

1783

Environmental Information Management and Analysis: Ecosystem to Global Scales

Edited by

**William K. Michener,¹ James W. Brunt,²
and Susan G. Stafford³**

¹Joseph W. Jones Ecological Research Center
Route 2, Box 2324
Newton, GA 31770

²Department of Biology
University of New Mexico
Albuquerque, NM 87131-1091

³Department of Forest Science
Oregon State University
Corvallis, OR 97331-7501



↳

555p.

UK
USA

Taylor & Francis Ltd, 4 John St, London WC1N 2ET
Taylor & Francis Inc., 1900 Frost Road, Suite 101, Bristol PA 19007

Copyright © Taylor & Francis Ltd 1994

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, electrostatic, magnetic tape, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

British Library Cataloguing in Publication Data

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

ISBN 0 7484 0123 7 (cased)

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data are available

Cover design by Amanda Barragry

Typeset by RGM, Southport

Printed in Great Britain by Burgess Science Press, Basingstoke on paper which has a specified pH value on final paper manufacture of not less than 7.5 and is therefore 'acid free'.

Contents

| | |
|------------------------|------|
| <i>List of figures</i> | ix |
| <i>List of tables</i> | xv |
| <i>Preface</i> | xvii |
| <i>Contributors</i> | xx |

SECTION I A RESEARCH PERSPECTIVE

- 1 Integration of scientific information management and environmental research
Susan G. Stafford, James W. Brunt and William K. Michener
- 2 Grand challenges in scaling up environmental research
James H. Brown
- 3 Sustainable Biosphere Initiative: Data management challenges
James R. Gosz
- 4 Multiple roles for GIS in global change research: Towards a research agenda
Dennis E. Jelinski, Michael F. Goodchild and Louis T. Steyaert

SECTION II SCIENTIFIC DATABASES AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

- 5 Scientific information systems: A conceptual framework
Donald E. Strebel, Blanche W. Meeson and Alan K. Nelson
- 6 Development and refinement of the Konza Prairie LTER Research Information Management Program
John M. Briggs and Haiping Su
- 7 Forest health monitoring case study

| | | |
|--|---|-----|
| | <i>Charles I. Liff, Kurt H. Riitters and Karl A. Hermann</i> | |
| 8 | Bigfoot: An earth science computing environment for the Sequoia 2000 Project <i>James Frew</i> | 113 |
| 9 | Representing spatial change in environmental databases <i>John L. Pfaltz and James C. French</i> | 127 |
| SECTION III QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL | | |
| 10 | Automated smoothing techniques for visualization and quality control of long-term environmental data <i>Scott E. Chapal and Don Edwards</i> | 141 |
| 11 | Spatial sampling to assess classification accuracy of remotely sensed data <i>Gretchen G. Moisen, Thomas C. Edwards, Jr and D. Richard Cutler</i> | 159 |
| 12 | Metadata required to determine the fitness of spatial data for use in environmental analysis <i>Nicholas R. Chrisman</i> | 177 |
| SECTION IV DATA SHARING ISSUES | | |
| 13 | Circumventing a dilemma: Historical approaches to data sharing in ecological research <i>John H. Porter and James T. Callahan</i> | 193 |
| 14 | Sharing spatial environmental information across agencies, regions and scales: Issues and solutions <i>John Evans</i> | 203 |
| 15 | Standards for integration of multisource and cross-media environmental data <i>Rodney L. Slagle</i> | 221 |
| SECTION V DATABASES FOR BROAD-SCALE RESEARCH | | |
| 16 | Alternative approaches for mapping vegetation quantities using ground and image data <i>Jennifer L. Dungan, David L. Peterson and Paul J. Curran</i> | 237 |
| 17 | Global biosphere requirements for general circulation models <i>Bruce P. Hayden</i> | 263 |

| | |
|--|--|
| 18 | Evaluation of soil database attributes in a terrestrial carbon cycle model: Implications for global change research <i>Christopher S. Potter, Pamela A. Matson and Peter M. Vitousek</i> |
| 19 | Designing global land cover databases to maximize utility: The US prototype <i>Bradley C. Reed, Thomas R. Loveland, Louis T. Steyaert, Jesslyn F. Brown, James W. Merchant and Donald O. Ohlen</i> |
| 20 | Global environmental characterization: Lessons from the NOAA-EPA Global Ecosystems Database Project <i>John J. Kineman and Donald L. Phillips</i> |
| SECTION VI ENVIRONMENTAL MODELLING AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SYSTEMS | |
| 21 | Integrating geographic information systems and environmental simulation models: A status review <i>Louis T. Steyaert and Michael F. Goodchild</i> |
| 22 | Data management and simulation modelling <i>Thomas B. Kirchner</i> |
| 23 | GIS and spatial analysis for ecological modelling <i>Richard J. Aspinall</i> |
| 24 | Linking ecological simulation models to geographic information systems: An automated solution <i>Martha B. Coleman, Tamara L. Bearly, Ingrid C. Burke and William K. Lauenroth</i> |
| 25 | Comparison of spatial analytic applications of GIS <i>David P. Lanter</i> |
| SECTION VII NEW ANALYTICAL APPROACHES | |
| 26 | GIS development to support regional simulation modelling of north-eastern (USA) forest ecosystems <i>Richard G. Lathrop, Jr, John D. Aber, John A. Bognar, Scott V. Ollinger, Stephane Casset and Jennifer M. Ellis</i> |
| 27 | Remote sensing and GIS techniques for spatial and biophysical analyses of alpine treeline through process and empirical models |

*Daniel G. Brown, David M. Cairns,
George P. Malanson, Stephen J. Walsh and
David R. Butler*

| | | |
|----|---|-----|
| 28 | Using a GIS to model the effects of land use on carbon storage in the forests of the Pacific Northwest, USA | 483 |
| | <i>Warren B. Cohen, Phillip Sollins, Peter Homann, William K. Ferrell, Mark E. Harmon, David O. Wallin and Maria Fiorella</i> | |
| 29 | Coupling of process-based vegetation models to GIS and knowledge-based systems for analysis of vegetation change | 497 |
| | <i>David Miller</i> | |
| 30 | A knowledge-based approach to the management of geographic information systems for simulation of forested ecosystems | 511 |
| | <i>D. Scott Mackay, Vincent B. Robinson and Lawrence E. Band</i> | |
| 31 | Detecting fine-scale disturbance in forested ecosystems as measured by large-scale landscape patterns | 535 |
| | <i>G.A. Bradshaw and Steven L. Garman</i> | |
| | <i>Subject index</i> | 551 |

List of figures

- 1.1 A new dynamic between science and technology has forced evolution of the way in which environmental information is now managed and analysed.
- 1.2 Analytical and storage capabilities accessible from the future user's desktop.
- 5.1 The information system management council should bring together representatives of the scientific community, funding agency management and information system staff for frank, open, direct and frequent interactions.
- 5.2 The analogy between data publication and publication of scientific research results.
- 5.3 Three personnel scenarios for handling 50 data sets, each of which requires 26 weeks of human effort to achieve maturity.
- 5.4 Two factors that can increase the cost of handling data sets long after they are collected or submitted.
- 5.5 Data flow diagram illustrating how data matured in the FIFE information system.
- 6.1 Konza Prairie research experimental design.
- 6.2 Data requests to Konza Prairie LTER data manager from 1984 to 1993 from non-Konza LTER investigators.
- 7.1 Location of 1992 FHM detection monitoring activities.
- 7.2 Location of 1992 FHM pilot and demonstration activities.
- 7.3 FHM information management system data flow.
- 8.1 The Sequoia 2000 layered architecture.
- 9.1 Area as a function of some variable x .
- 9.2 The derivative of the function of Figure 9.1.
- 9.3 Pixels from three images of shrub thickets.
- 9.4 Pixel differences of images shown in Figure 9.3.
- 9.5 Approximating ellipses for areas shown in Figure 9.3.
- 9.6 Vector field denoting change of a spatial boundary.
- 9.7 Observed area values and the finite difference structure.
- 10.1 Flow diagram of the components of research data management taken from the North Inlet LTER, illustrating the integral importance of quality assurance.
- 10.2 AIC versus the number of model parameters for Method I (parametric) (a), Method II (seasonal semiparametric) (b), and