal to aboveground litter inputs.
- Roots + rhizosphere metabolism is more temperature sensitive than is bulk soil respiration.
- Dissolved organic carbon exports from forest floors are about 10 percent of CO<sub>2</sub>-C gas losses and are important for driving mineral soil processes.
- Fungal biomass was much greater than bacterial biomass on all plots. However, aboveground litter inputs may be more important substrates for fungi than are roots.
- Effects of above- and belowground inputs on activities microbial functional groups are large, as evidenced by differences in processes among samples from differently treated plots. However, microbial populations are poor predictors of process rates.

## References

- Boone, R. D., K. J. Nadelhoffer, J. D. Canary and J. P. Kaye. 1998. Roots determine the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. *Nature* 396:571-572.
- Bowden, R. D., K. J. Nadelhoffer, R. D. Boone, J. M. Melillo and J. B. Garrison. 1993. Contributions of aboveground litter, belowground litter, and root respiration to total soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 23:1402-1407.
- Nadelhoffer, K. J., R. D. Boone, R. D. Bowden, J. D. Canary, J. Kaye, P. Micks, A. Ricca, J. A. Aitkenhead, K. Lajtha, and W. H. McDowell. The DIRT experiment: litter and root influences on forest soil organic matter stocks and function. in: D. Foster and J. Aber (eds.), *Forest Landscape Dynamics in New England: Ecosystem Structure and Function as a Consequence of 5000 years of Change*. Synthesis Volume of the Harvard Forest LTER Program. Oxford University Press. In Development.
- Nielsen, G. A., and F. D. Hole. 1963. A study of the natural processes of incorporation of organic matter into soil in the University of Wisconsin Arboretum. *Wisc. Acad. Rev.* 52:213-227.

# Cooperation in Long Term Ecological Research in Central and Eastern Europe

**Proceedings of the ILTER Regional Workshop  
22-25 June, 1999  
Budapest, Hungary**



Edited by Kate Lajtha and Kristin Vanderbilt  
Oregon State University

Cover design: Janet Squire

*Cover illustration: The polluted regions of the rivers studied in the Eastern-tributaries of the Tisa River. Figure 1 from "The ecological state of the Eastern-tributaries of the Tisa River - based on characteristics of the physico-chemical parameters, the flora and fauna" by Andrei Sárkány-Kiss and Kunigunda Macalik.*

*Printed by Oregon State University, Printing and Mailing Services, January 2000*

*Lajtha, K. and K. Vanderbilt, eds. 2000. Cooperation in Long Term Ecological Research in Central and Eastern Europe: Proceedings of the ILTER Regional Workshop, 22-25 June, 1999, Budapest, Hungary. Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR.*